## PROCEEDINGS OF THE

# MEETING OF THE EASTERN REGION OF THE 

$1620^{\circ}$ USERS GROUP

Marriott Motor Hotel
Arlington, Virginia
May 6, 7 and 8, 1964

Martin Knebel
Regional Secretary

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE

## EASTERN REGION OF THE 1620 USERS GROUP

MAI 6, 7 AND 8, 1964

TABLE OF CONTENTS


TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)


1


C

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## (Continued)

Sub
Section Section Pare


## SECTION 1

## GENERAL

## Table of Contents

> Program Agenda. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1.1 .1
> Synopsis of Papers. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1.2.1
> Comments of the Program Chairman. . . . . . . . . . . . 1.3.1
> Some Notes on "Survey List of Programming
> Systems" and Panel Discussions . . . . . . . . . . 1.4.1


## PROGRAM AGENDA

(D. D. Williams, Program Chairman)


|  | A B | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:15-3:30 | Coffee |  |
| $3: 30-(4)$ | Advanced Aids to Debug- <br> Monitor ging SAMP <br> (Section II) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> visitor Super- <br> Open Shop <br> Users | FORTRAN II Workshop (Section II) |
| $8: 00-10: 00$ | Comparison of FORTRAN Systems |  |
| Thursday, May 7th |  |  |
| 8:00 A.M. | New Users Breakfast Meeting Registration | Jim Oliver |
|  | Note: Thursday morning and Friday afternoon comprise the General Session. Program Teams meet in the interim. The Plotting and Electronics Programs Teams were formed at this meeting. |  |
| 9:00-10:30 | General Session |  |
|  | Announcements <br> New Equipment Announcements <br> Systems Design in Electronic Industry | Don Williams <br> Tom Wagner Arnold Spitalny, Marty Goldberg |
| 10:30-10:45 | Coffee |  |
| 10845-12815 | Comparison in Depth of New FORTRAN Systems | Don Jardine, Jan Lee |
| 12:15-1845 | Luncheon |  |
|  | Keynote Address: Consolidation through Systems Integration | Jim Oliver |
| 1:45-3:15 | Program Team Workshops |  |
|  | Note: See Section 4 - List of Papers for papers presented or topics treated. Team Chairmen are listed next to workshop. |  |
|  | A. Electric Utility <br> B. Educational Institutions <br> C. Rate Engineering <br> D. Chemical Engineering <br> E. Plotting | Frank Wells <br> N. Goldman <br> K. W. Brady <br> C. F. Schroedel - <br> Acting for <br> T. H. Korelits <br> Tom Scott <br> 1.1 .2 |

$3: 15-3: 30$
$3: 30-5: 00$
$5: 30-6: 30$
$7: 30$
$8: 00$
Friday, May 8th
9:00-10:30

10:30-10:45
10:45-12:15

12:15-1:45
$1: 45-3: 15$
Luncheon
A. Electric Utility
B. General Data Processing
C. Statistics and Mathematics

3:15-3:30
Coffee
3:30
General Session
Discussion of Sound-off Session Jim Oliver
IBM Reports
Concluding Remarks

## SINOPSIS OF PAPERS

The sessions presented are summarized as follows: Cross Reference Number, Title, Author (where applicable), Synopsis, and level of paper or workshop. Wednesday, May 6th
A-1 Summary of FORTRAN P/S and SPS P/S - D. T. Northrop, Fairchild Camera and Instrument Company and E. Sinanian, IBM Corporation

A survey was presented by IBM and User personnel of the major IBM and user designed statement and symbolic language programming systems together with the additions and modiffcations available from the library. Level: Intermediate

B-1 Introduction to Monitor Programming - E. Sinanian and J. Grant, IBM Corporation

A general discussion for new users of disk drives. Section I discussed the Monitor in general and SPS II-D in particular.

Level: Elementary
A-2 DOODLE - A Do-It-Yourself Problem Solver - M. V. Farina 2 General Electric Company

A FORTRAN programming system for 40 K which interprets and executes.

Level: Intermediate
AFIT SPS with Simulated TNFTNS and MF - D. Olson, Newark College of Engineering

Level: Intermediate
SPS High Speed Assembler for 20K - K. Germann and G. Rumrill, Newark College of Engineering

Level: Intermediate
B-2 Introduction to Monitor Progranming - IBM Corporation
Continued from previous session. Emphasis on FORTRAN II-D in Section II.

A-3 An Experimental Personalized Array Translator System H. Hellerman, IEM Corporation

Level: Advanced

A-3 Paper Tape PDQ FORTRAN - J. W. Trantum and P. G. Boekhoff,

Level: Intermediate
FORTRAN (Select-External) - P. G. Boekhoff, deneral American Transportation Company

A programming system based on PDQ FORTRAN embodying a new I/O approach.

Level: Intermediate
B-3 Advanced Monitor Programning - J. Grant, IBM Corporation
A detailed discussion of Monitor I for the advanced user.

Level: Advanced
C-3 A Comparison of SPS Systems - J. A. N. Lee and R. L. Pratt
An evaluation and comparison in depth of the first generation programming systems.

Level: Elementary to Advanced
D-3 FORTRAN II Workshop - R. D. Burgess, Mechanical Technology, Inc. A tutorial session reported to have been highly successful at MTI.

Level: Intermediate
B-4 Advanced Monitor Programming - IBM Corporation
Continued from previous session. Monitor II was emphasized in Section II.

Level: Advanced
C-4 The Art of Debugging - E. J. Orth, Jr., Southern Services, Inc.
Level: Intermediate
Search and Memory Print (SAMP) - J. M. Wolfe, Brooklyn College
Level: Elementary
FORTRAN Symbol Table Punch for IBM, UTO and PDQ FORTRAN Systems R. C. Irons, U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine

Level: Intermediate

C--4 Monitor Supervisor for the 1620-1311-E. E. Newman, Massachusetts (Cont.) Institute of Technology

Level: Intermediate
D-4 FORTRAN II Workshop - R. D. Burgess, Mechanical Technology, Inc.
Continued from previous session. Section II stressed subroutine linkage and debugging.

A-5 Comparison of FORTRAN Systems - D. A. Jardine, J. A. N. Lee, W. A. Burrows, R. J. Robinson and R. L. Pratt

An evaluation and comparison in depth of the first generation programming systems, including IBM, AFIT, UTO and PDQ FORTRAN.

Thursday, May 7th
9:00-10:30 Welcome introduction and reports.
Comments on theme of meeting (systems) - D. D. Williams
Use of Computers In Design of Electronic Equipment -
A. Spitalny, United Aircraft Corporation

Network Analysis on the 1620-M. Goldberg, United Aircraft Corporation

Note: The preceding two papers support the topic "Systems Design in Electronic Industry" which is listed in the "Agenda".

10:45-12:15 An evaluation and comparison in depth of the second generation FORTRAN programming systems including FORTRAN II and Kingstran FORTRAN by D. A. Jardine and J. A. N. Lee.

Papers classed as elementary presuppose no background on the part of the audience. Sessions olassified as intermediate presuppose some acquaintance with the subject matter.

Sessions classified as advanced are intended for persons with considerable experience in the field; questions of an elementary nature will be discouraged at these sessions.

This agenda was supplemented between sessions by half-hour programmed demonstrations on a type 1620 Model 2 computer with 40 K positions of memory, two disk units, a plotter and a card read-punch unit. The following planned list of demonstrations was altered slightly to accommodate available hardware features:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Set A: } & \text { Design Automation } \\
& \text { Orbital Trajectory Calculation } \\
& \text { Similation of Analog Computer }
\end{aligned}
$$

Set B: Monitor I<br>FORTRAN II<br>SPS III

Other Programs Available: COGO I
Circuit Analysis
Electric Load Flow Capital Investments
FORTRAN with Format
Plotter Subroutines
Any User desiring a specific demonstration from the above selection at times other than those indioated, or desiring to run any of his own programs was aaked to submit his request to the IBM representative at the demonstration center.

The general response to your Program Committee's probe for interesting material for the May, 1964, Conference was quite gratifying.

Models of previous Proceedings, the wealth of papers offered and the availability and generous offers of speakers - expert in their fields of interest, all helped to make the program planning easier.

The directive, for the best use of the contributors' material and talents, was taken from the Group's bylaws, and is repeated here:

```
"The primary object of the 1620 Users Group
    is to advance the effectiveness of the
    utilization of the IBM 1620 Data Processing
    System . . . . . . . ."
```

In order to effectively advance this basic objective, the Committee decided to build a program blended with a theme which would focus attention to the currently large stockpile of Programming Systems and Applications Programs. Thus, it was hoped to generate some constructive thought toward reduction of redundant programming efforts and finally to affect some integration of Application Programs into the larger context of Data Processing Systems.

Hence, the theme, "Consolidation through Systems Integration" is being highlighted.

The agenda was arranged so as to mold the Conference into a composite of two parts, each part having its own identity and flavored by the theme.

Part I (Wednesday morning to noon of Thursday) is mainily identified with Programming Syatems. Wednesday's four sessions were each of ninety minute duration, being held concurrently and being three-deep. One of these concurrent sessions is a panel discussion of SPS Systems which support the theme relative to Part I.

Further support of the theme, related to Part $I$, is given in two general session panel discussions covering the first and second generation of FORTRAN Symtems. An evening session on Wednesday and one on Thursday morning comprise these two sessions.

Part II (Thuraday morning to Friday afternoon) is mainly identified with Applications Programs. The Programs Teams' activities are confined to this period. These meetings scheduled concurrently are four-deep on Thursday afternoon and three-deep during three sessions on Friday. The Chaimen of the various teans had been requested to limit the scope of their interest in programming to Applications Prograns during their teams' sessions.

The first session of Thursday morning - an overlap of Part I and Part II - is mentioned here as an example of a tie in with the theme by integrating several Applications Programs into a Program System (i.e. Spitalny's paper, "System Design in Electronic Industry").

The panel discusaions previously referred to treat, in some depth, the survey list of FORTRAN and SPS Systems which are introduced during the first session of the Conference's opening. This list with some additions is reprinted in Section 5 of these Proceedings.

Further comment on the panela' activity will be found in the article following this one entitled "Some Notes on Survey List of Programming Systems and Panel Discussions".

The Program Committee's post-conference activity is confined to the compiling of all the material in readiness for printing.

In conciusion, the Committee offers sincere thanke to the many people without whose assistance our misaion might not have been completed.

John A. Rodgers
Program Secretary

Donald D. Williams
Program Chairman

1964 Spring Meeting
1620 Users Group
Eastern Region

Some Notes on
"Survey List of Programing Systems" and Panel Discussions

## Survey List of Programming Systems

David T. Northrop devoted the entire opening session of the Conference to a review of a Survey List of Programming Systems - both IBM and User compiled - with a brief commentary relating to each listed item. This session as planned served to introduce the subject matter to be treated in depth during the panel discussions which were held later.

## SPS Panel Discussion

R. L. Pratt, aided by Kurt Germann, officiated at an interesting panel discussion on SPS Systems. The Program Committee is indebted to R. L. Pratt for his post-editing of the Survey List for reprinting in the Proceedings.

> FORTRAN Panel Discussion
> Report on Panel
> User Written Compilers in Depth
D. A. Jardine

Brief mention was made of IBM FORTRAN-Without-Format and Format FORTRAN by J. A. N. Lee.
R. L. Pratt described same of the useful features of his AFIT FORTRAN, such as compile time diagnostics and batch compiling. AFIT FORTRAN, while an improvement over IEM systems, is somewhat slower than other user-written compilers.
D. A. Jardine, in the absence of the authors, E. S. Lee and J. A. Field, talked about UTO FORTRAN and the contributions made by this system to improved language and easier operation. UTO FORTRAN has few compile time or object time error messages.
W. A. Burrows, in the absence of author F. H. Maskiell, described some of the features of P.D.Q. FORTRAN. It is based on UTO FORTRAN with several major improvements. It is the fastest running program at object time for any 1620 not using hardware F.P. The compiler is essentially the same as UTO, but the object time subroutines have been rewritten almost completely.
R. L. Pratt then described Auto-Float FORTRAN, derived from AFIT FORTRAN, which compiles hardware float-point add and subtract instructions in-line (not subroutined). It is probably the fastest 20K FORTRAN (at object time) currently available. Only limited FORMAT capabilities are available.
C. H. Davidson talked about FORGO, the University of Wisconsin's load-and-go compiler for teaching use. Extensive diagnostics at both compile and object time are available. 40 K memory is required.

Discussion from the floor centered around details of operation, use and construction of the various compilers.
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# CONSOLIDATION THROUGH SYSTEMS INTEGRATION 

Dr. James R. Oliver, Dean<br>Graduate School and Director, Computing Center University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, Louisiana

I am pleased, as President of the Eastern Region 1620 Users Group, to talk briefly with you concerning the theme of our meeting, "Consolidation Through Systems Integration". I trust that I will be able to leave you with a thought or two today that might prove of benefit to you. And perhaps in so doing I will be able to help further the aims of our organization.

Few terms have as many definitions as the word "system". And I say this even when talking to a group of people interested in computing, a field in which ordinary English words have frequently been given many new and sometimes surprising meanings! Isn't this a little strange when we think of how precise our languages are for communicating with the computer?

Although "system" has many meanings, including the people involved in computing at an installation, I think we can profitably devote these few minutes to hardware systems and software systems. Thus I will direct my remarks along these areas of interest and will try to make three major points which I believe to be of importance.

Many organizations have wide requirements as pertains to computer usage--business applications, management applications, scientific applications, to mention a few--including many requirements which may not yet be known.

Most of us would enjoy having our own private machines to work with, but this is a luxury which few can afford. I can speak from the point
of view of the not-so-affluent university in saying that we certainly cannot do so!

Perhaps you have heard of colleges and universities which have many computers--even many large ones. Unfortunately, these few cases are overly publicized; the vast majority of educational institutions, however, are grasping and gasping for funds to even keep a computing center going. As an example, which can be repeated over and over, in my own case I teach two courses each semester, serve as Dean of the Graduate School, as Director of all of our National Science Foundation activities on campus and serve as Director of the Computing Center assisted by one full-time unit record man and one half-time lady to help with computing activities.

Our main purpose for existence as educators in the computing field is to serve the manufacturers and the users. Relatively few of our students are being educated for our own use.

But that is the subject of another talk. Today we are here to discuss a common problem-at least common to most of us. We are faced with a problem of maximum production for minimum cost. In truth we very practically are concerned with a problem in Operations Research - should we suggest a computer solution to this problem?

Perhaps if we were able to put ourselves in the shoes of management we would be better able to visualize the overall problem. But we have to live with the fact that most of us have to put up with a management which:
(1) Cannot, or sometimes will not, comprehend computer concepts;
(2) Often is in some way afraid of computer "take over", and
(3) Must be concerned with money justification to several levels-their superiors, shareholders, other members of organization.

Having acted and acting now on both sides of the proverbial fence, I have seen some of the problems faced by both sides. And I assure you that the problems of the administrator are not trivial.

All too often we call upon the administrator to act in a capacity for which he is not equipped. Can we expect a non-computer oriented administrator to make decisions that we ourselves hesitate to make?

POINT 1: Are we making a sufficient effort in educating and in keeping wittr our administrative staff informed?

Nearly all of us sooner or later must discuss computer activities with our colleges--if you haven't, don't despair, you willl Some of these colleagues are non-computer oriented. Even worse, some of them are other-computer (particularly other-concepts) oriented.

Here is one point that is certainly a most important consideration. If the organization has a total of $x$ dollars to spend on computers, and one of our colleagues convinces management that $y$ dollars should be spent on a business use computer, it is somewhat obvious that $x$ - $y$ dollars will remain for our installation. Remember, because of the non-scientific orientation of many in management, and because of the strong arguments of persons in business applications, there will frequently be sympathy for fragmentation.

Can't indeed two or more systems be consolidated into one? In many cases, yes. We know that the 1620 is a very versatile machine. If we inform our colleagues well of its capabilities, couldn't a Model II $1620,40 \mathrm{~K}, 2$ disk drives and printer do most jobs as well as or better than two systems costing more collectively?

We begin to see this idea more with the Model 360. What are we going to do about it? I can foresee all kinds of decisions to be made
with the 360. It is quite possible that we will be forced to resort to the simulation of our computer installations to better determine just how they should be organized. I know of such a study made at Oak Ridge.

In the meantime, decisions with the 1620 still must be made. Few 360 's will be installed by most of us by two years from today. The 1620 is still a good machine and it has much wear left in it. Those who buy or have bought 1620's have even a more serious problem. They will likely keep in touch with the 1620 for many years to come. POINT 2: Have we informed and communicated sufficiently well with our colleagues who are, or are likely to be, interested with computer activities?

Then we come to systems as it applies to programning systems--mostly referred to as software.

Until now we have considered two distinct divisions: IBM and Users. For some time now several people, including Jim Davidson, Charlie Davidson, Don Jardine and others have been working to get better--no, to get a--liaison between IBM and User activities in this area of endeavors.

I would like to touch on two items: (1) Consultation with Users when IBM decides to produce any kind of progranming package. (2) Consultation with IBM when Users decide to produce a significant programming package.

Can't IBM use our suggestions (1) because we know what we need and (2) to utilize the "brains" of those in the Users Group? Also, why all the duplicate effort? How many FORTRANs are needed? How many can we afford? Shouldn't some of the effort by Users in attempting to produce a FORTRAN which will work as it should be devoted to experimenting with other
languages? Surely those Users who earlier today heard the presentation of the characteristics of an outstanding FORTRAN compiler produced by a group of Users wonder why this has not already been available to us, instead of some of the processors we have been forced to use!

We must not overlook the need for cooperation both ways. We recognize that this brings up idees not often used--consultating IBM when a User produces a significant programning package. At least three reasons exist for this: (1) Perhaps IBM knowledge can help the programing effort, (2) We might avoid duplication of effort by Users or by IBM, (3) IBM could advise Users of impending changes to prevent an effort being completely negated by new hardware or software being developed. We owe our colleagues the production of worthwhile packages if the Users' contributions to the Program Library is to mean anything.

POINT 3: Are we presently communicating among ourselves, or with IBM, sufficiently well to achieve consolidation through the integration of programning systems? Or will we fragment ourselves by writing an infinite number of compilers to the exclusion of better things to do?

Some of us are teaching--some are involved in computer applications. A much smaller number is engaged in preparing software. Again I will state that we are all concerned with getting the best production possible from us and our machines.

Aren't we charged with the obligation of doing best job possible? Shouldn't we all be concerned with the theme of our meeting--consolidation through systems integration?

But I should notwbegin winding up this talk.

A few years from now a mach better talk than I can give will be produced by a properly programmed computer. The announcement will read, "Our program for this week will be, 'Consolidation Through Systems Integration' by Multivac 70809010...".

The computer's speech will be really interesting. It will have unity, coherence, and emphasis. It will meet all of the requirements of public speaking as listeners like it. The humor and jokes contained in the speech will have a mathematical probability of at least 99\% of not falling flat. Such a speech will not have any terms in it that are strange to most of the audience, so the audience will not get lost. The talk will conform to all of Parkinson's Laws and Murphy's Laws. At the same time it will take into account what the audience already knows. And it will not be guilty of unneçessary repetition of stupid cliches.

In fact, instead of a single speech to a whole audience, the computer will provide maltiple simultaneous speeches for various segments of the audience. In front of each member of the audience will be several small dial switches. One will be marked "Speed Control" with positions labeled "You're going too fast" and "You're going too slow". Another switch will be marked, "Understanding Control", saying "Yes, yes, I know all that, please come to the point" and "You're way over my head, please start over and explain". A third switch will be marked "Boredom Control" with positions marked "You are being mildly interesting--keep it up" and "You are boring me to extinction--please become lively".

I look forward to the time when a computer will be making speeches at meetings. I think of all the poor speeches protuced by human beings that I have listened to and realize that in the future, if I live long
enough, I will listen to uniformly good speeches produced by computers. But until that time you will be forced to listen to speakers like me. I am able to recognize, however, that those of you who are still awake are reaching for the switch marked 'You've talked too long--please shut up'! So I'm going to pretend that you shut the power off.
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## Number Attending - 59

"Interest - Statistics Only"

George H. Woodruff
Luke Sparvero
Norman Goldman
Myrna Weiner
John Pate Pointer
Welborn H. Smith
Bill Thompson
"Interest - Mathematics Only"
Wayne Meriwether
Gregson Payne
Clayton E. Jensen
Dario Bollacasa
Samuel F. Martin
Charles Weiss
John Kent
Reginald T. Harling
Richard E. Grove
M. S. Wingersky

Brother B. E. O'Neill
A. H. Best
B. G. Wingersky
S. J. Jurnack

Paul E. Brittain
Thomas C. Teeples
F. Wang

William B. Hise

| Wayne Meriwether | Patricia Lussow |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gregson Payne | L. L. Cook |
| Clayton E. Jensen | Greta Larson |
| Dario Bollacasa | Pat Moorhead |
| Samuel F. Martin | David C. Pixley |
| Charles Weiss | K. A. Bridgeman |
| John Kent | James J. McLaughlin |
| Reginald T. Harling | Theodore R. Sabine |
| Richard E. Grove | Lawrence Wright |
| M. S. Wingersky | Jane Bonnette |
| Brother B. E. O'Neill |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| "Interest - Statistics and Mathematics" |  |
|  |  |
| Sidney Kellman | Charles Yackulics |
| Richard Guion | Simeon P. Taylor |
| Martin Goldberg | Richard E. Scott |
| Joyce Currie Little | L. Ong |
| Ralph E. Lee | J. W. Sawyer |
| Judith S. Liebman | Lee Hendrickson |
| Donald L. Flagg | James R. Oliver |
| John E. Alman | William Heltzel |
| Mae E. Meads | C. H. Remilen |
| Eleanor Stone | Nancy Paquin |
| Diana Lloyd | F. R. Henderson |
| Vincent Gangi | Frank N. Dickinson - Team Chairman |

Approximately 75 people attended the session. A sign-up sheet was passed around. Fifty-nine people signed this sheet with 21 indicating their interest was mathematics, 24 indicating statistics and mathematics and 14 indicating statistics. Only three people listed physies along with mathematics. A show of hands indicated that almost. everyone in attendance at this session preferred to have statistics and mathematics sessions not held concurrently, since most people would attend both sessions if they are held separately. I assume that the number of papers submitted would be the determining factor in whether the sessions would be combired or held separately at the next meeting.

Frank N. Dickinson, Chairman
Statistics and Mathematics Programs Team

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

A General Function Subprogram - M. E. Munrce, University of New Hampshire
Meeting Assumptions of Homogeneity of Variance - R. C. Irons, U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine

FORTRAN Programs for Computing Elliptic Integrals and Functions - H. E. Fettis and J. C. Caslin, Aerospace Research Laboratories

The Use of Discrete Convolution in the Analysis of Diffraction Patterns J. S. Liebman and P. N. Johnson, General Electric Company

A Computer Approach to Gamma Spectrum Analysis by the Simultaneous Equations Method - W. E. Carr, Department of Health, Education and Welfare

| Charles Carlson | Thomas Morrisson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Robert Chaput | Edward Newman |
| Russell Davis | Nancy Paquin |
| Henry Fell | Charles Remilen |
| Vincent Gangi | I. Rosenbarker |
| Kurt Germann | Henry Scaletti |
| Martin Goldberg | Leonard Schiffmann |
| Harold Gottheim | Thomas Scott |
| William Heltzel | Arnold Spitalny |
| Lanny Hoffman | Wanda Stacke |
| Robert Kenngott | John Tiers |
| James McLaughlin | Charles Yackulics |
| Robert Meckley | C. Bailey - Team Chairman |

The first meeting of those users active in plotting convened at 1:45 p.m. on Thursday, May 7. Mr. C. Bailey of IBM in Endicott, N.Y., presided over the meeting.

The first item discussed was the housekeeping problems involved in the isometric drawing and dimensioning of piping configurations. The problem of avoiding overlap or cross over of drawn lines is of major concern in producing such drawings.

Mr. Newman mentioned that M.I.T. has created some problem oriented plotting languages and is in the process of tying them in with COGO. Both M.I.T. and Sun Oil Company have developed very similar basic SPS routines for plotting straight lines, circles, circular arcs, and alphameric characters, all with scaling and line width factors. M.I.T. is at present working on a program to draw electrical schematics while Sun Oil Company is preparing a program to draw isometric piping drawings along with a piping bill of material.

The New York State Department of Public Works has used the plotter to sketch highway cross sections.

The remainder of the session dealt with problems arising from the hardware itself.

It was mentioned that several of the plotting units take from fifteen minutes to one half hour to warm up in the morning. During this interval the calibration device requires special attention. There seems to be a noticeable change in paper size in the direction of the carriage. The general opinion was that proper humidity control would alleviate this problem.

An end of roll sensing device was mentioned as a solution to the annoyance of running out of paper, and plotting a large portion of the drawing on the roller itself.

Ball point pens seem to be preferred on ordinary jobs, while ink pens have the edge for special jobs. Liquid lead pens have been found to provide a very dark, eraseable line.

Mr. Newman was appointed alternate chairman for the Fall meeting.

The meeting adjoumed at 3:15 p.m.

Thomas J. Scott, Acting Secretary Plotting Programs Team
Lawrence Wright
Keene A. BridgemanSteve JurnackKurt H. GermannHubbard A. SewardHerbert M. Wall
Arnold Spitalny - Team Chairman
Martin J. Goldberg

Report of Activities of
Electronics Programs Team

A meeting of the Electronics Team was held on May 7, 1964.
Arnold Spitalny was elected permanent chairman with Martin Goldberg as alternate chairman and secretary.

Herb Wall was assigned as delegate to the Share Group Meeting to be held in June in Atlantic City. He will establish contacts with the corresponding electronic team from Share.

Areas of interest discussed at the meeting were:

1. Circuit analysis programs
2. Filter design and synthesis programs
3. Application of statistics to circuit analysis
4. Programs for automatic layout of printed circuit boards and micro-electronic circuits
5. Programs for generation of circuit schematics

It was suggested that other members of the Users Group be questioned as to possible interest in the Electronics Team. This could be done in the published proceedings. Interested parties should be asked to express their areas of interest and send these to:

Martin J. Goldberg
Computer Applications Engineer
Norden Division, United Aircraft Corporation
Helen Street
Norwalk, Connecticut

Arnold Spitalny, Chairman Electronics Programs Team

Report of Activities of Education Institutions Programs Team

In addition to the papers listed at the bottom of the page, the following paper was presented:

Scheduling Students by Computer by Dr. Charles W. Williams, Washington and Lee University. This paper was published in Computer Applications Service.

These papers were presented in the second half of the session:
1620 Programs to Implement the Quine Method of Boolean Simplification by Prof. Thomas R. Hoffman, Union College, Schenectady, New York. This paper was presented at the Western Region Meeting in Phoenix.

A New Course in Computer Programming by Prof. C. H. Davidson, University of Wisconsin. This paper was presented at the joint Mid-Western, Canadian Region Meeting in Chicago.

An extra session was called for the following day. A discussion led by Dr. J. R. Oliver occupied the entire session.

Norman Goldman, Chairman Education Institutions Programs Team

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

Automatic Scheduling and Registration in a Small College - A. F. Jackson, Agricultural and Technical College of North Carolina

Plot Routine for NCE Load-and-Go FORTRAN - H. Seward, Newark College of Engineering

Number Attending - 36
W. Brzozowski
V. Lippo
W. Burleigh
E. Newman
W. Burrows
N. Ogilvie
C. Carlson
H. Peterson
R. Chaput
C. Remilen
R. Dabe
R. Riley
R. Davis
J. Rodgers
E. Dougherty
J. Russell
D. Flagg
F. Salek
H. Gelsi
J. Samstag
H. Gottheim
W. Heltzel
L. Hendrickson
H. Hurt
L. Schiffman
T. Scott - Team Chairman
W. Smith
A. Spitalny
C. Jensen
R. Steinhart
R. Kenngott
W. Thompson
J. Kent
F. Wells
T. Latterner
G. Woodruff

Two papers were presented at the Structurul and Civil Engineering Team Meeting that convened at 9:00 a.m. on Friday, May 8, 1964. Mr. A. D. Stasi from Edwards and Kelcey Company presented his paper on the use of the small computer as a processor of O-D survey data, and Mr. E. J. Orth from Southern Services Company spoke about a newly developed pipe stress analysis progran. An abstract of Mr. Orth's paper is attached to the minutes.

Thirty-six persons attended this meeting. Out of these thirtysix persons, sixteen were Civil Engineers by profession and twenty-six indicated that they would be interested in perpetuating future sessions. Four papers were tentatively promised for the Fall meeting in Buffalo, New York.

The meeting adjourned at noon.
T. J. Scott, Chairman

Structural and Civil
Engineering Programs Team

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

Processing 0-D Survey Data on a Small Computer - A. D. Stasi and M. B. Lipetz, Edwards and Kelcey, Inc.

# 1620 USERS GROUP LIBRARY PROGRAM ABSTRACT 

1. TITLE: General Pipe Stress
2. AUTHORS: E. J. Orth, Jr., Southern Services, Inc. John Lewis, IBM, Birmingham, Alabama

DATE: 5 March 1964 USERS GROUP CODE: 1125 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 9.5
3. DIRECT INQUIRIES TO: E. J. Orth, Jr. Southern Services, Inc. 600 North 18th Street Birmingham, Alabama 323-5341 Ext. 2339

Watch KWIC Index
for availability.
4. DESCRIPTION: A four part piping stress program is presented -

1. INPUT EDIT,
2. FIEXIBILITY MATRIX CALCULATION,
3. SOLUTION FOR BRANCH POINI DEFLECTIONS, and 4. COMPUTATION OF STRESSES.

The INPUT EDIT program performs a rigorous error check on the data file. A monitor is output giving coordinates of each point. Data file consists of system topological data and, for each branch, coordinates of first point reference global origin, expansion and movement data, coordinate differences between tangent intersections, and bend radii. Data is keyed by 3 letter alphabetic codes.

The FIEXIBILITY MATRIX CALCULATION applies the algorithm described in the supplement to Kellogg's book, "The Design of Piping Systems", Second Edition.

The SOLUTION program allows for loops. Rigid stops, spring stops, and external forces may be oriented parallel to coordinate axes. The algorithm of Chen (ASNE Paper No. 59-APM-24) is used, with a novel approach to solution of the matrix to take advantage of sparsity. Result is deflections at branch points and reactions each branch, all reference global origin. These are left in common storage, and Program 4 called thru a link subroutine at completion of solution.

The STRESS and DEFIECTION program computes for each point of each branch-

1. Deflections reference the point,
2. Restraining reactions reference the point, and
3. Combined stresses.

Sum of restraining reactions about each branch point is also given.
7. SPECIFICATIONS: A 60 K card 1620 in FORTRAN II configuration is required. Conversion to 40 K disc is possible, with major reprogramming. Peripheral equipment - reproducer, sorter, $407 \mathrm{E}-8$, keypunch.
8. PROGRAMMING TYFE: FORTRAN II mainline, with subroutine and function subprograms.

Attendants at Sessions of Electric Utility Programs Team

Nubledttending - 38

| J. H. Russell | Dick Briesemeister |
| :--- | :--- |
| John H. Evans | Bob Steinhart |
| Chris Martin | George S. Haralampu |
| Arno F. Olimn | P. J. Sullivan |
| A. L. Lipson | J. Coburn Hubbard |
| Q. W. Wilson | E. H. Gerrish |
| John F. Leuer | R. B. Riley |
| A. Doyle Baker | W. J. Cook |
| Roy W. Thomas | C. B. Scharp |
| Jene Y. Louis | D. D. Williams |
| Frank J. Wells - Team Chairman | E. H. Parker |
| Stanley A. Clark | J. L. Davidson |
| Herbert Blaicher | M. G. DeCennaro |
| Tom Hoke | E. E. Thompson |
| Robert W. Davies | B. E. Green |
| John E. Cromwell | J. A. Rodgere |
| Veikko A. Lippo | Robert R. White |
| Paul D. Folse | Ed Cox |
| Len Karr | Ed Orth |

The first item discussed was a heat balance program entitled "Heat Balance I", written by Bob White and Yosh Fujimura of the Department of Water and Power, City of Los Angeles. Bob White made the presentation.

The Heat Balance program is highly subroutinized and uses a supervisory routine to control subroutine sequencing. In preparing data, the user establishes the order of calculations. This order is entered as a sequence table. The supervisory routine refers to the sequence table to find out which subroutine to use next. All subroutines use common work areas, thus cutting down on linkages.

The approach used by Gerngross on the 650 is used here. The beauty of this method is that the user can select from among the library of subroutines those necessary to describe his particular problem. Thus, theoretically, a general program results which is good for all situations. This is opposed to writing a speciallized program for each system. Note the word theoretically: while $95 \%$ of all possible conditions may be provided for, there is always the possibility that an additional subroutine will have to be written for some special condition. This is very easy to do, however, due to the building block construction of the program. The writeup is very thorough, and very well documented.

In its present form the program is written in SPS for 60K Disc Model II. However, since it is composed of three distinct parts, it may be reassembled for 20K. The three parts are input, solve, and output. The only function of the disc storage is to provide a resting place for the program. The program was conceived, tested, and used on a 40K machine. Core may be saved by omitting any subroutines not used.

When asked if IBM would be receptive to setting this up for 20 K , Bob Steinhart and Chris Martin did a truly magnificent job of bouncing the ball back and forth. All came out happily, however, because they are going to look into the matter thoroughly. Whatever that means.

This program has been used both as a design tool and as a performance test tool. Results agree well with manufacturer's results and with test data, generally to within a few tenths of a per cent. Two days are required to set up data for a new steam plant: one to set up the system diagram, and one to fill out data sheets. Two or three machine tries are necessary to get valid answers due to data and setup errors. Bob emphasized that this is a qualitative tool, not a quantitative tool. Its usefulness is in providing comparisons.

In preparing data, the user cuts out a set of symbols and assembles them with glue to simulate the particular system under study. It should be noted that since this is an iterative solution, the speed and accuracy of solution is affected by the sequence of calculations. Experience will be of immense help here.

The test case in the writeup is a 330 MW unit. Running time is $15-20$ minutes Model I and 3.5-4 minutes Model II. Once data is read, subsequent changes may be made without reloading the entire system. This is similar to load flow procedures.

As set up now, the program will handle up to 38 pieces of equipment, including 4 turbines and double reheat. As the situation develops, subroutines for a moisture separator and a saturated turbine will be added for nuclear systems.

The next item on the agenda was "A Program for Evaluating Alternate Generating Plant Expansion Patterns", by R. W. Davies of Baltimore Gas and Electric, in which portions of a paper presented at the 1963 American Power Conference were discussed, as indicated in the attached abstract.

George Haralampu, New England Electric Service, gave a report from the Committee on Load Flow Modifications. The committee suggests:

INPUT 1. Add one more significant digit to $R$, $X$, and capacitive susceptance fields.
2. Remove restriction that total susceptance must not exceed .999.
3. Make bus data FORTRAN compatible (minus signs).
4. Eliminate voltage entry on all non-voltage controlled buses, and add feature to allow read/punch of voltage deck.
5. Make interchange data FORTRAN compatible.
6. For interchange, use 2 cards with 40 digits.

OUTPUT 1. Since only 72 card columns are read by undoctored Format FORTRAN, move mismatch on bus card all the way over to the right.
2. Eliminate alphabetic "TAP" for compatibility purposes.
3. Change from per unit to per cent.
4. Adopt general output format other load flows: that is, bus data first, followed by line data.

Frank Wells will send out a questionnaire on these items to all of us. We will have the opportunity to accept or to reject the entire package, and to make comments.

A very interesting report on a 1401 print program for Glimn's Load Flow was given by John Evans, Southwestern Public Service Company. This program accepts data describing how the 1 line diagram is to be printed, and then takes the output from Glimn's program and proceeds to print a system 1 line diagram with all pertinent information. John showed us a sample that printed an 80 bus system on 6 pages. Parallel lines and transformers are handled, and tap settings are printed. Up to 7 buses are printed across the page. Buses are printed horizontal as the paper is in the printer and lines vertically. Note that this does not just print numeric data on a preprinted diagram, but prints both diagram and data!

A very simple procedure is followed to set up a particular system. A sketch is made to set up spacing and general arrangement, and then a set of data is recorded to specify spacing and placement to the 1401.

Present capacity is 99 lines, but this is more of a data sheet restriction than a program restriction. A 4 K 1401 with 1 tape is required. John readily agreed to submit this to the library, which is to say he was not given much choice!

The next topic of discussion was Allocation of Bus Loads for peak/off-peak conditions in load flow studies. If ratios are used, var problems often occur. One company arrives at off peak by taking a percentage off of peak loads and regulating voltages with generators. Another company uses a separate var forecast. The ideal situation exists when hourly loads are sampled on each substation. A fourth voice from the back of the room said that they monitored areas, pulled out non conforming loads, and then companies make complete and separate forecasts for peak/off-peak conditions.

Arno Glimn's new B Constant Program was discussed by Roy Thomas, Southwestern Public Service Company. The entire package consists of 6 programs and calls for 20 K auto divide. It is very easy to handle. A system with 13 sources took 1:20 hours after the initial load flow was completed. Al Lipson, Virginia Electric and Power Company, had worked with this program also, with a system containing 16 sources, 123 buses, and 180 lines. Time required after load flow was 16 hours. Loss on the system was matched within 0.2 MW. Overall load level was 1800 MW , loss was 23 MW . The program is being typed, and should be available from the library soon.

Len Karr, Burns and Roe, discussed work he had done on Economic Dispatch Tables since the Pittsburgh meeting.

Use of the 1620 for power system dispatch, either directly or indirectly, was the next item. Roy Thomas was of the opinion that the 1620 is too small, depending upon how accurately incremental cost data is to be represented. A straight line representation of incremental cost is fine if sufficient segments are taken. John Leuer, Niagara Mohawk, brought out the usefulness of being able to change $B$ constants at the drop of a hat with a digital system.

An application of Linear Programming to Fuel Purchases was discussed by Ed Orth. To date, the individual companies of The Southern Company have been scheduling their purchases independently by time honored and hoary methods. When LP was applied to one company for the year 1963, savings in excess of $\$ 100,000$ were indicated. Present plans are to take the much-worshipped "System Approach" and consider the entire Southern Company and all feasible sources. 100 sources, each with a maxinum and minimm plus 100 other constraints plus 16 points of usage $=316$ restraints. About $30 \%$ of all activities will be valid. This is obviously outside the 1620 range and in the 7094 range. At present, data is being gathered.

Certain modifications on Jose Marina's Short Circuit Program have been made by Jene Louis of LIICO. He has removed $R$ to leave $X$ only, increased precision from 6 to 10, gone from 2 pass to 1 pass, altered output to give current flow on all lines rather than on adjacent lines, and removed all sense switch testing. System size is 150 bus/ 250 lines, and 15.5 K is required. Speed is equivalent to Jose Marina's program. Jene has made extensive use of network reduction to cut
down running time. This program is designed to handle the range of reactances from pipe type cable to overhead. Use of $X$ only tends to give more conservative results.

Jene also discussed Steady State Stability by use of Glimn's load flow program.
J. C. Hubbard, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, presented a very interesting paper on "A Distribution Feeder and Substation Load Forecasting System". Copies may be obtained by writing him at Room 1000, One Charles Center, Baltímore Gas and Electric Company, Baltimore 3, Maryland.

Transformer Load Management came in for a good deal of discussion. No one is doing it all on the 1620. George Haralampu stated that some one in Boston is testing on the 1620, but will be working on the 1401. There are two reasons for this: they will be using the billing tapes, and the amount of sorting required. Dick Briesemeister, Consolidated Edison, said that they are using data from billing on their 7080. They are achieving good results on radial, not so good on network.

On this point of networks, Tom Hoke, Oklahoma Gas and Electric, said that they have been handling their underground by using demands, with no diversity, coupled with a 1620 load flow. The big problem is data gathering on an underground network to start with a correct representation.

In answer to a question on whether the main value was restricted to large metropolitan areas, the consensus was no, the value is system wide.

There are two justifications for transformer load management: minimization of burnouts, and better loading. Better loading is probably the most valuable.

A great storm of discussion ensued when the subject of Disc Pack Applications came up, particularly on the question, disc or core? Given 20K, is it better to go 40 K or 20 K disc? Bob White strongly reconmended core, because 20 K disc, particularly under Monitor operation, is a slow situation. There is not much room left for the object program after 14 K for FORTRAN II subroutines. If core images are stored, a different situation exists. When programs are stored on disc in relocatable format, it takes mach, much longer to get them into core. George Wilson prefers the core image mode, wherein a simple minded monitor of sorts gets the core image from disc. Bob Steinhart made the point that although IBM is pushing Monitor, users do not have to use it!
V. A. Lippo, West Penn Power Company, gave a very interesting exposition on why they went from 40K to 20K disc. In essence, their philosophy is this: For a reduction in speed (about $10 \%$ ), they will have the limits removed from their problem solving capability, in addition to being able to operate around the clock under disc supervision. They do quite a bit of work in symbolic.

The final conclusion to this discussion was that each installation has its own unique problem, and that thus, there is no general answer. One point that would point to disc is the capability to operate around the clock and thus get
the usage to the point that additional hardware is justified. That is, if management will buy that type of argument! It has been known not to.

With this, our meeting drew to a close. There were many other interesting utilities-type presentations at other team meetings, but since your secretary is not endowed with the power of bilocation, he was unable to attend.

A few items from the correspondence heap: Dick Smith, Gulf States Utilities, writes that they are increasing the size of Dr. Cooke's B constant program to 60K. Their matrix short circuit program has been corrected, but mutuals are not yet in the picture. He has again had a chance to test the bus shuffle as a part of the Mozina load flow, and the $20-30 \%$ decrease in running time was again apparent.

Has anyone done anything to convert Alcoa's 40 K sag-tension program to 20 K ? If so, please write Stan Clark, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, 1087 Elm Street, Manchester, New Hampshire.

George Wilson of Black and Veatch, Kansas City, has written an elegant dating program for the MIS-IESS program in the library. Anyone interested may contact him directly.

Well that about rounds things up at this end. Let's keep the pipelines open, who knows, maybe somebody else will actually be interested in what you are doing!

Ed Orth, Secretary
Electric Utility Programs Team

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

A Distribution Feeder and Substation Load Forecasting System - J. C. Hubbard, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company

IBM 1620 Program Used in Study to Select Steam Conditions and Turbine Type for the No. 3 Unit Installation at Herbert A. Wagner Power Plant

Construction is now in progress for the No. 3 Unit Installation at the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company's Herbert A. Wagner Power Plant. The maximum net station sendout from this unit will be about $320,000 \mathrm{kw}$ when operating at 1.5 in. Hg abs condenser pressure. Steam conditions will be 3500 psig - 1000/1000/1000 F. The turbine-generator will be a $3600 / 1800$-rpm cross-compound unit with a double flow low pressure turbine having 40-inch last-stage blades. Net station heat rate at full load and 1.5 in . Hg abs condenser pressure is expected to be about 8500 Btu per kwhr.

Extensive studies were conducted during the spring of 1962 to examine the relative economics of different steam conditions and turbine types. A large portion of these studies were performed on the Bal.timore Gas and Electric Company's type 1620 computer located in the Electric Engineers Department. This machine is a Mark I Model with a 20 K memory.

The selection of the above steam conditions and turbine type were based on the results of these studies. Additional details may be found in Volume XXV of the Proceedings of the American Power Conference dated March 26, 27 and 28, 1963 under the title "Economics of the Selection of 3500-Psig Double Reheat for a $300-\mathrm{Mw}$ Unit" by R. W. Davies and G. C. Creel.
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A. H. Best
C. C. Brown
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R. W. Ellis
H. P. Gelsi
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S. Jurnack
T. S. Latterner
D. A. Lloyd
T. E. Morrisson
D. J. Pearce
H. C. Peterson
I. E. Rosenbarker
C. S. Schrodel - Acting Team Chairman
M. Seewald
W. B. Thompson
L. F. Zimmerman

Report of Activities of Chemical Engineering Programs Team

The Chemical Engineering session opened with each person present making a short statement about his area of interest. There were no formal papers given, so the session consisted of group discussion of those topics of greatest interest.

Process design calculations and statistical correlations were two areas of general interest.

The problems incurred in attempting to make generalized heat and material balances in process design were shared by many present. Convergance when recycle streams are present was one problem which was brought forth. It was also noted that the greatest complications sometimes arose in simple blending and separating operations, rather than the ostensibly more complex distillation columns, heat exchangers, reactors, etc.

In the process design the correlation of properties is important, and this led into the second area of interest - statistical correlations. There was a demonstration of one case in which multiple linear regression analysis was particularly useful as a method of correlation. In this case, equilibrium constants, normally taken from a nomograph, were computed by means of an equation found by regression analysis from the nomograph.

Enthalpy correlations were also discussed and the problem of poor data on nonideal mixtures was brought up. The concensus was that the engineer in many cases needs better numbers than he now has at his disposal.

This brought us around to the questions of accuracy of data, reproducibility of experiments, design of experiments and quality control. There was a great deal of interest in these topics but time did not permit discussion of them. It is hoped that much more in these areas can be undertaken at the Buffalo meeting in September.

Diana A. Lloyd, Acting Secretary Chemical Engineering Programs Team
C. S. Schrodel, Acting Chairman
Chemical Engineering Programs Team
3.7 .2

[^0]Ken Brady - Team Chairman
Harry Fitch
Jim Quigley
Charles MoKelvey
Berl Springer
Jim Enterkin
Bob Nichols
Ray Van Wuyckhuyse
John Monsees
Ed Cox
Rod Dabe
Dick Davis
Francis McCue
Wanda Stacke

> Report of Activities of
> Rate Engineering Programs Team

The second meeting of the Rate Engineering Programs Team was held in conjunction with the 1620 Users Group Meeting in Washington, D.C. on May 6 through May 8, 1964.

Ken Brady presented copies of two programs used by Idaho Power Company. Copies of the first page of each program are attached (Attachments 1 and 2). I feel sure Mr. M. E. Byrne would furnish additional information upon request.

Ken also presented a Long Island Lighting Company program which they used in connection with an appliance survey to determine incremental appliance consumptions. Copies of this program are available upon request from Ken.

Jim Enterkin presented a New England Electric Service program. This is primarily a statistical program with the title of "Computation of Coefficient of Correlation (simple, linear correlation) by the short-cut Crude Data Method, Straight Line Regression, and Standard Error of Estimate". Attachment 3 as copied from the program gives a summary of the program. Jim said copies of the program would be available and that it would be possible to furnish a source deck to anyone really interested in the program.

Ray Van Wuyckhuyse of Rochester Gas and Electric discussed their program for the "Adjustment of Sales and Revenues for Weather and Tariff Changes". This program was written for a 1401 and Ray says it taxed a l2K memory. Copies of the paper are available and he will answer any questions regarding the program. Portions of the first pages are marked Attachment 4.

Harry Fitch of LILCO next presented a paper entitled "Crossing Points, Rate Selection and Comparison". This program compares revenues for different rates and is used to help in rate recommendations where a customer has the choice between two rates. Copies may be obtained by contacting Harry.

Jom Monsees, Con Ed, New York, presented the last paper. The sumnary of this paper is marked Attachment 5. Copies are available from John.

Three sub-committees were set up by Ken Brady. These are as follows:
I. Bill Analysis

1. Harry Fitch
2. Bob Nichols
3. Ray Van Wuyckhuyse
II. Forecasting
4. Ken Brady
5. Jim Quigley
6. Ed Cox
III. Ogive
7. Jim Entericin
8. Ken Brady

All members were requested to select an example of the method they use in analyzing customers bills to Committee $I$.

Ken Brady is to prepare a glossary of rate terms used in writing programs and submit it for approval at our September meeting.

John Monsees is interested in contacting anyone using the computer to determine the Purchased Gas Adjustment.

The next meeting will be held during the Users Group Meeting in Buffalo, September 2, 3 and 4, 1964.

```
L. E. Cox, Jr., Secretary
Rate Engineering Programs Team
```

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

1620 NEES Program No. 15 - Computation of Coefficient of Correlation (Simple, Linear Correlation) by the Short-Cut Crude Data Method, Straight Line Regression, and Standard Error of Estimate - R. C. Burrowes and J. E. Enterkin, New England Power Service Company

Crossing Points, Rate Selection and Comparison - H. L. Fitch, Long Island Lighting Company

Electric Water Heating, Incremental Appliance Usage - K. W. Brady, Long Island Lighting Company

## Program 421 Rate Analyzer

Summary
This program takes as input the zone report from Billing and under control of the header cards which have a proposed rate in them will recompute the revenue to yield what it would be had the new rate been in force. This program is used to study the effect of rate charges on revenue.

Input
Cards are read in this order:
(a) Title Card
(b) Minimum Card
(c) Rate by blocks (maximum of 10 blocks)
(d) Block Kwh (Maximum 10 blocks)
(e) Any number of zone cards

Cards $b, c, d$ \& e are repeated for each schedule. There is a maximum of 5 schedules permitted. There is also a limitation on the total number of blocks in all schedules and this maximum is 36.

Output
The output is a report which turns out the old and new revenue on the zone report then this is reprinted but zoned up on the new blocks. All possible percents and per units are printed out. This is done for each report's schedule. Then a per cent summary is printed out at the end when all percents are per cent of total of all schedules. The detail of the printout can be more clearly described by showing an actual printout which follows.


#### Abstract

Summary This program takes the output of the program designed to accumulate zones from customer bills and sums them up into intervals, scales the sum and punches out a card which when printed $80-80$ will yield a graph. There is also punched out at each interval the value of the Ogive curve as well as the actual value of the Kwh zone. A scale is printed out every 24 intervals the zone report used. As input is zoned every 10 Kwh . An interval is 40 Kwh .

Input


The input has a header card and any number of 10 Kwh zone cards. Since the zone card is put out on two-cards. Each zone input reads two cards. The format is so arranged so it picks out the data needed from the two cards. In this program the input actually reads three schedules. The first schedule (non-waterheating) is to be plotted and the sum of the second and third schedule to be plotted in a second curve. (Water-heating and total electric). In selecting the scale the highest per cent encountered is considered and the scale to read in 100 divided by the maximum per cent or the number of columns per per cent. If this is written in as a multiple of 50 the scale multiplier will be on even number since the basic scale is 0-2\%.

Output
When the output is punched the cards are sorted on Column 73 and the first curve will be in 1 and the second in 2. The output of each is then put on the Fortron printer board for the 407. This is wired so that a 11 punch in Col 72 will cause the card to be printed in Col $60-120$ while the card without a 11 punch will print from $0-60$.

TITLF: Computation of Coefficient of Correlation (simple, linear correlation) by the short-cut Crude Data Method, Straight Line Regression, and Standard Error of Estimate.

BY: R.C. Burrowes and J.E. Enterkin, New England Power Service Co.
SCOPE: In common usage, the term "correlation" is used to mean the causal effect or co-relationship which exists between variables. The degree to which variables are co-related or influence one another is represented by a computed measure called the "coefficient of correlation." Values of this coefficient range from zero (no correlation at all) to approaching +1 or -1 (approaching perfect positive or perfect negative correlation).

Positive correlation results when the movement of two series of variables is closely related in such a way that they move together. An increase in one series accompanies an increase in the other, or a decrease in one series accompanies a decrease in the other. (For example: the related movement of degree days and heating fuel consumption).

Negative correlation results when one variable increase as the other decreases, such as KWH used and average cents per KWH.

This program will concern itself only with simple linear correlation; the correlation of two variables (one of which is independent and one dependent) to be described by a straight line regression. Suffice to say that there are more elaborate methods which exist to determine the degree of correlation among more than two variables, and for computing curved regression lines.

The method outlined in this program is applicable, subject to core limitations, for any two series (one dependent and one independent) that may logically be assumed to influence one another.

Attachment \#3

## PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM

In many companies the Rate Department is the group which provides management with the theoretical figures that are reasonable answers to the "what would happen if" questions. There is constant experiment with ideas to provide those answers from the data which are available.

A responsibility of our Rate \& Economic Research Department is the preparation of forecasts of customers, sales units and revenue dollars by months for seven different classes of business by each type of gas (mixed and straight natural). The Rate Department, for proper evaluation of the forecasts, adjusts the reported figures for prior years to the same standards which are being used for the forecast periods.

The 1964 Forecast presented special problems. The heating classes were to be estimated to the monthly requirements of a so-called "New Normal" as provided by the Weather Bureau. The revenues were to be estimated on the basis of rate schedules that were not yet in effect. All of our prior years data for normal requirements were developed to meet the so-called "Old Normal". The revenue data had been produced under two different sets of prior rate schedules.

There are various ways and means of determining theoretically what revenues might have been if the weather had been normal, and there are several different ways of calculating what revenue might be on a proposed rate schedule. Our Rate Department, late in 1963, began experimenting with a mathematical method of developing these required answers monthly. Because of the tremendous amount of calculation required to test the formulas and to provide adjusted monthly data for several prior years for almost thirty different classes (by revenue code and by rate code), and the need to provide these statistics for the forecast in a very.
shor period of tire, the problem was referred to the Data Processing Group. The job was completed by them from start to finish in about a month's time. The program provided not only statistics for the 1964 Forecast but also provided data for the years 1960 to date on a truly comparable basis, that is, all sales adjusted to the requirements of the "New Normal" and all revenues adjusted to theoretical on the new rate schedules. This program is now providing the Rate Department with monthly sales and revenue figures for "normalized weather."

It is interesting to note here that for the first three months of this year we have had, in total, almost normal heating requirements as related to our billing cycles. With all the gyrations of adjustments - plus and minus - the deviation between the total revenues as reported for these three months and the total revernes as developed for normal wather conditions is only $0.07 \%$ of the total. This is certainly encouraging on the adjustment for the weather aspect but we are still considering it to be an experiment. In addition, the rate schedule part must stand the tests of time and statistical methods.

THEORY
There are two theories involved in this program. One has to do with adjustment of sales units for weather. The other is concerned with adjustment of revenues based on the revised sales units and/or a change in rate schedule.

The objective of this program is to accumulate the monthly block analyses of the number of gas bills rendered and the associated consumption (in CCF) to an annual total within each block and to a grand total for all the blocks. A cumulative total is also calculated as each successive block is summed. When the last block is processed, the total number of bills and total consumption is computed. From the totals and the accumulated figures the consolidated factor is computed for each block. (See Appendix for details.)

To facilitate checking, the total number of bills and consumption is computed for each month and visually compared with the monthly block analysis figures already available.

The monthly data for bills and consumption is stored in 12 columns, one for each month. By summing the first figures in every column, we ohtain an annual total of bills or consumption for the first analysis. (See Appendix for details.)

The present monthly gas analysis involves eight tables representing our two service classifications, our space heating customers and the irregular bills rendered. Because part of Consolidated Edison's service area is subject to a $1 \%$ utility franchise tax, separate statistics are maintained on each table for the system and the area for which the tax is not applicable. For the sixteen required tables, whose number of blocks vary from 47 to 57 , the total running time on the 16201 , exclusive of listing punched output, is approximately one hour. This compares with a manual time of approximately 115 man-hours.

In the following appendix, the computer application is covered more technically.
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The Operations Research Programs Team meeting met with Dr. James R. Oliver serving as Chairman. There were approximately 75 persons in attendance.

Two papers were presented in their entirety: EMAGF - An Economic Matrix Generator for a Distribution Transformer Management Program - by W. W. Pleines, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company (abstract attached), and a Multiple-Iteration Procedure for Engineering Design by M. L. Baxter, Jr., Gleason Works (presented by Theodore J. Krenzer of Gleason Works).

Because of a time shortage, a third paper by Dr. James R. Oliver, University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, was presented in an abbreviated form. His paper was entitied, "A Random Number Technique for the Study of Machine Breakdown and Repair". The technique used a random number generator to produce a sequence of random numbers used to determine whether or not breakdown would occur. During the study the probability of breakdown was varied over a considerable range. If breakdown occurred, a test was made to determine whether or not machines were waiting to be repaired. If they were not, the machine undergoing breakdown would begin to be serviced. If other machines were waiting, it was simply added to a line to be serviced as time became available. If no breakdown occurred, a check was made to determine whether machines were waiting to be repaired. If so, work continued on them; if not, the repairmen were then idle. In the case of machines waiting to be repaired, a summation was made of the idle machine hours. When no machines were being repaired, a summation was made of idle man hours. The values recorded by the program were the number of time units used in the experiment, number of breakdowns occurring during the time period, number of time units the repair personnel were not engaged, and the number of time units (accumulated) during which machines were not in operation.

Dr. Oliver pointed out an interesting but as yet undetermined result. When the probability, in per cent, of breakdown multiplied by the time units needed for repair equal approximately 100, a sudden discontinuity was observed in the curve representing the idie machine hours versus time and the idle man hours versus time. Also, a sudden growth of the residual line occurred under similar conditions.

The speaker made it plain that the work reported was of a preliminary nature and that additional investigation was being continued. Interested persons were encouraged to write for further information concerning this study.

There being no further papers to present or business to be transacted, the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned.

Dr. James R. Oliver, Chairman Operations Research Programs Team

Papers Presented At This Session Which Are Reprinted in Section 4

A Multiple-Iteration Procedure for Engineering Design - M. L. Baxter, Jr. Gleason Works

OPTIMUM REPLACEMENT OF CAPACITY-LIMITED EQUIPMENT
W. W. PLEINES

This paper explains the development of a cost-based model for transformer replacement which can be adapted for the replacement of capacity-limited equipment in general. The model, used as a component of the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company's Transformer Load Management System, is contained in a three-part program for the IBM 1620 computer. Using fixed and demand-dependent costs for all sizes and types of transformer in place, it generates opportunity-loss matrices from which it determines, for each possible demand, whether a given unit should be left in place or changed to a larger or smaller unit of standard size.
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Report of Activities of
General Data Processing Programs Team

The Programs Team Meeting for General Data Processing was called to order by temporary Chairman, Robert Soucy.

Following the theme of the May Meeting, three special session program papers were delivered at the meeting. They were:
a. "Electric Load Statistic System" by E. H. Parker, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company.
b. "A Work Performance Monitoring System" by D. D. Williams, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company.

Both of these papers clearly indicate how the integration of data can be a source of valuable management reports and operating in. formation.

A sample of the management reports produced by these programs were distributed to those attending the meeting.
c. "ZIP - A Multiple Line Keyword in Context Program" by T. J. Scott, Sun Oil Company.

Mr. Scott's program is designed to perform a Keyword (or Keyphrase) in context analysis on a multiple line or card abstract, paragraph or magazine article.

An outline of Mr. Scott's paper and a sample of "Keyword Phrases in Context" output list was distributed to those attending the meeting.
R. Soucy, Acting Chairman

General Data Processing Programs Team
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ZIP - A Multiple Line Keyword in Context Program - T. J. Scott, Sun Oil Company
A Work Performance Monitoring System Designed for an Electric Utility Meter and Installation Department - D. D. Willians, Baltimore Gas and Electric Company
$\frac{\text { An Electric Load Statistics System for an Electris Utility - E. H. Parker, }}{\text { Baltimore Gas and Electric Company }}$ Baltimore Ges and Electric Company
J. J. Owen - Team Chairman
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Report of Activities of 1710 Users Sub-Group

Mr. J. J. Owen, Chairman for the 1710 Users Sub-Group opened the meeting with a welcome to all present, and a call for additional papers at the next meeting.

There were approximately 30 people in the meeting at various times although only 14 users and 3 IBM personnel signed the attendance roster. A list of those signing is attached. It is assumed that these are the people who are most interested in the activities of the Group.

It was announced that plans for the next meeting included a discussion of 1710 Executive systems by IBM specialists.

The program for this session consisted of two integrated presentations covering aspects of system planning. The first paper entitled "Installation Planning for an IBM 1710 System" by Mr. Lawson E. Stewart of the IBM Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland, covered System Planning and 1710 Installation Implementation. Mr. Stewart covered the general subject of project organization and planning. He began with a suggested outline of broad goals for the project:

1. Effective translation of Management plans into a working system.
2. Education for future projects.
3. Meeting of project goals and deadlines with least effort and cost.

With respect to the first point, Mr. Stewart emphasized that most of the less than successful computer control installations throughout the industry were the result of improper definition and understanding of project goals. To prevent this occurrence, Mr. Stewart recommended direct involvement of top management in the project via an initial report covering goals and intended methods of achieving them, plus periodic reports of progress and plan modifications.

Education for future projects, reports Mr. Stewart, stems from a conscious effort to man the project with this view and from a comprehensive system of documentation which covers not only programming but physical planning and all other aspects of the project.

Meeting of goals and deadlines requires careful coordination and control. The most important aspects of this would be selection of proper personnel for each job function on the project team, assurance of complete communication between team members, and use of such planning tools as critical path analysis. On this last point, Mr. Stewart dis-
played a portion of a critical path schedule prepared using the IBM LESS program. He emphasized the need to include all portions of the projects in the time estimates and job descriptions.

Following this portion of the discussion, Mr. Stewart presented outlines of the functions to be considered in any computer control application:

## For Known Applications

1. Establish specific goals for this system, long range and short range. What is to be accomplished, by when? What would be the ultimate extension of this application?
2. Organize the basic project team to include these functions or abilities Project leadership - a leader and administrator, not just a technical specialist. Process knowledge - practical experience plus sound knowledge of fundamentals. Instrumentation - knowledge of measurement techniques and signal treatment. Programming - math and system knowledge.

Installation - field installation experience and checkout techniques. Documentation - probably a full time job for one person, to maintain documentation standards and assure the satisfactory preparation of all reports and final documentation.
3. Prepare critical path schedule for total project: Takes about three weeks to complete the first time.
4. Establish a list of reports and calculations to be performed.
5. Generate a sensor list.
6. Analyze accuracy requirements for all measurements, so that high precision instruments are used only where needed.
7. Follow a formal schedule of project meetings and benchmark progress reports.
8. Check and plan completely the physical installation.
9. Prepare program flow-special emphasis here on the use of Decision Tables for both program logic planning tool and as program documentation.
10. Program checking by simulation or use of a 1710 system.
11. Conduct electrical noise tests at the installation site.
12. Design the operator's console. This was considered a vital point from the view that poor design would reduce operator cooperation and system effectiveness.
13. Operator training in use of the console and computer program is a must for successful operation and application growth.
14. Model Building Tests are required with just about any computer control application. This phase should be under the direction of an expert in this particular work. This man normally has as a background, practical experience with the operation of the specific process, knowledge of statistical techniques and high-precision measurement.
15. Document all phases of project as you go, and prepare progress reports which serve to inform top management as well as continually selling the advantages of the project. The greatest failing in project teams is the lack of understanding that a project must be continually "sold" to top management in order to keep interest and support for the project.

## For New Applications

List included only additional requirements above those for a known application.

1. Study the basic process. Accumulate all known infornation on theory and practical operation.
2. Run manual tests to establish important process parameters.
3. Establish, by data analysis, potential control areas.
4. Analyze measurement and control practicality.
5. Install system for data acquisition and reduction.
6. Develop math model of the process and verify.
7. Proceed as with known application.

Mr. Stewart concluded with a plea for more presentations in this area as more 1710 systems go into operation.

The second paper of the session entitled "Some Early Experiences in the Design of a System for an Electric Utility Power Plant by Mr. William H. Beck of the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company introduced specific material used in the documentation and planning of a 1710 System for the Herbert A. Wagner Electrical Generating Plant of the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company. Mr. Beck presented copies of the documentation and programming standards adopted by his company for the 1710 installation project. In the discussion of the attached standards, Mr. Beck emphasized the fact that a primary goal of the 1710 installation was the acquisition of knowledge and education in computer control of power plants. For this reason, additional care is going into the development and following of standards for documentation of programs, project planning, writing of reports and logging of data on effort and time required for each aspect of the entire project.

As a specific example of the planning outlined in Mr. Stewart's
discussion, Mr. Beck's presentation was considered by those present to be extremely valuable and exactly the kind of specific, practical material needed for other users about to embark upon an installation.

The meeting concluded after a question and answer period which covered the two presentations and additional material on measurements, etc.
J. J. Owen, Chairman

1710 Users Sub-Group

Note: Formal copies of the papers by Mr. Stewart and Mr. Beck are not available for reprinting within these "Proceedings".
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# COMPUTATION OF COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION <br> (SIMPLE, LINEAR CORRELATION) BY THE SHORT-CUT CRUDE DATA METHOD, STRAIGHT LINE REGRESSION, AND STANDARD ERROR OF ESTIMATE 

R. C. Burrowes and J. E. Enterkin

New England Power Service Company

TITLE: Computation of Coefficient of Correlation (simple, linear correlation) by the short-cut Crude Data Mathod, Straight Line Regression, and Standard Error of Estimate.

II BY: R.C. Burrowes and J.E. Enterkin, New England Power Service Co.
In common usage, the term "correlation" is used to mean the cousul effect or co-relationship which exists between variables. The degree to which variables are co-related or influence one another is represented by a computed measure called the "coefficient of correlation." Values of this coefficient range from zero (no correlation at all) to approaching +1 or -1 (approaching perfect positive or perfect negative correlation).

Positive correlation results when the movement of two series of variables is closely related in such a way that they move together. An increase in one series accompanies an increase in the other, or a decrease in one series accompanies a decrease in the other. (For example: the related movement of degree days and heating fuel consumption).

Negative correlation results when one variable increase as the other decreases, such as KWH used and average cents per KWH.

This program will concern itself only with simple linear correlation; the correlation of two variables (one of which is independent and one dependent) to be described by a straight line regression. Suffice to say that there are more elaborate methods which exist to determine the degree of correlation among more than two variables, and for computing curved regression lines.

The method outlined in this program is applicable, subject to core limitations, for any two series (one dependent and one independent) that may logically be assumed to influence one another.

## IV TEST

DATA:
The following data (taken from a sample of New England Utilities) will be utilized to illustrate the 1620 Fortran program designed to calculate the coefficient of correlation, the "Least Squares" straight line regression that best describes the relationship, and the standard error of estimate which indicates the amount of dispersion in the dependent variable, which we have failed to account for by the regression line.

| $X=$ independent variable |
| :---: |
| Ult. Customers per pole mile |
| $120^{\circ}$ |
| $21^{\circ}$ |
| $36^{\circ}$ |
| $43^{\circ}$ |
| $49^{\circ}$ |
| $55^{\circ}$ |
| $60^{\circ}$ |
| $60^{\circ}$ |
| $70^{\circ}$ |

$Y$ = dependent variable KVA per line transformer
9.3
11.1
10.9
16.4
21.1
20.5
17.8
28.1
23.6
44.5
105.
30.1
120.
183.
43.4
50.0
A. Formulas

1. Coefficient of correlation

$$
r=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum x^{2} \cdot \sum y^{2}}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\text { coeff. of correlation } \\
\sum \sum \mathrm{Exy} & =\text { sum of the individual products of each } x \text { and } y \\
& \text { deviations from their respective means. } \\
\sum x^{2}= & \text { sum of the squares of the } x \text { deviations from the } \\
\sum y^{2} & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { mean of } X \\
\\
\\
\\
\text { mean of } Y
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The shortcut method utilizes the "centering process" (as outlined in appendix $D$ ) to arrive at the sum of the squares of the deviations of $\bar{X}, Y$ and the sum of the products of the deviations $X$ times the deviations $Y$.
2. Linear Regression Equation

$$
T=a+b X, b=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}, a=\bar{Y}-h \bar{X}
$$

where:

| $\sum$ | $=$ computed trend of $Y$ variable |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\sum_{X Y}$ | $=$ same as defined above |
| $\bar{X}$ | $=$ same as defined above |
| $\bar{X}$ | $=$ arithmetic mean of $X$ series |
| $\bar{Y}$ | arithmetic mean of $\bar{y}$ series |
| $X$ | $=$ original values of independent variable |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | $=$ original values of dependent variable |

As a further shortcut, the two normal equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sum Y} Y & =N a+b \sum X \\
& =a \sum X+b \Sigma X^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

can be reduced. to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\bar{Y}-b \bar{X} \quad(\text { origin at } X=0) \\
& b=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$a=$ the computed or trend value of $Y$ when $X=0$
$b=$ the amount and direction of slope at the point where $X=0$
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## VT. PROGRAM FORMAT:

A. Input Data

The $X$ and $Y$ series may be of any length up to 366 pairs; but there must be one $X$ value for each $Y$ value.

Two F 10.4 formats permit use of $X$ and $Y$ data of six whole numbers and four decimals. However, machine storage capacity and output formats may restrict the use of long series of large digit numbers.

The first entry card must be an "Item" card showing the number of pairs of $X$ and $Y$ variables in the series to follow. This item number must be punched with its units position in Col. 3. (see appendix A).
$X, Y$ data cards follow, and are entered in the following sequence:

with the X I value punched in cols. I through 10 (the cols.7,8,9,10 representing four places to the right of the decjmal point) and the corresponding Y 1 value punched in cols. Il through 20 (the cols.17,18, 19,20 representing four places to the right of the decimal point). Each card contains an $X$ value and its corresponding $Y$ value.

An attempt has been made to make the formats as broad as possible, but on occasion we have experienced an overflow in printing the sum of the squares of the $y$ deviations from the mean of $Y$ (symbolically $\sum^{2}{ }^{2}$ ) coded as SOYSQ and printed in output as SUM DEV I SQ. When this overflow occured, the number was printed in "floating point." This "F-8 overflow error did not invalidate the computations, it merely shifted the mode of output for this particular value from fixed point to floating point.

## B. Symbols Used in the Program ares (See Appendix B)

ITEM = the number of pairs of $X$ and $Y$ items to be correlated
SUMP $=\Sigma X=$ total of $X$ series
SUMY $=\sum Y_{2}=$ total of $Y$ series
SMSQX = $\sum X^{2}=$ sum of squares of each $X$ value
SMSQY $=\sum Y^{2}=$ sum of squares of each $Y$ value
SMPRO = EXY = sum of the product of each $\mathbf{I}$ value multiplied by its corresponding $Y$ value
AVG = $\bar{X}=$ arithmetic mean of the $X$ series
AVG $=\Sigma_{\bar{Y}}=$ arithmetic mean of the $Y$ series
SOXSQ $=\sum x^{2}=$ sum of the squares of the deviations of each $X$ value from the mean of the $X$ series.
SOYSQ $=\sum y^{2}$ - sum of the squares of the deviations of each $I$ value from the mean of the $Y$ series.
SOY $=\sum x y=$ sum of the cross products of the deviations of each $x$ value from the mean of $X$ times the deviation of each $Y$ value from the mean of $Y$.
$R=$ the coefficient of correlation
$T=$ the computed trend values of $Y$
$A=$ the $Y$ intercept $=\bar{Y}-B \bar{X}$
$B$ = the amount and direction of slope at the point where $x=0$; or $\sum x y-\therefore \sum x^{2}$
$D=$ deviations of actual $Y$ values from the computed trend values of $Y$. SMDSQ = $\sum D^{2}=$ sum of the squares of the deviations of actual $Y$ values from the computed trend values of $Y$.
SUMT = sum of the trend values of $Y$
SIDE $=\sqrt{\frac{\sum D^{2}}{C O U N T}}=$ standard error of estimate
SQRTF = square root
READ = read via punched card
PUNCH = punch output on card
PRINT = print output on typewriter
COUNT = ITEM
C. OUTPUT DATA (See Appendix C)

During the course of the program execution, the value of the coefficient of correlation $R$, the trend equation constants $A$ and $B$, and the count of the number of pairs of $X$ and $I$ data are printed on the typewriter as soon as they are computed to provide immediate answers.

Complete statistics are punched on cards for separate listing, and these include the following:

\author{
$R=$ coed. of correlation <br> $\left.\begin{array}{l}A=\text { regression constant } \\ B=\text { regression constant }\end{array}\right\}$ Equation, $Y=A+B X$ <br> Count $=$ no. of pairs of $X$ and $Y$ data <br> List of $X, Y$, Trend Values, Deviations from Trend <br> Std. Error of Estimate <br> Mean of $X$ series <br> Mean of Y series <br> Sum of Nev. of $X$, squared <br> Sum of Lev. of $Y$, squared <br> Sum of Der. of XI <br> Sum of Nev. from Trend, squared

}

## NOTE:

The standard error of estimate is a measure, in absolute terms, of the variation of the actual values of the dependent variable (Y) from their estimated values. It would be expected that $68 \%$ of the actual $Y$ values would fall within 41 std. error est. of the regression line, $95 \%$ within $\pm 2$ std. error est. and $99.7 \% \pm 3$ std. error of est.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE
The std. error of estimate, when squared, represents the amount of variance unexplained by the regression line.

The Sum DEV Y SQ divided by Count or ( $\frac{\sum y^{2}}{N}$ ) represents total variance.
Total variance minus unexplained variance - explained variance.
The explained and unexplained variance can be turned into \% of total variance for quantitative analysis of the success of predicting from the regression equation.

The square of the coeff. of correlation also equals the $\%$ of explained variance.
Although the analysis of variance has not been programmed, the necessary components are readily available and the hand calculation is $s 0$ minor that it was thought to be worth including to round out the correlation analysis.
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ITEM CARD. Showing that there are 13 pairs of $X$ and $Y$ values in this input to follow.
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DIMENSION X(366), Y(366)
10 SUMX $=0$.
SUMY $=0$.
SMSQX $=0$.
SMSQY $=0$.
SMPRO $=0$.
READ1, ITEM
1 FORMAT (13)
COUNT = ITEM
D0100 I $1=1$ ITEM
100 READ 2, $X(i), Y(1)$
2 FORMAT ( 2 F10.4)
D0101 l=1,1TEM
SUMX $=$ SUMX $+X(1)$
SUMY $=$ SUMY $+Y(1)$
SMSQX $=$ SMSQX $+X(1) * * 2$
SMSQY $=$ SMSQY $+Y(1) * * 2$
101 SMPRO $=$ SMPRO $+X(1) * Y(1)$
..... AVGX $=$ SUMX/COUNT
AVGY $=$ SUMY/COUNT
SOXSQ $=$ SMSQX - AVGX * SUMX
SOYSQ $=$ SMSQY - AVGY * SUMY
SOXY =SMPRO - AVGX * SUMY
$R=S O X Y /(S Q R T F(S O X S Q * S O Y S Q))$
$B=S O X Y / S O X S Q$
$A=A V G Y-B * A V G X$
PRINT145,R,ITEM
PUNCH145,R, ITEM
145 FORMAT (1X14HR
$=, F 14.4 / 1 \times 14$ HCOUNT $=, 19 /$ )
PRINT147,A, B
PUNCH1.47, A, B
147 FORMAT ( $1 \times 14$ HEQUATION, $Y=, F 17.7,3 H+, F 17.7,4 H * X /)$
PUNCH148
148 FORMAT (10X1HX,16X1HY,14X5HTREND,13X4HDEV./)
SUMT $=0$.
SMDSQ $=0$.
SMSQX=0.
D0102 $1=1$ ITEM
$T=A+B+X(1)$
Dm Y(1)-T
SMSQX=SMSQX+D
SMDSQ = SMDSQ+D**2
SUMT $=$ SUMT $+T$
102 PUNCH $48, X(1), Y(1), T, D$
.48 FORMAT (1X4F17.7)

- STDEE = SQRTF (SMDSQ/COUNT)

PUNCH 48 , SUMX., SUMY, SUMT, SMSQX
PUNCH47, ŚTDEE, AVGX
47 FORMAT ( $/ / 11 \times 14$ HSTS ERR. $\quad-$ F17.7/1X14HMEAN $X \quad=, F 17.7$ )
50 PUNCH50, AVGY, SOXSO
50 FORMAT. (1X14HMEAN Y PUNCH52.,SOYSQ,SOXY




| STD ERR. | 6.0625265 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MEAN $X$ | 64.7692300 |
| MEAN Y | 25.1384610 |
| SUM DEF X SO. | 27076.3080000 |
| SUM DEF Y SO. | 2180.9110000 |
| SUM DEF KY | 6790.7160000 |
| SUM DEF D SO. | 477.8049700 |

## COMPUTATION OF COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION

(Short-cut crude data method)

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | I | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | XY | $Y^{2}$ | REGRESSION | DEVIATIONS OF |
|  | INDEPENDENT | DEPENDENT |  |  |  | Yc VALVES | ACTUAL Y VALUES |
|  | VARIABLE | VARIABLE | SQUA | ES \& PROD | UCTS | COMPUTED. | FROM REGRESSION |
|  | ULT. CUSTOMER | KVA PER |  |  |  |  | OR COMPUTED Y |
|  | PER POTE KILE | LINE TRANSP. |  |  |  |  | VALUES |
|  | 12 | 9.3 | 14.4 | 111.6 | 86.49 | 11.9 | - 2.6 |
|  | 19 | 11.1 | 361 | 210.9 | 123.21 | 13.7 | -2.6 |
|  | 21 | 10.9 | 441 | 228.9 | 128.81 | 14.2 | - 3.3 |
|  | 36 | 16.4 | 1,296 | 590.4 | 268,96 | 17.9 | - 2.5 |
|  | 43 | 21.1 | 1,849 | 907.3 | 445.21 | 19.7 | +1.4 |
|  | 49 | 20.5 | 2,401 | 1,004.5 | $\cdots 420.25$ | 21.2 | - $\quad .7$ |
|  | - 59 | 17.8 | 3,481 | 1,050.2 | 316.84 | 23.7 | - 5.9 |
|  | 60 | 28.1 | 3,600 | 1,686.0 | 789.61 | 23.9 | +4.2 |
|  | 65 | 23.6 | 4,225 | 1,534.0 | -556.96 | 25.2 | - 1.6 |
|  | 70 | 44.5 | 4,900 | 3,115.0 | 1,980.25 | 26.5 | +18.0 |
|  | 105 | 30.1 | 11,025 | 3,160.5 | 906.01 | 35.2 | - 5.1 |
|  | 120 | 43.4 | 114,400 | 5,208.0 | 1,883.56 | 39.0 | + 4.1 |
|  | 283 | 50.0 | 33,489 | 9,150.0 | 2,500.00 | 54.8 | - 4.8 |
| Cotals | 842 | 326.8 | 81,612 | 27,957.3 | 10,396.16 | 326.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma+\text { deviations }=28.0 \\ & \Sigma-\text { deviations=28.1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MEANS | $\overline{7}=64.77$ | 25.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORRECT | IONS* |  | 54,536 | 21,166.8 | 8,215.75 |  |  |
| CENTERE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27,076 \\ & \sum x^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $6,790.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,180.41 \\ & \sum y^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bar{X}=$ arithmetic mean of X |
| * Cor | rections |  |  |  |  |  | $\bar{I}=\text { ari thmetic }$ |
| 64. 64. 25. | $77 \times 842=54$ <br> $77 \times 326.8=2$ <br> $14 \times 326.8=8$ | 36 166.8 15.75 |  | , |  |  | $\Sigma=$ sum of |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{2 x^{2} \cdot \sum y^{2}}}=\frac{6,790.5}{\sqrt{(27,076) \cdot(2,180.41)}} \\
& =\frac{6,790.5}{\sqrt{59,036,781.16}}=\frac{6,790.5}{7,683.54}-+0.8838
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore & =\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}=\frac{6,790.5}{27,076} \\
& =.2508 \\
a & =\bar{Y}-b X=25.94-(.2508)(64.77) \\
& =25.14-16.24 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Trend Line or Yo $=a+b X \quad$ : Origin at $X=0$

$$
=8.90+.2508 X
$$

Equation for straight line $\mathrm{Ic}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$, wheres
$X$ : independent variable
Ye: computed $Y$ value or trend value of the dependent variable
$a$ = the Ic value when $X=0$
b - the amount and direction of slope at the point where $\mathrm{X}=0$

Substituting the original values of $X$ in the above equation Ic= a+bX, the estimated Ic values of the regression line are computed. For examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Yo } & =a+b x \\
= & 8.9+(.2508)(12) \\
& =11.9
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Yo } & =a+b x \\
& =8.9+(.2508)(183) \\
& =54.8 \quad(\text { See Column } 6
\end{aligned}
$$

At the point where original $X$ value. 12 the computed or regression value of $Y$ - 11.9 , and where original $X$ value - 183 the computed regression value of $Y=54.8$. Connecting these two computed I values by a straight line gives the regression line.

Note that the sum of the regression or computed Yo values approxinmates the sum of the given $Y$ values (See column 6). Also, the sum of the deviations of the dots above the regression line approximates the sum of the deviations of the dots below the regression line (See column 7). Thus, the regression in e calculated by the "method of least-squares" is termed the lIne of best fit.

The coefficient of correlation has been computed and found to be +.8838 . Using the knowledge that +1.00 is perfect positive correlation and -1.00 represents perfect negative correlation, it night be interpreted that +. 8838 represents fairly high positive correlation between ultimate customers per pele mile and KVA per line transformer. However, there remains a final computation to test the significarice of the coeffiaibint of correlation determined from these two series and appraise its value -o whether it be real and significant correlation or merely due to chance.

The test of significance is based upon the "null hypothesis" which assumes that no correlation exists between the series analywed. Chance values of "ri" the coefficient of correlation or related measures (F) are calculated and evaluated in a special table of F valves. From this table (found in Davies and Yoder, BUSINESS STATTSTICS, pp. 586-7, or Croxton and Cowden, APPLIED CENERAL STATISTICS, Pp. 878-9 -- see reference sources) the value of F computed from the coefficient of comrelation derived from any correlation analyaic can be compared with $F$ values expected due to "chancen (chance operating 5 times out of 100) and due to "significant co-relation" (chance operating 1 or less time out of 200). If our computed F value dieproves the "null hypothesian, then we have ceal correlation.

The $F$ table lists values for .05 or chance level, and .01 or significant level. Note that the $F$ values are in inverse relationship to the probability of chance or the chance level. The greater the opportunity of chance the smaller the $F$ value and vice versa. The table can be interpreted as followas

1) If the computed $F$ value for a particular problem is less than the .05 value of $F$, then the correlation can be said to be due to chance.
2) If the computed $F$ value for a particular problem is greater than the .05 value of $F$ but less than the .01 value, then the corvelation may be termed "significant".
3) If the computed $T$ value for a particular problem is greater than the .01 value of $\bar{F}$, then the correlation may be interpreted as being "highly sigmificant".

## TEST OF SIGMTPIGANGE

Computation and Interpretation of $F$ velue for our problem:

| $F$ | $=\frac{r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \cdot \frac{(N-m)}{(11-1)}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=\frac{.8838^{2}}{1-.8838^{2}} \cdot \frac{(13-2)}{(2-1)}$ |
|  | $=\frac{. .78110244}{1 \sim .78110244} \cdot(11)$ |
|  | $=\frac{.78110244}{.21869756} \bullet(11)$ |
|  | $=3.5683$ |$\quad \bullet(11)$

Symbol explanation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
F & =\frac{r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \cdot \frac{(N-m)}{(m-1)} \\
& =\frac{.8838^{2}}{1-.8838^{2}} \cdot \frac{(13-2)}{(2-1)} \\
& =\frac{.78110244}{1-.78110244} \cdot(11) \\
& =\frac{.78110244}{.21869756} \cdot(11) \\
& =3.5683
\end{array}\right)(11)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2}= & \text { coefficient of } \\
& \text { correlation squared } .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
N=\text { the number of pairs }
$$

of items correlated
(13 in our problem)
m the number of series
of variables correlated
(2 in our problem)

From $f$ table where columns are ( $m-1$ ) and lines are ( $N-m$ ), we find the F values for our problem in Column \#I (2-1) and Line "11 (13-2). Each line number has five values of $F$, the .05 level and the .01 level (in Davies and Yoder, pp. 586-58\%). In Croxton and Cowden (Appendix $0-2$ ) the . 001 level is shown in addition to the .05 and $.01 F$ values.

F value
FOR 2 SERIES OF
13 PAIRS OF ITEMS
(DAVIES AND YODER)

> .05 (chance operating 5 times out of 100 ) or chance level of $F$
> .01 (chance operating 1 time out of 100 ) or significant level of $F$
$=4.84$
$=9.65$

Since our computed value of $F=39.25$ and is a value greater than 9.65 , the F value of the .01 or significant level, chance is operating less than 1 time out of 100. Therefore, it must be concluded that the coefficient of correlation of to 8838 determined from 13 pair of items of ultimate Customers per Pole Mile and KVA par line Transformer taken from the 295 ? T \& D Study is highly sigmficant. In other words, the items correlated definitely prove that a real cause and effect relationship exists. The increase in KVA par line Transformer is influenced by the increase in the number of ULtimate Customers per Pole Mile. The $F$ test further indicates that ours sample of 13 pals of items, while perhaps a mall ample, was large enough to prove conclusively that real correlation exists between these two series.

On the other hand, if the computed value of F had been smaller than the . 05 level of $F$, then it would have indicated that there was no real correlation present, and either the coefficient of correlation would have had to have been larger than . 8838 in order to be significant or that our sample of 13 pair of items was not large enough to prove that real correlation existed.

The foregoing has been a brief attempt to acquaint the reader with soma of the statistical terms associated with correlation analysis, to illustrace a method of computing the coefficient of correlation and regression 11 nm, to test the significance of the results, and to point out some of the Linftath on i in basing on is intarpertation of the degree of correlation sanely upon the computed value of "y" (the coefficient of correlation).

## CROSSING POINTS

## RATE SELECTION AND CCUPARISON

H. L. Fitch

Iong Island Lighting Company

## CROSSING ' POINTS

Rate Selection and Comparison
H. L. Pitch - LILCO

Rate design and simplification is our business. We are constantly reviewing our structure searching for improved and reasonable rates, not only to reduce our wort; load and expense, but also for clarity and understending by our consumers. LILCO is no exception.

I would like to refer to the N. Y. S. Public Service Commission's memorandum adopted Jenuery 31, 1955 quote - "-After thorough consideration of the various mathods of bringing about the desired rate uniformity and tariff simplification, it is the judgement of the Commission that, with the two exceptions of service from underground lines and seasonal service, there should be one tariff schedule for the entire territory for each of the major categories of eervice, residential, general or commercial, and industrial."

Since that time our department has been endeavoring to attain this goal. Combining or cancelling rates, because of the severe effect on customer's bills, muat be done only after a complete review of each account affected and with steps of justification.

Faced with this problem we have for the past five years apent endless days of clerical computations examining our conflicting rate with the ultimate goal of eliminating it from the schedule. Gradually through careful rate selection and reductiona, utilising fully our IBM 1620 digital computer, we are oa the door atep of attaining complete rate uniformity and tariff simplification.

This program written in gORmar FORTRAN for card input into an IBM 1620 digital computer, will enable you to compare rates by producing crossing points and bill tables, if desired, in a matter of minutes.

Exhibit I (two pages) is aelfexplanatory flow diagram.
Bxhibit II (three pages) is the program written in FORMAT FORTRAN
Exhibit III is a sample of the input data card and a gloseary of terms and switches used.

Bxhibit IV is a eample of the header cards.
Rxhibit $V$ is a camie of the output data generated by the program.
Exhibit VI is an exemple of printed output data in steps of 50 KW Demund
Ixhibit VII is a lake exmple of printed output data in ateps of 1 KW Demand
Exhibit VIII is a ample of a bill table generated by the program if deaired.
This program uses as input data, aingle card designed by you containing a deanad pubch and a time punch. Together with the utilization of switches thic offers unlinited flexibitity at to starting point and output desired.

## Crossing Points (contd)

Naturally the complexity of the rates to be compared will determine the input scope. In explaining our Crossing Points program to you, allow me to refer to the specific examples exhibited. Please feel free to ask any ques Lions.

## Program Utilization

With reference to exhibit II, this program was initiated to compare S.C. No 2 with S.C. No. 4 by calculating the difference in the billing of a specific demand in steps of hours use. Incorporating awtech 1 for Primary or Second deary service, switch 2 to increment the demand after the 730 hour cycle and switch 3 as an option to obtain a bill table, we ware able to produce Crossing points to graphically show the advantages of our rate 2 va rate 4. Actually we are comparing four rates:

Rate 2 secondary vs. Rate 4 secondary
Rate 2 primary vs. Rate 4 primary
A complete cycle of 730 hours (avg, hours in avg, month), selecting each time the proper rate formula ( 9 - rate 2, 4 - rate 4), and generating output cards on either Crossing Points or bill table, requires approximately eight minutes machine time. A review of both Exhibits I (flow diagram) together with Exhibit II (the program) will clearly detail the sequence of a single cycle.

The output data, printed examples (VI, VII, VIII) was generated from one : input card (Exhibit III), manually operating the switches at will, in approximately 40 minutes.

Let us examine this output. - (Blackboard Exhibit)

## Conclusion:

This demonstration, however brief, indicates the advantage of 1620 digital computer application in rate comparison or rate selection work. Possibly some of you are presently confronted with this problem. Although this program was designed to satisfy our demand, only slight modification would be necessary to solve innumerable comparison problems. The general FORMAT is here for general use by any member.




GO TO 1000
506 IF:C-1 2000.) $507,507,508$
$507 \mathrm{GR}=1.500 * \mathrm{D}+0.019 * \mathrm{C}+8.392$
GO 101000
508 IF ( $C-36000.) 509,509,512$
$509 \mathrm{GR}=1.500 * \mathrm{D}+0.013 * \mathrm{C}+30.392$
GO TO 1000
512. $I F(D-100) 513,513,$.
$513 G R=1.500 * D+0.008 * C+260.392$
GO TO 1000
514 IF' $C-360 . * D) 509,509,515$
$515 G R=3.300 * D+0.003 * C+80.392$
GO TO 1000
5 IF $\left.F^{\prime} D-100.\right) 600,600,601$
600 IF $C-D * 30.) 602,602,603$
$602 P R=1.800 \% D$
GO TO $70 n$
603 IF $\left.F^{\prime} C-D * 120.\right) 604,604,605$
604 PR=0.551*D+0. $2333 * C$
609 IF'PR-1. $800 * 0) 6 \cap 2,6 \cap 2,606$
606 PR=PR
GO TO 700
605 IF (C-720n0.)607,607,608
$607 P R=1.727 * D+0.0135 * C$
GO TO 609
603 PR $=1.727 * D+0.0085 * C+360.000$ GO TO 609
601 IF C-D*0.)611,611,612
$611 \mathrm{PR}=1.800 * \mathrm{D}$
GO TO 700
उT2 TF ${ }^{-} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D} \times 120.0613,613,6 T 4$
$613 P R=0.501 * D+0.0233 * C+3.000$
610 IF (PR-1.800 $\div$ D) $611,611,615$
615 PR=PR GO TO 700
614 IF $C-72000.) 616,616,617$
6 T广゙ $7 F(D-200) 618,618,$.
$616 P R=1.677^{*} D+0.0135^{*} C+5.000$
GO TO 610
61: $\mathrm{PR}=1.677 * \mathrm{D}+0.0085 * C+365.000$
GO TO 610
619 IF $C-360 . * D) 616,616,622$
$622-P R=3.477 * D+0.0085 * C+5.000$ GO TO 610
700 IF D-100, ) 701,701,702
701 IF. C-90.) 703,703,704
$703 \mathrm{GR}=1.350 \div \mathrm{D}+0.039 * \mathrm{C}+4.912$ GO TO 1000
$704^{-1}$ F C C-210.)705,705,706
$705 \mathrm{GR}=1.350 * \mathrm{D}+0.031 * \mathcal{C}+5.632$ GO TO 1000
706 IF ( $C-1500.) 707,707,708$
$707 \mathrm{GR}=1.350 * \mathrm{D}+0.025 * \mathrm{C}+6.892$
GO TO 1000
708 TF(C -12000.$) 709,709,710$
$709 \mathrm{GR}=1.350 * \mathrm{D}+0.019 * \mathrm{C}+15.892$ GO TO 1000
710 IF (C-36000.) $711,711,714$
711 GR $=1.350 * 0+0.013^{*} * C+87.892$ GO TO 1000
$714 G R=1 \cdot 350 * D+0.008 * C+267.892$
GO TO 1000
702 IF:C-90.) 803,803,804
803 GR=1.200*D+0.039*C+19.912 GO TO 1000
$805 G R=1.200 * D+0.031 * C+20.632$
GO TO 1000
P. 3 of 3

806 IF ( $C-1500.) 807,807,808$
$807 \mathrm{GR}=1.200 * \mathrm{D}+0.025 * \mathrm{C}+21.892$
GO TO 1000
808 IF ( $C-1$ 2000.) 809, 809, 810
809 GR=1.200*D+0.019*C+30.892
GO TO 1000
810 IF ( $C-36000.) 811,811,814$
$811 G R=1.200 * D+0.013 * C+102.892$
GO TO 1000
814 IF ( $D-00.) 815,815,816$
$815 G R=1.200 * D+0.008 * C+282.892$
GO TO 1000
816 IF ( $C-360 . * D) 811,811,817$
817 GR=3.000*D+0.008*C+102.892
1000 D(FF'1)=GR-PR
IF SENSE SWITCH 3) 2006,1500
$1500^{\circ}$ IF (DFFF 1)) $2000,2000,2001$
2000 IF DIFF 2)) $2005,2005,2006$
2006 PUNCH $101, D$ T, GR, PR,DIFF 1)
IF (SENSE SWITCH 3)903,2500
$2500 \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{D} * \mathrm{~T}-1.0$ )
$T T=T-1.0$
PUNCH $\left.{ }^{\circ} 005, D, T T, C C, D I F F ' 2\right)$
$\left.2005 \operatorname{DIFF}(2)=D \operatorname{IFF}^{\prime} 1\right)$
DIFF $(1)=0.0$
GO TO 903
2001 IF (D(FF 2)) $5000,2005,2005$
5000 PUNCH 101 D, $T, C, G R, P R, D$ IFF I)
GO TO $2500^{\circ}$
$903 \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{T}+1.0$
FF.T-730.) $104,104,105$
105 IF, SENSE SWITCH 2)41,42
$42 \mathrm{D}=0+1.0$
GO TO 43
$41 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}+50.0$
$43 \mathrm{~T}=1.0$
IFiSENSE SWITCH 3)104,1501
$1501 \operatorname{DIFF}(1)=0.0$
$D \operatorname{lFF}(2)=0.0$
GO TO 104
1 FORMAT (41H KW HRS KWH S.C.NO. 2 S.C.NO. 4 ,1OHDIFFERENCE)
101 FORMAT (F5.0,F5.0,F8.0,F10.2,F10.2,F8.2)
3 FCRMAT(F5.0,F5.0)
920 FORMAT (18H SECONDARY SERVICE)
921 FORMAT (16H PRIMARY SERVICE)
4 FORMAT (1X)
5005 FORMAT(F5.O,F5.0,F8.0, 20XF8.2) END

CROSSING POINTS S.C.No. 2 vs. 4
C GLOSSARY
a. Diff - Difference
b. C - Consumption
c. D - Demand
d. $T$ - Time (hours)
e. $P R$ - Power Reveriue
f. GR - General Revenue
9. CC - Previous Consumption
h. TT - Time decremented by one hour

SWITCHES
1 ON - Primary Rates
1 OFF - Secondary Rates
2 on - Increments of 50 kW
2 off - Increments of 1 kW
3 oN - Bill Table
3 off - ByPass

InPut DATA
50. 1.
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ExHibit III

## CROSSING POINTS - HEADERS

## (PRIMARY SERVICE)

$\because$ : 亿品
$41!$




 $555: 555555 \quad 5 \quad 55 \quad 55555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555$




 \&EAC.: SOel


1
 12,









 -anco sul


## CROSSING POINTS -OUTPUT
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CROSSING POINTS - STEPS OF 50 KW ExHIBIT TI


[^1]| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECONDARY } \\ & \text { KW HRS } \end{aligned}$ | SERVICE KWH | S.C.NO. 2 | S.C.NO. 4 DIFFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350. 138. | 48300. | 1233.29 | 1349.00-115.70 |
| 350.139. | 48650. | 1237.84 | $1353.72-115.88$ |
| 350. 140. | 49000. | 1242.39 | $1358.45-116.05$ |
| 350.141. | 49350. | 1246.94 | 1363.17-116.23 |
| 350. 142. | 49700. | 1251.49 | 1367.90-116.40 |
| 350.143. | 50050. | 1256.04 | 1372.62-116.58 |
| 350.144. | 50400. | 1260.59 | 1377.35-116.75 |
| 350. 445 | 50750. | 1265.14 | 1382.07-116.93 |
| 350.146. | 51100. | 1269.69 | 1336.80-117.10 |
| $350.14{ }^{\text {. }}$ | 51450. | 1274.24 | 1391.52-117.28 |
| 350.148 | 51300. | 1273.79 | 1396.25-117.45 |
| 350. 149 | 52150. | 1233.34 | $1400.97-117.63$ |
| 350.150 | 52500. | 1237.80 | $1.405 .70-117.80$ |
| 350.151 | 52850. | 1292.44 | 1410.42-117.98 |
| 350.152. | 53200. | 1296.99 | 1415.15-118.15 |
| 350. 153 | 53550. | 1301.54 | $1419.07-116.33$ |
| 350.154. | 53900. | 1306.09 | $1424.60-113.50$ |
| 350.155. | 54250. | 1310.64 | 1429.32-118.68 |
| 350. 156 | 54500. | 1315.19 | 1434.05-113.85 |
| 359.157. | 54950 | 1319.74 | -1438.77-119.03. |
| 350.153. | 55300. | 1324.29 | 1443.50-119.20 |
| 359.159. | 55650. | 1323.34 | 1443.22-119.38 |
| 350.160 | 56000 | 1333.39 | 14.2.95-119.55 |
| 350.161. | 56350. | 1337.94 | $1457.67-119.73$ |
| 350.162. | 56700. | 1342.49 | 1462.40-119.90 |
| 350.-163. | 57959. | -1347.04 | 1467.12-120.02 |
| 350.164. | 57400. | 1351.59 | 1471.85-120.25 |
| 350. 165. | 57750. | 1356.14 | 1476.57-120.43 |
| 350. 100. | 5010 . | 1360.65 | 1401.30-120.60 |

## Bill table <br> EXHIE:T TIII

 1 H


## ELBCTRIC HATER HEATING

## INCREMENTAL APPLIANCE USAGE

K. W. Brady

Long Island Lighting Company

Incremental Appliance Usage
K. W. Brady - LILCO

This program is written in FORMAT FORTRAN for card input into an IBM 1620 digital computer.

The initial phase of this program began with a mail survey of our electric residential customers. LILCO has better than 600,000 such customers; all were not surveyed. Some 250,000 of these accounts were selected; I believe this was accomplished through the use of random numbers. Included in this survey were all our electric water heating customers, approximately 29,000. We were going to attempt to determine the usage of an electric water heater as a sole major appliance as well as in conjunction with various other major appliances.

The program was scheduled to run for one year at which time results would be tabulated to determine the necessary as well as the reliability of the data. This final phase has not been completed as yet, however, the 1620 section has, and that is what $I$ would like to demonstrate to you today.

On page one (1) of the exhibits there is a copy of the original survey card which was mailed to the customer. Many departments in the company had their fingers in this survey so that there is some information on the card which is superfluous. This is the reason we designed a "condensed card", also shown on page 1 , which contained information pertinent to the water heating study. This card was key punched directly from the original survey card and verified. This deck of condensed cards, one for each customer who replied to the survey, then became our master control deck. Incidentally, with regard to returns we received approximately a $52 \%$ return from the water heating customers.

This master deck was then broken down by types of major appliances in conjunction with electric water heating to determine the population of each appliance group. Where it was felt that the size of the group was too small to give significant answers, the group was dropped and put into an overall non-conforming group. Page 2 shows the various appliance groups we retained as well as the code given to each group. You will note the groups are split between yes and no. We have some honest customers, who, though receiving the benefit of the water heating reduction in our electric rate, indicated that they no longer had an electric water heater.

The next step in the program was to match detail billing cards with this master deck by appliance groups. Page 3 of the exhibits shows a flow diagram which illustrates the method used for this match. LILCO has both monthly and bimonthly billing so it was necessary to run four passes through a collator to obtain the proper match. These passes were:

```
1. Yes group vs. }30\mathrm{ day billing.
2. Yes group vs. }60\mathrm{ day billing.
3. No group vs. }30\mathrm{ day billing.
4. No group vs. }60\mathrm{ day billing.
```

When all the detail bill cards were collated with the master deck, those that matched had their proper appliance code number punched into them; those that did not match had the non-conforming group code punched into them. This gave us a code number for all of the customers who replied to the survey. For those who did not reply, a code, (30), was given and they were handled as a separate item in the program.

The detail bill cards containing the code number were then sorted by appliance codes into a series of predetermined frequency steps. These steps were actually an assumed class interval of 50 KWH . We merely counted the number of items for each class interval and produced a sumary card for the interval or frequency step. We set up 51 steps; an illustration of this is on pages 12 and 13 in the rear of the exhibits.

Getting back to the flow diagram, once the detail bill cards were sumarized into frequency steps, they were retained in account number order as we wanted to obtain a print-out of each customer's account for the teat year. The frequency decks, 14 for the 'yes' group (the flow diagram shows 13, one was added at the last munute), 10 for the 'no' group, and 1 each for the non-conforming groups were then processed on the 1620. These decks of cards were white; the two non-conforming groups were merged with their respective yes or no group and an overall sumary group produced (for yes and no). These groups were coded 10 for 'yes' and 20 for 'no'; the color of the cards, pink. We now had detail by appliance groups as well as summary, both 'yes' and 'no' for all the customers who replied to the survey.

A pink deck was also produced for the no-reply customers in the same frequency steps. We had all the detail bill cards for our electric water heating rate, $s 0$ it was decided to include the non-replies as a single group. The three pink decks, sumary decks, were then merged into a single overall summary deck (blue). This deck gave us a check on the number of customers billed for each month to make certain we had all of the detail cards. It would also tell us if we hac to many.

The next phase was the 1620 program. I am not a atatiatician by any means, so that in producing the program I worked with the atatistician in the department. He aupplied the formulae necessary to obtain the answers, and he incidentally is the one who is presently analyzing the results. My sole function was to produce a machine method which would provide answers, thereby relieving extended clerical calculations.

The statistician set down the formulae in statistical form, which I converted to my own language. These are shown on page 4 of the exhibits; one look at some of the symbols and you will understand why I used my own language.

Page 5 contains a flow diagram of the program, 6 and 7 a print-out and 8 a glossary of the terms used in the program. I believe they are self-explanatory and suffice it to say the program utilizing the frequency distribution data set up in a class interval of 50 KWH , an assumed mean of 225, provides the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, standard error of mean, and co-efficient of variation for the various appliance groupings.

Page 8-A illustrates a ample output of the program. Column 1 is the firequency steps (class interval); column 2, the deviation from the assumed mean (225); column 3, the deviation squared; column 4, the frequency (number of items by step); column 5, the frequency times the deviation and column 6 the frequency times the deviation squared. Columns 5 and 6 are summarized by the program as they are used in the formula calculations.

Page 9 is an illustration of the four header cards used in the program. A set of these cards is necessary before each code being processed by the computer.

Pages 10 and 11 are card layout forms illustrating the fields used in the input data cards and the output data cards.

I am presenting this program to you to demonstrate one of the many ways rate men can make use of the 1620 computer. This program was originally intended to be a one-shot set-up, however, with certain modifications, I believe it could be written in a way to be of general use to all of us.

## SURVEY CARD


 man Mi s 109 -0

# CONDENSED CARD 



Sample No. \& Code No.

## Appliance Groups

"Yes" Group

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
11
12
13
24
10
"No" Group

14
15
16
17
18
19

## 22

23

```
Base Load & Water Htg.
Water Htg. & Range
Water Htg. & Dryer
Water Htg. & Freezer
Water Htg. & Air Cond.
Water Htg. Range & Dryer
Water Htg. Range & Freezer
Water Htg. Range & Air Cond.
Water Htg. Dryer & Freezer
Water Htg. Range, Dryer, Air Cond.
Water Htg. Range, Dryer, Freezer, Air Cond.
Water Htg. Range, Dryer, Freezer
Non - Conforming Group
Summary "Yes Group"
```

    Base Load
    Base Load \& Range
    Base Load \& Dryer
    Base Load \& Freezer
    Base Load \& Air Cold.
    Base Load, Range \& Air Cold.
    Base Load, Range, Dryer \& Air Cold.
    Base Load, Range, Dryer, Freezer, Air Gond.
    Non - Conforming Group
    Summary. "No Group"
    Residual Group (Non - Survey)
    Total - All Groups
    Notes:

1. One set for Monthly Billing One set for Bimonthly Billing


Afphancec Inerement (ensumptiens - Sampling Program

が

等 C

## 260000800009 s

LOAD DATA
TOP
ENTER SOURCE PROGRAM, PUS: START
$\overline{0} 0 \mathrm{C}$ SAMPLING PROGRAM - DETERMINATION OF IN:SEMENTAL APPLIAN.E 08300 C CONSUMPTIONS BY K. B:ADY AND J. 0 :ON:JO: SEPTEMEE 1962

08300
08300
08324
08348
08372
08396
08420
08444
08468
08492
08516
08540
08562
08586
08608
$\pi 8632$
08654
08678
Ø8700
08724
08762
08774
08930

09086
09194
09290
09338
09350
00458
09554
09596
09620
09682
09706
09764
09788
09836
09848
10112
J0196
10220
10244
10280
10316
10352
10394
J0418
${ }_{1}{ }^{-} \mathrm{C} 2$
10466
10490
10514
10610
10646
10670

DIMENSION $\operatorname{STEP}(50), D(50), D S(50), F(50), F D(50), F D S(50)$
1 TS=0.0
$T D=0.0$
TDS $=0.0$
$T F=0.0$
TFD $=0.0$
TFDS $=0.0$
$A V=0.0$
$S D=0.0$
SEM=0.0
$\mathrm{VAR}=0.0$
10 FORMAT (F5.0)
READ 10,CODE
20 FORMAT (12)
READ 20, MAX
30 FORMAT (F5.0)
READ $30, \therefore 1$
40 FORMAT (F5.0)
READ 40, ASM
50 FORMAT ( $\left.{ }^{2} 7.0, F 11.0, F 11.0, F 11.0\right)$
DO $2 \mathrm{~K}=1$, MAX
READ 50,STEP(K),D(K),DS(K),F(K)
$2 T F=F(K) \div T F$
$\mathrm{FD}(\mathrm{MAX})=0.0$
$D 03 K=1, M A X$
$F D(K)=D(K) * F(K)$
$3 \mathrm{TFD}=\mathrm{FD}(\mathrm{K}) \therefore \mathrm{TFD}$
FDS $(M A X)=0.0$
DO $4 K=1$, MAX
$\operatorname{FDS}(K)=D S(K) \div F(K)$
4 TFDS $=F D S(K)+T F D S$
60 FORMAT(6H CODE F5.0)
PUNCH 60, CODE
70 FORMAT (16H CLASS INTE.?VAL F5.0)
PUNCH 70,CI
80 FORMAT (14H ASSUMED MEAN F5.0)
PUNCH 80,ASM
90 FORMAT(F7.0,F11.0,F11.0,F11.0,F11.0,F11.0)
DO $5 \mathrm{~K}=1$, MAX
5 PUNCH 90 , STEP $(K), D(K), D S(K), F(K), F D(K), F D S(K)$
PUNCH 90,TS,TD,TDS,TF,TFD,TFDS
$A B=0.0$
$A C=0.0$
$A B=T F D / T F$
$A C=A B * C I$
$A V=A S M+A C$
100. FORMAT (6H MEAN F10.3)

PUNCH 100,AV
$\mathrm{Z}=0.0$
$W=0.0$
$Y=0.0$
$R=0.0$

| $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{TFDS}=T F D / T F * T F D / T F$ |
| :--- |

$Y=Z-W$
$R=\operatorname{SoRT}(Y)$
$S D=C \cdot 1 * R$
 I.OAD DATA260000800009:

GLOSSARY

[^2]
*STANDARD DEVIATION 456.936
*STANDARD ERROR OF MEAN 13.696
*COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION 48.369
告 5

FROGRAM HEADER GARDS
14
C
APpliance ciode card
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
50
NUMBER OT GTERS IN DISTRBUTIOM

为
Assumed class Interval
0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 2222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222 3333 333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333
 555 555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555 225.
Assumed Arithmetic MEAN

 2222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222222 3333 33333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333333
 555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555555
 1111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111110







# MEETING ASSUMPTIONS OF HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE: <br> NONLINEAR DATA TRANSFORMS AND BARTLETT'S TEST 

## By

Richard C. Irons<br>U.S. NAVAL SCHOOL OF AVIATION MEDICINE U.S. NAVAL AVIATION MEDICAL CEN TER<br>PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

USERS CODE: 1159

## INTRODUCTION

When working with various statistical routines, an investigator may have reason to suspect that there are substantial differences in variance among the sets of scores or observations. Under these conditions he may wish to perform Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variance.

This test is usually performed on the original data, and if the variance is found to be heterogeneous, then a nonlinear transformation on the data can be accomplished, and Bartlett's test done a second time. The process is usually repeated until a transform is found which will produce variance in the sets of data which does not depart signifiscantly from homogeneity.

In programming the above operations on the IBM 1620 computer the slowest operation is in reading the data into the computer. Consequently, in the present work it has been found advantageous to read in the original data, perform several nonlinear transformations, and to punch out a card containing the original score and the transformed scores. In addition, summary cards which contain the number of cases, the sums of the scores, and the sums of the squared scores are punched out for the original data and for each transformation of each set of scores. The four transforms used are discussed by Edwards (1). They are: 1) $X+.5,2) \quad X+X+1,3) \log$ of $(X)$, and 4) the reciprocal of $(X)$ where $(X)$ is the original score.

The input for the Bartlett test is the summary cards for the sets of scores, and the test is performed for the original data and each transformation. If the variance of sets of scores is found to be homogeneous for the original data, the investigator need not concern himself with the tests for the four transformations. If, however, Bartlett's test
on the original data shows the variance of the groups to be heterogeneous, the investgator may inspect the significance levels of the four transformations to find the most acceptable transformation of the data.

## DESCRIPTIONS OF PROGRAMS

## THE TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM

This program transforms the raw scores, obtains the sums of $(X)$ and the sums of $(X)$ squared of raw and transformed scores, punching these into six decks of cards. These may be separated by machine sorting. Five of the decks are for input to the Bartlett program, the sixth is a table which gives the group identification, subject identification, and original and transformed scores for each subject. FORMAT FOR INPUT CARDS

| Card | Columns |  | Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EDIT | $1-4$ |  | Number of groups |
| GROUP | $1-4$ |  | 0012 |
|  | $6-9$ | Group number | 0001 |
| DATA | $1-10$ | Rammer of subjects | 0009 |
|  | $12-15$ | Subject's number | .000416 .892 |
|  |  |  | 2043 |

Blanks may be used in place of leading zeros in the data fields. The input consists of the EDIT card, the GROUP card for the first group, the DATA cards for the first group with one card per subject, the GROUP card for the second group, the DATA cards for the second group on its corresponding number of cards, et cetera until all groups have been accounted for.

## OPERATING THE PROGRAM

1. Place the program in the card reader.
2. Place data cards on top of the program deck.
3. Press the RESET button on the console, the LOAD button on the card reader, and the START button on the card punch.
4. When LOAD DATA is typed, the program will automatically read the data and process the problem.
5. When END OF JOB message is typed and instructions for indicating a new run are typed, NON PROCESS RUNOUT ON THE CARD PUNCH must be pressed to obtain all the output cards. The program is completed at this time.
6. The output cards must be sorted numerically on column 65. The cards in hoppers one, two, three, four, and five are the input data for the Bartlett program. The remaining cards (in the reject hopper) when listed with an 80-80 board on a 407 machine will yield the table of transformed scores.

## PROCESSING TIME

The approximate computer time necessary to accomplish the Transformation Program is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Execution Time }= & 2 N+50 \text { seconds } \\
& \text { where } N=\text { total number of cases in all of the groups. }
\end{aligned}
$$

FLOW DIAGRAM TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM


READ GROUP NUMBER AND NUMBER OF SUBJECTS


## TRANSFORMATION PRO GRAM LISTING

```
C SYMOOL DEFINITIONS FOLLOW
    LAST=LAST CARD OF GROUP
    NOGRP = NUMEER OF GROIJPS
    NUMGP = SUBGROIJP NUMBFR
    NOCAS = NUMBER OF CASES
    XRAW = RAW SCORE
    KASNO= CASE OR SUBJFCT NUMBER
    SUMX = SIIM OF RAW SCORES
    SUMX2= SUM OF RAW SCORES SOUARED.
    XA2 = SQRT OF X +. 5 SQUARED WHERF X IS THE RAW SCORE
    XA = SQRT OF X+.5
    SMXA2 = SUM OF SQRT OF }X+.5\mathrm{ SQUARED
    SMXA = SUM OF SQRT OF X+. 5
    XB= SQRT OF X + SQRT OF X+1
    XB2 = THE SQUARE OF THE SQRT OF }X+5QRT OF X+
    SMXB = SUM OF SQRT OF X + SQRT OF }X+
    SMXB2 = SUM OF THE SQUARE OF THE SQRT OF }x+\mathrm{ SQRT OF }x+
    XC= LOG OF X
    XC2= LOG OF X SQUARED
    SMXC= SUM OF LOG OF }
    SMXC2= SUM OF LOG OF X SQUAARED
    XD= RECIPROCAI. OF X
    XD2 = SQUARE OF THE RECIPROCAL OF }
    SMXD= SUM OF THE RECIPROCALS OF }
    SMXD2 = SUM OF THE SQUARES OF THE RECIPROCALS OF X
    KOUNT = COUNTER TO DETERMINE FIRST CARD
    NUMTR = IDENTIFICATION NUMBER USED WITH EZACH TRANSFORN.
    PROGRAMMER IDENTIFICATION CARD PUNCHFD.
    PRINT 909
    CON=.43429448
    SUMX=0.
    SUMX2=0.
    SMXA 2 = 0.
    SMXA=0.
    SMXB=0.
    SMXB2=0.
    SMXC=O.
    SMXC2 =0.
    SMXD=0.
    SMXD2 =0.
    PROGRAM BEGINS.
    READ CARD WITH NUMBER OF GROUPS.
    22 READI,NOGRP
        KOUNT=1
        READ CARD WITH GROUP NUMBER AND NUMBER OF CASES IN GROUP.
        23 READ2,NUMGP,NOCAS
        LAST=0
        READ CARD WITH RAW SCORE AND SUBJECT NUMBER.
        21 READ3,XRAW,KASNO
        SUM RAW SCORE AND RAW SCORE SQUARED.
        SUMX = SUMX + XRAW
        SUMX2=SUMX2+(XRAW*XRAW)
    BEGIN SQRT(X+.5) TRANSFORMATION.
```

A07U70 A070゙じ
A07070
A07070
A07070
A0707n
A07070
A 07070
A07070
AO7070
A07070
$\triangle 07070$
A 07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A 07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A 07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
ACi 7070
A． 07082
A07106
A07130
A07154
A07178
A07202
A07226
A0 7250
A07274
A07298
A07322
A07346
A 07346
A07346
A07370
A07394
A07394
A07430
A07454
A07454
A07490
A07490
A 07526
A07574

## TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM LISTING (Cont'd)

```
    XA2=XRAW+0.5 A07574
    XA=SQRTF(XA2) A07610
    SMXAZ =SMXA 2+XAZ
    SMXXA =SMXA +XA
C BEGIN SQRT(X)+SQRT(X+1) TRANSFORMATION.
    XB=SQRTF(XRAW)+SQRTF(XRAW+1.)
    XB2=XB* XB
    SMXB = SMXB + XB
    SMXB2 =SMXB2+XB2
C BEGIN LOG(X) TRANSFORMATION.
C IF SCORE USED IS ZERO (0),LOG OF'ONE (1) IS USED.
    IF(XRAW)4,5,4
    5 XC=(LOGF(1.) )*CON
    XC2 = XC* XC
    GO TO 6
        4 XC=(LOGF(XRAW))*CON
    XC2=XC* XC
        6 SMXC=SMXC+XC
    SMXC2 =SMXC2+XC2
C BEGIN RECIPROCAL OF }x\mathrm{ TRANSFORMATION.
    XD=1./XRAW
    XD2=XD*XD
    SMXD =SMXD +XD
    SMXD2 =SMXD2 +XD2
C IF FIRST TIME HERE PUNCH BARTLETT HEADER CARDS,STATEMENT NO. 7.
    IF(KOUNT-1)13,7,13
    BARTLETT HEADER CARDS.
    7 \text { NUMTR=1}
    PUNCH9,NOGRP,NUMTR
    NUMTR=2
        PUNCH 41,NOGRP,NUMTR
        NUMTR=3
        PUNCH 42,NOGRP,NUMTR
        NUMTR=4
        PUNCH 43,NOGRP,NUMTR
        NUMTR=5
        PUNCH 44,NOGRP,NUMTR
C IF FIRST TIME HERE PUNCH TRANSFORM TABLE HEADER CARDS,STATMENT 14. AO8690
    13 KOUNT=KOUNT+1
    TRANSFORM HEADER CARDS.
    I-!OOMT-2)15,14,15
    +4 UNOH120
    PUNCH27
    PUNCH28
    PUNCH29
    PUNCH3I
    PUNCH32
        PUNCH33
        PUNCH16
C Punch table values.
    15 PUNCHIT,NUMGP,KASNO,XRAW,XA,XB,XC,XD
    LAST=LAST+1
    IF(NOCAS-LAST)19,19,21
    19 CASES = NOCAS
    NUMTR=1
```

A07610
A0.7634
A07670
C BEGIN SQRT $(X)+$ SQRT $(X+1)$ TRANSFORMATION.
$X B=S Q R T F(X R A W)+S Q R T F(X R A W+1$ - )
$X B 2=X B * X B$
$S M X B=S M X B+X B$
$S M X B 2=S M X B 2+X B 2$
$C$ BEGIN LOG $(x)$ TRANSFORMATION.
C IF SCORE USED IS ZERO (0), LOG OF'ONE (1) IS USED.
$5 \times C=(\operatorname{LOGF}(1 \cdot)) * C O N$
$X C 2=X C * \times C$
GO TO 6
$4 \times C=(\operatorname{LOGF}(X R A W)) * C O N$
6 SMXC $=S M X C+X C$
$S M X C 2=\operatorname{SMXC2}+X C 2$
$C$ BEGIN RECIPROCAL OF $X$ TRANSFORMATION.
$X D=1 \cdot / X R A W$
$X D 2=X D * X D$
$S M X D=S M \times D+X D$
$S M X D 2=S M X D 2+X D 2$
IF (KOUNT-1)13,7,13
BARTLETT HEADER CARDS.
PUNCH9, NOGRP, NUMTR
NUMTR $=2$
PUNCH 41, NOGRP, NUMTR
NUMTR $=3$
PUNCH 42, NOGRP, NUMTR
NUMTR=4
PUNCH 43, NOGRP, NUMTR
PUNCH 44, NOGRP, NUMTR
A07706
A07706
A07790
A07826
A07862
A07898
A07898
A07898
A07954
A07990
A08026
A08034
A08070
A08106
A08142
A08178
A08178
A08214
A08250
A08286
A08322
A08322
A08390
A08390
A08414
A08450
A08474
A08510
A08534
A08570
A08594
A08630
A08654
C IF FIRST TIME HERE PUNCH TRANSFORM TABLE HEADER CARDSOSTATMENT 14. AO8690
13 KOUNT = KOUNT + 1
A08690
A08726
A08726

+     - UMCH20
PUNCH27
PUNCH28
A08794
A08806
A08818
A08830
A08842
A08854
A08866
A08878
A08890
A08890
A089862
A09034
A09102
A09138


## TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM LISTING (Cont'd)

```
    C. PUNCH BARTLETT INPUT DATA CAROS•
    C. PUNCH BARTLETT INPUT DATA CAROS•
PUNCH 18 GASES, SUMX, UUMX , NUUTR
        NUNTR \(=2\)
    FUNCH 18, CASES, SMXA,SMXA2,NUMTR
        NUMTR \(=3\)
        PUNCH 18, CASES,SMXB, SMXE2, NUMTR
        NUMTR \(=4\)
        PUNCH 18, CASES, SMXC,SMXC2, NUMTR
        NUMTR \(=5\)
        PUNCH 45,CASES,SMXD, SMXO2, NUNTTR
C ZERO SUPMMATION AREAS
    SUMX \(=0\).
        \(\operatorname{SUMX2}=0\).
        \(\sin x A=0\).
        \(\sin \times A 2=0\).
        \(\operatorname{SM} M B=0\).
        \(S M \times B 2=0\).
        \(\sin \times c=0\).
        \(\operatorname{SMXC2}=0\).
        \(\sin \times 0=0\).
        \(\sin \times D 2=0\).
C IF ALL GROUPS WORKED STOP WITH STATEMENT NO. 24.
        IF (NOGRP-NUMGP) \(24,24,23\)
        24 PRINT25
        PAUSE
        GO TO 22
\(c\)
\(c\)
\(c\)
    FORMAT STATMENTS FOLLOW.
        1 FORMAT(I4)
        2 FORMAT (I4, I5)
        3 FORMAT (F10.5,I5)
9FORMAT ( \(14,3 \times 1 H N, 6 \times 5 H S U M \quad X, 2 \times 8 H S U M X\) SQ, \(10 \times 11 H X=\) RAW SCORE, \(13 \times 12\) )
        16 FORMAT ( \(3 \times 1 \mathrm{HA}, 3 \times 1 \mathrm{HE}, 8 \times 1 \mathrm{HC}, 7 \times 1 \mathrm{HD}, 9 \times 1 \mathrm{HE}, 10 \times 1 \mathrm{HF}, 9 \times 1 \mathrm{HG} /\) )
        17 FORMAT (14, I5,F10.2,2F10.4,F10.5,F10.6)
        18 FORMAT (2F10.2,F10.2,33XI2)
4 IFORMAT (I \(4,3 \times 1 H N, 6 X 5 H S U M, X, 2 X 8 H S U M X S Q, 10 \times 12 H X=S Q R T(X+.5), 12 X I 2)\)
42 FORVAT (I \(4,3 \times 1 \mathrm{HN}, 6 \times 5 \mathrm{HSUM} X, 2 \times 8 H S U M X\) SQ, \(10 \times 19 H X=\operatorname{SQRT}(X)+\operatorname{SQRT}(X+1)\), \(5 \times I 2)\)
43 FORMAT ( \(14,3 \times 1 H N, 6 \times 5 H S U M X, 2 \times 8 H S U M, ~ X Q, I O X 8 H X=L O G(X), 16 \times I 2)\)
44 FORMAT (I4, 3XIHN, \(6 \times 5 \mathrm{HSUM} X, 2 \times 8\) HSUM \(X\) SQ, \(10 \times 17 H X=R E C I P R O C A L ~ O F ~ X, 7 X I 2)\)
    45 FORMAT ( \(2 \mathrm{~F} 10 \cdot 2, \mathrm{FIO} \cdot 6,33 \times 12\) )
    25 FORMAT(28HPUSH START TO BEGIN NEW RUN.)
    26 FORMAT ( \(14 \mathrm{HA}=\) GROUP NUMBER)
    2.7 FORMAT ( \(16 \mathrm{HB}=\) SUBJECT NUMBER)
    28 FORMAT (11HC=RAW SCORE)
    29 FORMAT \((12 H D=\operatorname{SQRT}(X+.5))\)
    31 FORMAT (19HE \(=\operatorname{SQRT}(x)+\operatorname{SQRT}(x+1))\)
    32 FORMAT \((8 H F=\operatorname{LOG}(X))\)
    33 FORMAT (17HG=RECIPROCAL OF \(X /\) )
    909 FORMAT (5OHPROGRAMMED BY R.C. IRONS, SCHAVMED, PENSACOLA, FLA)
C
        FORMAT (5OHPROGR
END OF PROGRAM.
        END
    A09162
    A0S162
        409222
        A09246
        4090
    409306
    A09330
    A09340
    A09414
    A09474
    A0947
                                \(\cdots \cdots\)
    A0S498
A09558
A09558
    A09582
    A09606
A09630
    A09654
A09678
    A09702
    409726
    A09750
        AC9774
409798
A09798
A09866
A09878
A09890
409898
A09898
A09898
    A09398
A09920
A09948
A09976
A10116
C
        FUNCH 18, CASES, SMXA,SMXA2, NUMTR
```

```
?

\section*{TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM SYMBOL TABLE}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ADORESSES & NAME & DIMENSION & STATE LOC & FORM & LOC & FIX & FLOATING & A \\
\hline 19999 & SIN & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19989 & SINF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19979 & COS & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19969 & COSF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19759 & ATAN & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19949 & ATANF. & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19939 & EXP & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19929 & EXPF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19919 & LOG & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19909 & LOGF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19899 & SQRT & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19889 & SQRTF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19879 & SIGN & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19869 & SIGNF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19859 & \(A B S\) & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19849 & ABSF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19839 & RDNM & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19829 & RDNMF & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19819 & & & & 0909 & 11422 & & & A \\
\hline 19809 & CON & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19799 & & & & & & & 43429448.00 & A \\
\hline 19789 & SUMX & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19779 & & & & & & & 00000000.99- & A \\
\hline 19769 & SUMX 2 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19759 & SMXA2 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19749 & SMXA & & & & 1 & & & A \\
\hline 19739 & SMIXB & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19729 & SMXB2 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19719 & \(\sin x C\) & & & & & & & \(\hat{A}\) \\
\hline 19709 & SMXC2 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19699 & SMXD & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19689 & \(\operatorname{SMXD2}\) & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19679 & & & 002207346 & & & & & A \\
\hline 19669 & & & & 0001 & 09898 & & - & A \\
\hline 19659 & NOGRP & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19649 & KOUNT & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19639 & & & & & & 0001- & & A \\
\hline 19629 & & & 002307394 & & & & & A \\
\hline 19619 & & & & 0002 & 09920 & & & A \\
\hline 19609 & NUMGP & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19599 & NOCAS & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19589 & LAST & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19579 & & & & & & 0000- & & A \\
\hline 19569 & & & 002107454 & & & & & A \\
\hline 19559 & & & & 0003 & 09948 & & & A \\
\hline 19549 & XRAW & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19539 & KASNO & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19529 & & & & & & 000 & & A \\
\hline 19519 & & & & & & 001 & & A \\
\hline 19509 & XA2 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19499 & & & & & & & 5000000000 & A \\
\hline 19489 & XA & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19479 & \(X B\) & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19469 & & & & & & & 1000000001 & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM SYMBOL TABLE (Cont'd)}

19459
19449
19439
19429
19419
19409
19399
19389
19379
19369
19359
19349
19339
19329
19319
19309
19299
17289
19279
19269
19259
19249
19239
19229
19219
19209
19190
19189
19179
19169
19159
19149
19139
19129
19119
19109
19099
\(\times 32\)
xc
X.C2

XD
XD2

NUMTR

CASES

001308690
000708390
000909976
000408034
000507954
A
0005 C7954 A
\(0006 \quad 08106\)
\(0006 \quad 08106\)
\(004110346 \begin{array}{ll}0003-\end{array}\)
004210488
\(0004-\)
004310644
0005-
004410778
001508890
001408794
002611054
002711106
002811162
002911208
003111,256
003211318
003311358

001909102
001810302
004510930
0024.09866

002510974
A
-014 0879


\section*{TRANSFORMED SCORES TABLE}
```

A=GROUP NUMBER
B=SUBJECT NUMBER
C=RAW SCORE
D=SQRT(X+.5)
E=SQRT(X)+SQRT(X+1)
F=LOG(X)
G=RECIPROCAL OF X

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & B & c & D & E & F & G \\
\hline & 227 & 24.00 & 4.9497 & 9.8989 & 1.38021 & . 041666 \\
\hline & 231 & 41.00 & 6.4420 & 12.8838 & 1.61278 & . 024390 \\
\hline & 233 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & . 027027 \\
\hline & 204 & 40.00 & 6.3639 & 12.7276 & 1.60206 & . 025000 \\
\hline & 206 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & . 027027 \\
\hline & 236 & 32.00 & 5.7008 & 11.4014 & 1.50515 & . 031250 \\
\hline & 209 & 40.00 & 6.3639 & 12.7276 & 1.60206 & . 025000 \\
\hline & 222 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & . 027777 \\
\hline & 230 & 29.00 & 5.4313 & 10.8623 & 1.46239 & . 034482 \\
\hline & 237 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & . 022727 \\
\hline 2 & 239 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & . 026315 \\
\hline & 240 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & . 028571 \\
\hline 3 & 205 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & . 028571 \\
\hline 3 & 207 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & . 026315 \\
\hline 3 & 211 & 39.00 & 6.2849 & 12.5695 & 1.59106 & . 025641 \\
\hline 3 & 212 & 49.00 & 7.0356 & 14.0710 & 1.69019 & . 020408 \\
\hline 3 & 21.3 & 50.00 & 7.1063 & 14.2124 & 1.69897 & . 020000 \\
\hline 3 & 215 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & . 028571 \\
\hline 3 & 216 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & . 027777 \\
\hline 3 & 217 & 54.00 & \(7 \cdot 3824\) & 14.7646 & 1.73239 & . 018518 \\
\hline 3 & 218 & 52.00 & 7.2456 & 14.4912 & 1.71600 & . 019230 \\
\hline 3 & 220 & 40.00 & 6.3639 & 12.7276 & 1.60206 & . 025000 \\
\hline 3 & 221 & 43.00 & 6.5954 & 13.1906 & 1.63346 & . 023255 \\
\hline 3 & 224 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & . 026315 \\
\hline 3 & 225 & 42.00 & 6.5192 & 13.0381 & 1.62324 & . 02380 -9 \\
\hline 3 & 232 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & . 026315 \\
\hline 3 & 234 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & . 022727 \\
\hline 3 & 238 & 46.00 & 6.8190 & 13.6379 & 1.66275 & . 021739 \\
\hline 3 & 251 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & . 027027 \\
\hline 4 & 203 & 30.00 & 5.5226 & 11.0449 & 1.47712 & . 033333 \\
\hline 4 & 208 & 34.00 & 5.8736 & 11.7470 & 1.53147 & .029411 \\
\hline 4 & 210 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & .022727 \\
\hline 4 & 214 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & . 027777 \\
\hline 4 & 219 & 43.00 & 6.5954 & 13.1906 & 1.63346 & . 023255 \\
\hline 4 & 223 & 48.00 & 6.9641 & 13.9282 & 1.68124 & . 020833 \\
\hline 4 & 226 & 25.00 & 5.0497 & 10.0990 & 1.39794 & . 040000 \\
\hline 4 & 228 & 42.00 & 6.5192 & 13.0381 & 1.62324 & .023809 \\
\hline 4 & 229 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & . 022727 \\
\hline 4 & 235 & 32.00 & 5.7008 & 11.4014 & 1.50515 & . 031250 \\
\hline 5 & 247 & 29.00 & 5.4313 & 10.8623 & 1.46239 & . 034482 \\
\hline 5 & 256 & 31.00 & 5.6124 & 11.2246 & 1.49136 & . 032258 \\
\hline 5 & 261 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & . 027777 \\
\hline 5 & 262 & 28.00 & 5.3385 & 10.6766 & 1.44715 & . 035714 \\
\hline 6 & 246 & 31.00 & 5.6124 & 11.2246 & 1.49136 & . 032258 \\
\hline 6 & 249 & 33.00 & 5.7879 & 11.5755 & 1.51851 & . 030303 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TRANSFORMED SCORES TABLE (Cont'd)
\begin{tabular}{rllllll}
6 & 250 & 46.00 & 6.8190 & 13.6379 & 1.66275 & .021739 \\
6 & 253 & 39.00 & 6.2849 & 12.5695 & 1.59106 & .025641 \\
6 & 254 & 40.00 & 6.3639 & 12.7276 & 1.60206 & .025000 \\
6 & 255 & 33.00 & 5.7879 & 11.5755 & 1.51851 & .030303 \\
6 & 259 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & .027027 \\
6 & 260 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & .022727 \\
6 & 263 & 46.00 & 6.8190 & 13.6379 & 1.66275 & .021739 \\
6 & 265 & 45.00 & 6.7453 & 13.4905 & 1.65321 & .022222 \\
6 & 266 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & .028571 \\
6 & 243 & 25.00 & 5.0497 & 10.0990 & 1.39794 & .040000 \\
7 & 242 & 39.00 & 6.2849 & 12.5695 & 1.59106 & .025641 \\
7 & 244 & 45.00 & 6.7453 & 13.4905 & 1.65321 & .022222 \\
7 & 245 & 53.00 & 7.3143 & 14.6285 & 1.72427 & .018867 \\
7 & 291 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & .027027 \\
7 & 248 & 48.00 & 6.9641 & 13.9282 & 1.68124 & .020833 \\
8 & 241 & 47.00 & 6.8920 & 13.7838 & 1.67209 & .021276 \\
8 & 252 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & .028571 \\
8 & 257 & 24.00 & 4.9497 & 9.8989 & 1.38021 & .041666 \\
8 & 258 & 50.00 & 7.1063 & 14.2124 & 1.69897 & .020000 \\
8 & 264 & 42.00 & 6.5192 & 13.0381 & 1.62324 & .023809 \\
9 & 270 & 33.00 & 5.7879 & 11.5755 & 1.51851 & .030303 \\
9 & 274 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & .027027 \\
9 & 275 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & .027027 \\
9 & 288 & 46.00 & 6.8190 & 13.6379 & 1.66275 & .021739 \\
9 & 290 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & .028571 \\
10 & 267 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & .027777 \\
10 & 269 & 20.00 & 4.5276 & 9.0547 & \(1.3 p 103\) & .050000 \\
10 & 271 & 37.00 & 6.1237 & 12.2471 & 1.56820 & .027027 \\
10 & 272 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & .026315 \\
10 & 278 & 32.00 & 5.7008 & 11.4014 & 1.50515 & .031250 \\
10 & 281 & 44.00 & 6.6708 & 13.3414 & 1.64345 & .022727 \\
10 & 282 & 18.00 & 4.3011 & 8.6015 & 1.25527 & .055555 \\
10 & 284 & 33.00 & 5.7879 & 11.5755 & 1.51851 & .030303 \\
10 & 285 & 46.00 & 6.8190 & 13.6379 & 1.66275 & .021739 \\
10 & 286 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & .028571 \\
10 & 289 & 35.00 & 5.9581 & 11.9160 & 1.54406 & .028571 \\
11 & 268 & 41.00 & 6.4420 & 12.8838 & 1.61278 & .024390 \\
11 & 273 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & .026315 \\
11 & 276 & 38.00 & 6.2048 & 12.4094 & 1.57978 & .026315 \\
11 & 280 & 36.00 & 6.0415 & 12.0827 & 1.55630 & .027777 \\
11 & 283 & 27.00 & 5.2440 & 10.4876 & 1.431366 & .037037 \\
12 & 277 & 41.00 & 6.4420 & 12.8838 & 1.61278 & .024390 \\
12 & 279 & 48.00 & 6.9641 & 13.9282 & 1.68124 & .020833 \\
12 & 287 & 26.00 & 5.14778 & 10.2951 & 1.41497 & .038461
\end{tabular}


\section*{INPUT DATA FOR BARTLETT PROGRAM FROM TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM (Cont'd)}
12 \begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
\(N\) & SUMX, SUM X SQ & S=RECIPROCAL OF \(X\) & & \\
\(F .00\) & \(\bullet 17\) & .005393 & & 5 \\
6.00 & .16 & .004611 & & 5 \\
17.00 & .41 & .010120 & & 5 \\
10.00 & .27 & .007899 & & 5 \\
4.00 & \(\bullet 13\) & .004276 & & 5 \\
12.00 & .32 & .009261 & & 5 \\
5.00 & .11 & .002671 & & 5 \\
5.00 & \(\bullet 13\) & .003972 & & 5 \\
5.00 & .13 & .003668 & & 5 \\
11.00 & \(\bullet 34\) & .012297 & & 5 \\
5.00 & \(\bullet 14\) & .004123 & & 5 \\
3.00 & .08 & .002508 & & 5
\end{tabular}

\section*{THE BARTLETT TEST FOR HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE PROGRAM}

This program computes the Bartlett Chi-Square Test for homogeneity of variance, degree of freedom, and the level of significance. In addition to these measures the program provides a table containing many of the computational steps in performing the Bartlett Test and gives tables values of chi square for the appropriate degrees of freedom for the following levels of significance: \(0.10,0.05,0.02,0.01\), and 0.001 . FORMAT FOR INPUT CARDS
\begin{tabular}{lclll} 
Card & Columns & & Information & Example \\
\cline { 4 - 5 } & EDIT & \(1-4\) & & Number of groups \\
& 65 & Transform Type & 0012 \\
CASE & \(1-10\) & Number of cases & \(1,2,3,4\), or 5 \\
& & & 000000013.
\end{tabular}

Blank columns may be used in place of leading zeros in the data fields for the original data, and for each set of transformed data the input consists of an EDIT card and a CASE card for each group. These are followed by the cards containing the values for the sums of \((X)\) and sums of \((X)\) squared. The groups of data can follow one another directly.

\section*{OPERATING THE PROGRAM}
1. Place the program in the card reader.
2. Place the five decks of data cards produced by the transformation program on top of the program deck.
3. Press the RESET button on the console, the LOAD button on the card reader, and the START button on the card punch.
4. When LOAD DATA is typed, the program will automatically read the data and process the problem.
5. When END OF JOB message and instructions for initiating a new run are typed, NON PROCESS RUNOUT for the CARD PUNCH must be pressed to obta in all the output cards.
6. The output cards may be listed directly with an \(80-80\) board on a 407 machine.

\section*{PROCESSING TIME}

The approximate computer time necessary to accomplish the Bartlett Program is given by the following equation:

Execution time \(=120+6 \mathrm{~K}\) seconds
where K = number of data cards per transform group.


\section*{BARTLETT PRO GRAM LISTING}

DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS FOLLOW.

MATRX = STORAGE LEVELS FOR CHI SQUARE TABLE.
SUMDF = SUMMATION SF D.F.
EIGN = SUMMATION OF N.
SMRCP = SUMMATION CF THE RECIPROCALS OF D.F.
SUMS2 = SUMMATION OF S SQUARE.
SMSD2 = SUMMATION OF THE SUM OF \(X\) SQUARE.
SMDFL = SUMMATION OF D.F. TIMES THE LOG OF S SQUARE.
NOGRP = NUMBER OF GROUPS.
NUMTR = TRANSFORMED DATA TYPE.
CHIDF = LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.
KOUNT = A COUNTER FUNCTION.
LEVEL = LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.
CASES \(=\) NUMBER OF CASES IN GROUP.
SUNX \(=\) SUM OF \(X\).
SUMX2 \(=\) SUN OF \(X\) SQUARE.
\(D F=N-1\), WHERE \(N\) IS NUMEER OF SUBJECTS.
RECDF \(=\) RECIPROCAL OF D.F.
\(52=S\) SQUARE.
TLOG \(=\) LOG OF:S SQUARE.
DFLOG \(=\) D.F. TIMES LOG OF S SQUARE.
ONE = FIRST EQUATION IN SOLVING FOR CHI SQUARE.
TWO = SECOND EQUATION IN SOLVING FOR CHI SQUARE.
THREE = THIRD EQUATION IN SOLVING FOR CHI SQUARE.
\(C H I=C H I\) SQUARE.
LAST = COUNTER TO FIND END OF ROUTINE.
PROGRAM BEGINS.
DIMENSION T(5,30)
MATRX=1
CHI SQUARE TABLE IS READ IN AT THIS POINT.
1 READ 2,T(1,MATRX), \(T(2, M A T R X), T(3, M A T R X), T(4, M A T R X), T(5, M A T R X)\)
IF (MATRX-30) 3,4,4
3 MATRX \(=\) inATRX +1
GO TO 1
\(4 C N=.43429448\)
PROGRAM HEADER (IUENTIFICATION) CARDS TO BE PUNCH NOW.
PUNCH 5
PUNCH 6
PUNCH 7
ZEROING SUMMATION AREA NEXT TO BE DONE.
LAST \(=1\)
8 SUMDF \(=0\).
\(B I G N=0\).
SMRCP \(=0\).
SUMS \(2=0\).
SMSD2 \(=0\) 。
SMDFL=U.
READ VALUES FOR NUMBER OF GROUPS AND TYPE TRANSFORM USED. READ 9 ,NOGRP,NUMTR
C ROUTINE FOR IDENTIFICATION OF TRANSFORM TYPE. IF (NUMTR-1)11,12,11

407070
AC7070
A07070
A. 07070

AC7070
A0707C
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
0.07070

A07070
0.07070

407070
A07070
A07070
A07070
AC7070
A07070
407070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07070
A07094
A07094
A07406
AO 7474
A07510
AO7518
A0754
A. 07542

A07554
A07566
A0 7578
A07578
A07602
407626
A07650
A07674
A07698
A07722
AO 7746
A07746
A07782
A07782

\section*{BARTLETT PROGRAM LISTING (Cont'd)}
```

        12 PUMCH 18
        GO TO 13 A07850
        11 IF(NUMFR-2)14,15,14
        15 PUNCH 19
        GC TO 13
        14 IF(NUMTR-3)16,17,16
        17 PUNCH 21
        GO TO 13
        16 IF(NUMTR-4)22,23,22
        23 PUNCH 24
        GO TO 13
        22 PUNCH 25
        13 CHIDF=NOGRP-1
        KOUNT=1
        LEVEL=CHIDF
    26 CASES=0.
        SUMX=心.
    SUMX2=0.
    C READ VALUES FCR N, SUM OF }X\mathrm{ AND SUN OF X SQUARE.
    READ 27,CASES,SUMX,SUMX2
    C BEGIN COMPUTATIONS
    DF=CASES-1.
    RECDF=1./DF
    SUMO2=SUMX2-((SUMX*SUMX)/CASES)
    S2=SUMO2/DF
    TLOGS=(LOGF(S2))*CN
    DFLOG=DF*TLOGS
    EIGN=BIGN+CASES
    SUMDF = SUMDF +DF
    SMRCP =SMRCP +RECDF
    SMSD2=SMSU2+SUMUZ
    SUMS2 =SUMS 2+S 2
    SNOFL=SMDFL+DFLOG
    I! FIRST TIME HERE, PUNCH TAELE HEADER CARDS, IF NOT PUNGH TABLE AO8806
        IF(KOUNT-1)28,29,28 (NOT PUNCH TABLE.
    29 PUNCH 31
    PUNCH 32
    28 PUNCH 33,KOUNT,CASES,DF,RECDF,SUMD2,S2,TLOGS,DFLOG
    DETERMINE IF LAST OF GROUP.
        IF(NOGRP-KOUNT)34,34,35
    35 K.OUNT=KOUNT+1
    GO BACK AND READ A NEW DATA CARD FOR THIS GROUP.
    GO TO 26
    MAJOR EQUATIONS FOR THE TEST.
    34ONE=(LOGF(SMSD2/SUMUF))}#C
    TWO=((SUMDF*ONE)-SMDFL)*2.3026
    THREE=1\bullet+(1•/(3.*(HIDF))*(SMRCP-(1./SUMDF))
    CHI = TWO/THREE
    PUNCH VALUES FOR THE SUMS,CHI SQUARE AND LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.
    PUNCH 36,BIGN,SUMDF,SMRCP,SMSD2,SUMS2,SMDFL
    PUNCH 37,CHI,LEVEL
    FOLLOWING PROCEDURE FOR DETERMING IF CHI SQUARE IS SIGNIFICANT.
    IF(T(I,LEVEL)-CHI)38,39,41
        41 PUNCH }4
            GO TO 43
    
## BARTLETT PROGRAM LISTING (Cont'd)

## 

## $(3)$

$$
39 \text { PUNCH } 44
$$

GO TO 43
A09698
8 IF (T $(2, L E V E L)-C H I) 45,46,47$
AOG710
46 PUNCH 48
GO TO 43
A09718
A09834
A09846
47 PUNCH 49
GO TO 43
A09854
A09866
$45 \operatorname{IF}(T(3, L E V E L)-C H I) 51,52,53$
A09874
53 PUNCH. 54
GO TO 43
409990
A 10002
52 FUNCH 55
GO TO 43 .
Ai0010
A 10022
51 IF (T (4, LEVEL)-CHI) 56,57,58
58 PUNCH 59
GO TO 43
A10030
A10146
A10158
57 PUNCH 61
GO TO 43
56 IF (T 5 5,LEVEL)-CHI) 62,63,64
64 PUNCH 65
GO TO 43
63 PUNCH 66
GO TO 43
A10166
A10178
A10186
A10302
A10314
A10322
A10334
62 PUNCH 67
Al0342
43 PUNCH 68
PUNCH 69, $\mathrm{T}(1, L E V E L), T(2, L E V E L), T(3, L E V E L), T(4, L E V E L), T(5, L E V E L)$
$c$
C DETERMINE IF THE JOB IS DONE. IF FINISHED TYPE MESSAGE.
A10354
A10366
A10678
A10678
71. LAST = LAST +1

GO TO 8
72 PRINT 73
PAUSE
A10746
A10782
A10790
A10802
GO TO 4
A10814
$c$
C
9FORMAT(14,59XI2)
27FORMAT (F10.0,1XF10.0.1XF10.0)
A10822
THE FOLLOWING CARDS ARE FOR FORMAT OF THE PROGRAM ONLY
A10822
A10822

68FORMAT (5CHLEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE . 100 .050 .020 .010.3×4H.001) A1090
69FORMAT(22HCHI SQUARE. TABLE ENTRY,5F7.3/) A11048
31FORMAT(5H CASE, $25 \times 8 \mathrm{HSUM} \times(I), 5 \times 4 \mathrm{HS}(I), 4 \times 8 \mathrm{HLOG} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{I}), 1 \times 13 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N}-1)$ LOG S(I)) A11146
32 FORMAT (4H NO, $3 \times 1 \mathrm{HN}, 3 \times 4 \mathrm{HD} . \mathrm{F}, 3 \times 6 \mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{F}, 7 \times 2 \mathrm{HSQ}, 10 \times 2 \mathrm{HSQ}, 8 \times 2 \mathrm{HSQ}, 9 \times 2 \mathrm{HSQ} / 1 \mathrm{~A} 11302$
33FORMAT(I5,2F5.0,F10•5,F13.5,3F11.5)
A11476
36FORMAT (/5HSUMS , 2F5.0.F10.5,F13.5,F11.5,11XF11.5/)
A11534
$37 F O R M A T(15 \times 11 H C H I \quad S Q U A R E=F 10.3,5 \times 20 H$ DEGREES OF FREEDOM=I4/)
A 11616
2FORMAT (5F7.3)
5FORMAT(41HBARTLETT TEST FOR HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE)
Al1738

44 FORMAT ( $20 \times 19$ HSIGNIFICANT AT •100/) A11968
48FORMAT ( $20 \times 19$ HSIGNIFICANT AT . O50/)
A1 2042
$49 F O R M A T(20 \times 33 H S I G N I F I C A N T$ BETWEEN . 100 AND.050/)
A1 2116
54 FORMAT ( $20 \times 33$ HSIGNIFICANT BETWEEN. 050 AND.0201)
A12218
55FORMAT ( $20 \times 19 H S I G N I F I C A N T$ AT . O20/)
A12320
59FORMAT ( $20 \times 33$ HSIGNIFICANT BETWEEN .020.AND.010/)
A1 2394
61 FORMAT ( $20 \times 19 H S I G N I F I C A N T$ AT .010/)
A1 2496
65FORMAT ( $20 \times 33$ HSIGNIFICANT BETWEEN . 010 AND .001/) A12570

## BARTLETT PROGRAM LISTING (Cont'd)

```
GGFORMAT(2OX19HSIGNIFIGANT AT .OO1/)
OTFORMAT (2OX12HGEYOND .OO1/)
SFORMAT(37HREFLRANCE EUNARDG REV.EEU.,P,125-12G1)
7FORMAT (5OHPROGRAMMED GY R.C. IRONS*'P,12V-12G/)
```



```
IOFORMAT(ZSHOATA FROM KANN ECORES USED/)
1FFORINAT(4OHDATA FRON SQRT(X+.5) TRANSFORMATION USED/)
21FORMAT(47HLATA FROM SQRT ( }x\mathrm{ ) +SQRT (X +1) TRANSFORIMATIONN USED/)
24FORMAT(36HLATA FROM LOG(X) TRANSFORMATION USEO/)
25FORNAT (3UHDATA FROM RECIPFOCAL OF X USECO/)
C3FORMAT(4IHUOU COMPLETED, PUSH START TO EEGIN AGAIN.//)
C
C END OF PROGKAAM.
C
END
```

A 12072 Al 2746
A1<00t
A 12510
A13040
A13120
A 13230
A13354
A13456
A12546
A1306<
A1300
A1360:
A13062
00179

## BARTLETT PROGRAM SYMBOL TABLE

| ADDRESSES | NAME | DIMENSION | StATE | LOC | FORM | LOC | FIX | FLOATING | A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19999 | SIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19989 | SINF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19979 | COS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19969 | COSF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19959 | ATAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19949 | ATANF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19939 | EXP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19929 | EXPF |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | A |  |
| 19919 | LOG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19909 | LOGF |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | A |  |
| 19899 | SQR $\uparrow$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19889 | SQRTF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19879 | SIGN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19869 | SIGNF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19859 | ABS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19849 | $A B S F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19839 | RDNM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 19829 | RDNMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 1981918329 | T | 00050030 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18319 | MATRX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18309 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0001- |  | A |  |
| 18299 |  |  | 0001 | 07094 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18289 |  |  |  |  | 0002 | 11738 |  |  | A |  |
| 18279 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0030- |  | A |  |
| 18269 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  | A | 1 |
| 18259 |  |  | 0003 | 07474 |  | 1 |  |  | A | 1 |
| 18249 |  |  | 0004 | 07518 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18239 | CN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4342944800 | A |  |
| 18219 |  |  |  |  | 0005 | 11780 |  |  | A |  |
| 18209 |  |  |  |  | 0006 | 12806 |  |  | A |  |
| 18199 |  |  |  |  | 0007 | 12910 |  |  | A |  |
| 18169 | LAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18179 |  |  | 0008 | 07602 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ - | A |  |
| 18169 | SUMDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00000000 99- | A |  |
| 18149 | BIGN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18139 | SMRCP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 13129 | SUMS 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18119 | SMSD2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18109 | SMDFL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18099 |  |  |  |  | 0009 | 10822 |  | * | A |  |
| 18089 | NOGRP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18079 | NUMTR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 13069 |  |  | 0011 | 07870 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18059 |  |  | 0012 | 07850 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 13049 |  |  |  |  | 0018 | 13040 |  |  | A |  |
| 18039 |  |  | 0013 | 08146 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 18029 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0002- | - | A |  |
| 18019 |  |  | 0014 | 07958 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 13009 |  |  | 0015 | 07938 |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| 17999 | , |  |  |  | 0019 | 13120 |  |  | A | $T$ |
| 17989 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0003- |  | A | $\square$ |
| 17979 |  |  | 0016 | 08046 |  |  |  |  | A |  |

BARTLETT PROGRAM SYMBOL TABLE (Cont'd)

17969
17959
17949
17939
17929
17919
17909
17899
17889
17879
17869
17859
17849
17839
17829
17819
17809
17799
17789
17779
17769
17759
17749
17739
17729
17719
17709
17699
17689
17679
17669
17659
17649
17639
17629
17619
17609
17599
17589
17579
17569
17559
17549
17539
17529
17519
17509
17499
17489
17479
17469
17459
17449
17439
17429

```
0017 08026
                    0021 13230
                                    0004-
0022 68134
0023 081114
                    0024 13354
                                    002513456
0028 08934
002908910
003111146
    0 0 3 2 1 1 3 0 2 ~ A
003311476
0034 09154
003509110
                10000000 01
        002
OO1
0 0 2 8 0 8 9 3 4 ~ A
A
002608254 A
. A
    0027 10856 A
    002710856
        A
    0027-10856 A
        A
        A
        A
        A
        001 A
        A
        A
    A
003509110 A
        A
        A
        23026000 01
30000000 01
        005
    0036 11534
    003711616
0 0 3 8 0 9 7 1 8 ~ A
A
0 0 3 9 0 9 6 9 8 ~ A
0 0 4 1 0 9 6 7 8 ~ A
0042 11886 A
0 0 4 3 1 0 3 5 4 ~ A
    0044 11968 A
0 0 4 5 0 9 8 7 4 ~ A
0046 09834
A
0047 09854
    0048 12042 A
    004912116 A
0 0 5 1 1 0 0 3 0 ~ A
0 0 5 2 1 0 0 1 0 ~ A
0 0 5 3 0 9 9 9 0 ~ A
0054 12218 A
```

CHIDF
KOUNT
LEVEL
CASES
SUMX
SUMX 2
DF
RECDF
SUMD2
S2
TLOGS
DFLOG
ONE
TWO A
THREE
CHI

## BARTLETT PROGRAM SYMBOL TABLE (Cont'd)



|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \emptyset 6$ | 3.841 | 5.412 | 6.635 | $1 \emptyset .83$ |
| $4.6 \emptyset 5$ | 5.991 | 7.824 | $9.21 \emptyset$ | 13.82 |
| 6.251 | 7.815 | 9.837 | 11.341 | 16.27 |
| 7.779 | 9.488 | 11.668 | 13.277 | 18.46 |
| 9.236 | $11 . \emptyset 7 \emptyset$ | 13.388 | $15 . \emptyset 86$ | $2 \emptyset .52$ |
| $1 \emptyset .645$ | 12.592 | $15 . \emptyset 33$ | 16.812 | 22.46 |
| $12 . \emptyset 17$ | $14 . \emptyset 67$ | 16.622 | 18.475 | 24.32 |
| 13.362 | $15.5 \emptyset 7$ | 18.168 | $2 \emptyset . \emptyset 9 \emptyset$ | 26.12 |
| 14.684 | 16.919 | 19.679 | 21.666 | 27.88 |
| 15.987 | $18.3 \emptyset 7$ | 21.161 | $23.2 \emptyset 9$ | 29.59 |
| 17.275 | 19.675 | 22.618 | 24.725 | 31.26 |
| 18.549 | $21 . \emptyset 26$ | $24 . \emptyset 54$ | 26.217 | 32.91 |
| 19.812 | 22.362 | 25.472 | 27.688 | 34.53 |
| $21 . \emptyset 64$ | 23.685 | 26.873 | 29.141 | 36.12 |
| $22.3 \emptyset 7$ | 24.996 | 28.259 | $3 \emptyset .578$ | $37.7 \emptyset$ |
| 23.542 | 26.296 | 29.633 | $32 . \emptyset \emptyset \emptyset$ | 39.25 |
| 24.769 | 27.587 | $3 \emptyset .995$ | $33.4 \emptyset 9$ | $4 \emptyset .79$ |
| 25.989 | 28.869 | 32.346 | $34.8 \emptyset 5$ | 42.31 |
| $27.2 \emptyset 4$ | $3 \emptyset .144$ | 33.687 | 36.191 | 43.82 |
| 28.412 | $31.41 \emptyset$ | $35 . \emptyset 2 \emptyset$ | 37.566 | 45.32 |
| 29.615 | 32.671 | 36.343 | 38.932 | $46.8 \emptyset$ |
| $3 \emptyset .813$ | 33.924 | 37.659 | $4 \emptyset .289$ | 48.27 |
| $32 . \emptyset \emptyset 7$ | 35.172 | 38.968 | 41.638 | 49.73 |
| 33.196 | 36.415 | $4 \emptyset .27 \emptyset$ | $42.98 \emptyset$ | 51.18 |
| 34.382 | 37.652 | 41.566 | 44.314 | 52.62 |
| 35.563 | 38.885 | 42.856 | 45.642 | $54 . \emptyset 5$ |
| 36.741 | $4 \emptyset .113$ | $44.14 \emptyset$ | 46.963 | 55.48 |
| 37.916 | 41.337 | 45.419 | 48.278 | 56.89 |
| $39 . \emptyset 87$ | 42.557 | 46.693 | 49.588 | $58.3 \emptyset$ |
| $4 \emptyset .256$ | 43.773 | 47.962 | $5 \emptyset .892$ | $59.7 \emptyset$ |

## BART LETT PROGRAM OUTPUT

```
BARTLETT TEST FOR HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCE
REFERENCE EOWAROS REV. ED., P.125-129
PROGRAMMED BY R.C. IRONS SCHAVMED PENSACOLA,FLA
DATA FROM RAW SCORES USED
```



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 6. & 5. & ． 20000 & 178.83330 & 39.76666 & 1.59951 & 7.99759 \\
\hline 2 & 6. & 5. & ． 20000 & 178.00000 & 25.60000 & 1.40824 & 7.04120 \\
\hline 3 & 17. & 16 。 & ． 06250 & 597.76500 & 37.36027 & 1.57241 & 25.15856 \\
\hline 4 & 10. & 9. & ． 11111 & 501.60000 & 55.73333 & 1.74611 & 15.71503 \\
\hline 5 & 4. & 3. & ． 33333 & 38.00000 & 12.66666 & 1.10266 & 3.30798 \\
\hline 6 & 12. & 11. & ． 09090 & 495.66700 & 45.06063 & 1． 55,370 & 18.19177 \\
\hline 7 & 5. & 4 。 & .25000 & 171.20000 & 42.80000 & 1.63144 & 9．52577 \\
\hline 8 & 5 & 4 。 & .25000 & 433.20000 & 108.30000 & 2.34462 & 8.23851 \\
\hline 9 & 5. & 4 。 & .25000 & 99.20000 & 24.80000 & 1．3944－ & ．57780 \\
\hline 10 & 11. & 10. & －10000 & 732.00000 & 73.20000 & 1．8ら42？ & 16．54511 \\
\hline 11 & 5. & 4 ． & .25000 & 114.00000 & 28.50000 & 1.45484 & 5.81937 \\
\hline 12 & 3 & 2 。 & ． 50000 & 252.66670 & 126.33335. & 2.10151 & 4.20303 \\
\hline S & 89 • & 77 。 & 2.59785 & 3762.13200 & 620.12096 & & 126．32178 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
CHI SQUARE= 7.958 DEGREES OF FREEDOM= 11
NOT SIGNIFICANT AT •100
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE & .100 & .050 & .020 & .010 & .001 \\
CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY 17.275 & 19.675 & 22.018 & 24.725 & 31.260
\end{tabular}
CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY 17.275 19.675 22.618 24.725 31.260
```


## BARTLETT PROGRAM OUTPUT (Cont'd)

DATA FROM SQRT $(X+.5)$ TRANSFORMATION USED


LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE .100 .050 .020 .010.001 CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY 17.275 19.675 22.618 24.725 31.260

## BARTLETT PROGRAM OUTPUT (Cont'd)


$\begin{array}{llrrrrrrr}\text { LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE } & .100 & .050 & .020 & .010 & .001 \\ \text { CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY } & 17.275 & 19.675 & 22.618 & 24.725 & 31.260\end{array}$
CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY $17.27519 .675 \quad 22.618 \quad 24.725 \quad 31.260$

## BARTLETT PROGRAM OUTPUT (Cont'd)

C
DATA FROM LOG(X) TRANSFORMATION USED

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CASE } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | sum $\times$ ( I) | S(I) | Log S(I) ( | $(N-1)$ LOG S(I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | D.F. | 1/D.F. | SQ | SQ | SQ | SQ |
| 1 | 6. | 5. | . 20000 | . 05118 | . 01023 | -1.98983 | -9.94917 |
| 2 | 6. | 5. | . 20000 | . 03593 | . 00718 | -2.14346 | -10.71732 |
| 3 | 17. | 16. | . 06250 | . 07520 | . 00470 | -2.32790 | -37.24643 |
| 4 | 10 。 | 9. | . 11111 | . 08239 | . 00915 | -2.03836 | -18.34531 |
| 5 | 4. | 3. | . 33333 | .01937 | . 00645 | -2.18987 | -6.56963 |
| 6 | 12. | 11. | . 09090 | . 07979 | . 00725 | -2.13943 | -23.53376 |
| 7 | 5. | 4. | . 25000 | . 03918 | . 00979 | -2.00899 | -8.03598 |
| 8 | 5. | 4 . | . 25000 | . 08638 | . 02159 | -1.66564 | -6.66258 |
| 9 | 5. | 4. | . 25000 | . 01408 | .0035? | -?.45345 | -9.81382 |
| 10 | 11. | 10. | . 10000 | . 18737 | . 01873 | -1.72729 | -17.27293 |
| 11 | 5. | 4. | . 25000 | . 01648 | . 00412 | -2.38510 | -9.54041 |
| 12 | 3. | 2 . | . 50000 | . 05666 | . 02833 | -1.54770 | -3.09540 |
| sums | 89. | 77. | 2.59785 | . 74403 | . 13109 |  | -160.78279 |
|  |  |  | I SQUARE= | 12.034 | DEGREES | OF FREEDOM $=$ | $=11$ |
|  |  |  | NOT SI | FICANT AT |  |  |  |

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE .100 .050 .020 .010 .001
CHI SQUARE TABLE ENTRY $17.27519 .675 \quad 22.618 \quad 24.725 \quad 31.260$

## BARTLETT PROGRAM OUTPUT (Cont'd)
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## A GENERAL FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM

## M. E. Kunroe

University of New Hampshire

145 C

by M. F. Munroe, University of New Hampshire

This is a Fortran II function subprogram that accepts $X$ from the main program and returns $F(X)$. This single subprogram can be used to yield values of any desired function. The function to be computed is controlled by data read into the main program.

The code for describing a function by numerical data is as follows. A block of four integers will give instructions to combine to functions in a specified way and store the result. The first integer in each block of four specifies the combining operation to be performed. The next two specify the basic functions (or previously stored results) that are to be combined in this way. The fourth integer specifies a label for storage. The specific code chosen is this:


Every time a 30 appears there must be an auxiliary entry to indicate what constant. Thus, the data consists of a vector of 4 k fixed point numbers plus another vector of floating point numbers - one for each 30 in the first vector.

Illustration: Suppose we want the subprogram to compute

$$
\sqrt{\sin \pi x+3 x^{5}}
$$

The vector of integers would be as follows, and we indicate at each storage stage what is being stored.


The vector of constants would be

$$
3.1415926 \quad 5 . \quad 3 .
$$

Note that the exponent 5 is read as a floating point number. The subprogram will convert it to an integer before exponentiating.

A listing of the subprogram follows. The idea is to use these code numbbets as branching controls by means of IF statements and computed $G O$ TO statements.

```
C GENERAL FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM
        FUNCTION F(X)
        DIMENSION I (200),C(100),FF(15)
        COMMON I,C,NI
C INITIALIZE CONSTANT COUNTER
        KON=1
        DO 10O J=1,NI,4
        IJ=1(J)
C PREPARE FPR FIRST PASS (THROUGH SECOND FUNCTION)
        L=2
        S=X
    3 M=J+L
        IM=I(M)
C BRANCH FOR FUNCTION, CONSTANT, STORED RESULT
        IF(IM-30)1.41.2
C BRANCH FOR LIBRARY, IDENTITY FUNCTION
    1 IF(IM-20)4.4.42
    C LIBRARY FUNCTION
    4 K=IM-10
C BRANCH TO SPECIFIC LIBRARY FUNCTION
        GO TO (43,44,45,46,47,48,49).K
    C STORED RESULT
    2 K=IM-100
        R=FF(K)
        GO TO 65
C CONSTANT
    41R=C(KON)
C RESET CONSTANT COUNTER
        KON=KON+1
        GO TO 65
    C IDENTITY FUNCTION
    42 R=S
        GO TO 65
C SPECIFIC LIBRARY FUNCTIONS
    43 R=LOGF (S)
        GO TO 65
        44 R=SINF(S)
        GO TO 65
        45 R=COSF (S)
        GO TO 65
    46 R=EXPF(S)
        GO TO 65
    4 7 ~ R = S Q R T F ( S )
        GO TO 65
    48 R=ATANF (S)
        GO TO 65
    49 R=ABSF(S)
```

```
C BRANCH ACCORDING AS THIS IS FIRST OR SECOND PASS
    65 GO TO {66.67).L
C STORE RESULT OF FIRST PASS AS Z
    67 Z=R
    C PREPARE FOR SECOND PASS (THROUGH FIRST FUNCTION)
        L=1
C START SECOND PASS UNLESS OPERATION IS COMPOSITION
        IF(1J-5)3.11.3
C RESET S FOR COMPOSITION
    11 S=Z
C START SECOND PASS
        GO TO 3
C AFTER SECOND PASS BRANCH TO OPERATION CALLED FOR
    66 GO TO (31,32,33,34,35,36),IJ
C PERFORM OPERATION AND RECORD RESULT AS F
    31 F=R+Z
        GO TO 70
        F=R-Z
        GO TO 70
    33 F=R*Z
        GO TO 70
    34 F=R/Z
        GO TO 70
    35 F=R
        GO TO 70
    36 I Z=Z
        F=R**IZ
C NOTE STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS
    70 K=1(J+3)-100
C STORE RESULT AS BOTH FF(K) AND AS F
C IF DO LOOP CONTINUES IT WILL BE CALLED AS FF(K)
C
    100 FF (K)=F
        RETURN
        END
```
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FORTE PROGRAMS FOR COMPUTING ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS NI) FUNCTIONS

1. Scope of the Programs

The programs presented in this paper provide subroutines for direct computation of the three types of elliptic integrals in so-called "Legendre Normal Form". They are
a. Integrals of the first kind:

$$
F(\theta, \phi)=\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d \phi}{\sqrt{1-\phi^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi}} ; \quad 0 \leq \pi^{2}<1 ; \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2 .
$$

b. Integrals of the second kind:

$$
E(\theta, \phi)=\int_{0}^{\theta} \sqrt{1-\pi^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi} d \phi ;
$$

c. Integrals of the third kind:

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, \phi\right)=\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{d \phi}{\left(1-\alpha^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi\right) \sqrt{1-\pi^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi}},-\infty<\alpha^{2}<\infty .
$$

The quantity $k$ is called the modulus, $\theta$ the amplitude and $\alpha^{2}$ the parameter.
Closely associated with the above are the Jacobian elliptic functions, which are defined as follows:

Let

$$
F(\theta, k)=u .
$$

Then $\theta$, the "amplitude" of $u$, is written as

$$
\theta=a m u
$$

Similarly $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ or the "sine-amplitude" and "cosine amplitude" of $u$ are written

$$
\sin \theta=\operatorname{smu}
$$

and

$$
\cos \theta=\mathrm{cnu} .
$$

In addition, the quantity $\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}$, known as the "delta-amplitude" is introduced and is denoted by anu. Since $\frac{d F}{d \theta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}=1 / \mathrm{dnu}$, it follows that $\frac{d}{d n}[a m(u)]=d n u$. Thus, three types of integrals in Legendre normal form may also be expressed as follows:
3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}(\theta, \mathrm{k})=u ; \\
& \mathrm{E}(\theta, \mathrm{k})=\int_{0}^{u} d n^{2} v d v ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I I\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, k\right)=\int_{0}^{u} \frac{d v}{1-\alpha^{2} 5 n^{2} r}
$$

2. Special Values

When $k=0$ or 1 , the three canonical forms are reducible to elementary
functions. Specifically,
a. $\mathrm{F}(\theta, 0)=\mathrm{E}(\theta, 0)=\theta$
b. $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, 0\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}} \tan ^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} \tan \theta\right], \alpha^{2}<1 ;$
$=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}}} \ln \left|\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} \tan \theta}{1-\sqrt{1-\alpha^{2}} \tan \theta}\right|, \alpha^{2}>1$;
$=\tan \theta$, if $\alpha^{2}=1$
c. $F(\theta, 1)=\ln (\tan \theta+\sec \theta)$
d. $\operatorname{E}(\theta, 1)=\sin \theta$
e. $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, 1\right)=\frac{1}{1-\alpha^{2}}\left\{\ln (\tan \theta+\sec \theta)-\frac{\alpha}{2} \ln \left|\frac{1+\alpha \sin \theta}{1-\alpha \sin \theta}\right|\right\}$
$\alpha^{2}>0 ; \alpha^{2} \neq 1 ; \alpha \sin \theta \neq 1 ;$
$=\frac{1}{1-\alpha^{2}\left\{\ln (\tan \theta+\sec \theta)+|\alpha| \tan ^{-1}(1 \alpha / \sin \theta)\right\},} \begin{array}{r}\alpha^{2}<0 ; \\ =\frac{1}{2}\{\ln (\tan \theta+\sec \theta)+\tan \theta \sec \theta\}, \\ 2 \quad \alpha^{2}=1 . \\ 4.6 \cdot 2\end{array}$

## 3. Mathematical Formulation

The programs presented here are based on a transformation, known as Gauss' transformation or sometimes Landen's second transformation. It permits each of the three normal integrals to be expressed in tems of elementary functions and similar ones with a lesser modulus. Since the same transformation may be applied to the new set, and the process continued until a modulus of sufficiently small magnitude is obtained, a point is ultimately reached where the integrals reduce to element functions as outlined in section 2. It is then a simple matter to go backwards and find the desired values of the original integrals. The transformation goes as follows:
a. A new modulus $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ is computed from the formula

$$
k_{1}=\frac{1-k^{\prime}}{1-k^{\prime}}
$$

where $k^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-k^{2}}$ is known as the complementary modulus.
b. A new amplitude $\theta_{1}$ is computed from

$$
\sin \theta_{1}=\frac{\left(1+k^{\prime}\right) \sin \theta}{1+\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}
$$

c. A new parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, is computed from

$$
\alpha_{1}^{2}=\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{1+p}{1+p^{\prime}}\right)^{\alpha}
$$

where $p=\sqrt{1-k^{2} / \alpha^{2}}$.
The original integrals, functions of $k, \theta, \alpha^{2}$, may now be expressed in terms of ones with arguments $k_{1}, \theta_{1}, \alpha_{l}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\theta, k)=\left(1+k_{1}\right) F\left(\theta_{1}, k_{1}\right) \\
& E(\theta, k)=\left(1+k^{\prime}\right) E\left(\theta_{1}, \frac{k}{1}\right)-k^{\prime} F\left(\theta_{1}, k_{1}\right)+\frac{k^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta}{1+\sqrt{1-k^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I I\left(\theta, \alpha^{\lambda}, k\right)=\frac{1}{p}\left\{2\left(1+k_{1}\right) I I\left(\theta_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{\alpha}, k_{1}\right)-I I\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, 0\right)-(1-p) F(\theta, k)\right\}
$$

d. Steps $a, b, c$ are repeated with $\theta, k, \alpha^{2}$ replaced by $\theta_{1}, k_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{2}$ and this procedure is continued until at the $n$-th step, the modulus $k_{N}$ is essentially zero. $F\left(\theta_{N}, k_{N}\right), E\left(\theta_{N}, k_{N}\right)$ and $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta_{N}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{N}, k_{N}\right)$ are then elementary functions as given by formulae $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{ab}$.
e. From the values of $F\left(\theta_{N}, k_{N}\right), E\left(\theta_{N}, k_{N}\right), I I\left(\theta_{N}, \theta_{N}, k_{N}\right)$ just found, equation 3.1 is employed to find $F\left(\theta_{N-1}, k_{N-1}\right), E\left(\theta_{N-1}, k_{N-1}\right)$, $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta_{N-1}, k_{N-1}\right)$ and this process is continued until finally the values of the functions of the original arguments are obtained.
4. Recurrence Formulae

As an alternative to the above described process, which requires that intermediate values of $k, \alpha^{\alpha}, \theta$ be saved, a general recurrence type formula for direct computation may be written. This is accomplished by defining sequences of numbers $R_{n}, R_{n}, S_{n}, T_{n}, X_{n}, Y_{n}, Z_{n}$, according to the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{0}=0 & x_{0}=0 \\
k_{0}=1 & Y_{0}=1 \\
S_{0}=1 & Z_{0}=0 \\
T_{0}=0 & R_{n}^{2} \sin Q_{n} \cos \theta_{n} \\
Q_{n+1}=Q_{n}+\left\{\frac{\left.1+\sqrt{1-k_{n}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{n}}\right\}}{1+\sqrt{n}} T_{n}\right. \\
R_{n+1}=\left(1+k_{n+1}\right) R_{n 1} & \\
S_{n+1}=\left(1+k_{n+1}\right)\left\{S_{n}+k_{n}^{\prime} T_{n}\right\} \\
T_{n+1}=\left(1+k_{n}^{\prime}\right) T_{n}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=\left(1+k_{n+1}\right)\left[x_{n}+\frac{p_{n}-1}{p_{n}} Y_{n}\right] \\
& Y_{n+1}=\frac{2\left(1+k_{n+1}\right)}{p_{n}} Y_{n}, \\
& z_{n+1}=z_{n}+\frac{G_{n}}{p_{n}} Y_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{n+1} & =\frac{1-k_{n}^{\prime}}{1+k_{n}^{\prime}}, \\
\alpha_{n+1}^{2} & =\alpha_{n}^{2}\left(\frac{1+p_{n}}{1+\phi_{n}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}, \\
\sin \theta_{n+1} & =\frac{\left(1+\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right) \sin \theta_{n}}{1+\sqrt{1-p_{n}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{n}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{0} & =k \\
\alpha_{0}^{2} & =\alpha^{2} \\
\sin \theta_{0} & =\sin \theta \\
\beta_{n} & =\sqrt{1-\pi_{n}^{2} / \alpha_{n}^{2}} \\
G_{n} & =\not Z\left(\theta_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{2}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if N is a value of n such that $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}} \cong 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\theta, k)=R_{N} \theta_{N} \\
& E(\theta, k)=Q_{N}+\left[T_{N}-S_{N}\right] \theta_{N} \\
& I I\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, k\right)=X_{N} \theta_{N}+Y_{N} G_{N}-Z_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Restrictions on the Use of the Formulae

The formulae for F and E can be used for all values of k and $\theta$ with the exception of the trivial case $k=1$. On the other hand, those for II can not be universally applied unless $p_{n}$ is real for each n. Evidentally, the initial value

$$
p_{0}=\sqrt{1-k^{2} / \alpha^{2}}
$$

will be real provided that either $\alpha^{2}<0$ or $\alpha^{2}>\pi^{2}$, and it is easily shown that if these conditions are satisfied by the original values of $k$ and $\alpha^{2}$, it will always be satisfied by the subsequent values of these quantities. This leaves one remaining case, namely

$$
0<\alpha^{2} \leq R^{2}
$$

where the transformation will not work directly. Fortunately, there exists the following relationship:

$$
\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, \alpha^{2}, k^{2}\right)+\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, R^{2} / \alpha^{2}, k^{2}\right)=
$$

5.1

$$
F(\theta, \mathrm{k})+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} / \alpha^{2}-1\right)}} \ln \sqrt{\left|\frac{1+u}{1-u}\right|},
$$

where

$$
u=\sqrt{\frac{\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(\pi^{2} / \alpha^{2}-1\right)}{1-\pi^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}} \tan \theta .
$$

Also since $k^{2} / \alpha^{2}>1$, $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, k^{2} / \alpha^{2}, k^{2}\right)$ can be calculated by the previously described method, and $\operatorname{II}\left(\theta, \alpha^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, k^{2}\right)$ found from the above formula.
6. Some Aids for Improving the Numerical Accuracy

Numerous sources of inaccuracy may be inherent in the previously described formulae. These will be briefly discussed below.
a. Subtraction of large but nearly equal quantities.

This occurs both in the calculation of E and II. In the formulae for $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ increase in magnitude but their difference remains finite; similarly, in the expression for II, the quantity $Y_{n} G_{n}-Z_{n}$ approaches a finite limit although both $Y_{n} C_{n}$ and $Z_{n 1}$ increase. The inaccuracy which might result due to loss of significant figures may be avoided by reformulating the expressions directly in terms of the differences.
b. Inaccuracies in Computing $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}$ from $\operatorname{Sin} \theta_{\mathrm{N}}$.

Most computers find inverse trigonometric functions by means of an inverse tangent subroutine. This requires that both $\sin \theta_{N}$ and $\cos \theta_{N}$ be calculated. If $\sin \theta_{\mathrm{N}}$ is close to zero or one, inaccurate values of $\cos \theta_{\mathrm{N}}$ will result if the latter is computed from the relation:

$$
\cos \theta_{\mathrm{N}}=\sqrt{1-\sin ^{2} \theta_{\mathrm{N}}}
$$

to avoid this difficulty, $\cos \theta_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ should be computed directly from in $\theta_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\cos \theta_{n}$, since the initial of those quantities may be computed with reasonable precision, and the final results will accordingly be more accurate. A suitable formula for accomplishing this is the following:

$$
\cos \theta_{n+1}=\frac{1+\lambda_{n+1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{n+1}}{\sqrt{1-x_{n+1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{n+1}}} \cos \theta_{n} .
$$

Unreasonably large numbers may also occur if the initial value or $p$ is small, since this quantity appears in the denominator in Eq. 3.1. For this reason it may be preferable to avoid using the direct method when $\alpha^{2}$ is near to $k^{2}$, and this may be accomplished by employing Eq. 3.1 only when $\alpha^{2}<0$ or $\alpha^{2}>\mathbb{R}$, and using the transformation to the parameter $\mathbb{R}^{\alpha} / \alpha^{2}$ othervise.
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This paper is about a program for convolution of two complex discrete functions. We will discuss uses of complex convolution, describe the experimental problem which led us to develop the program, and then will present the technique itself.

The convolution process is used in the analysis of several engineering problems. In electrical signal processing by networks, the circuit output equals the complex convolution of the input time function with the circuit impulse response function. In schlieren optics, light passing through transparent media undergoes phase diffraction instead of amplitude diffraction, and may be analyzed by complex convolution.

Our experimental system, a schlieren system, is a special case of a coherent optical processing system, and it uses the phenomenon of phase diffraction for a rather unique purpose.

Figure 1 is a diagram of the experimental setup. If monochromatic light is passed through a grooved transparent film and is partially blocked in the diffraction plane, it will then project a pattern on the image screen. The image intensity varies (in a manner depending upon the type of operation at the diffraction plane) with the groove depth and slope. The variations of light intensity on the screen present the information that is stored on the film. If no blocking of the light takes place in the diffraction plane, then there will be no variation of light intensity on the image screen. We desire to find out what kind of blocking at the diffraction plane produces the best results.

It happens that Fourier Transform relations exist between the object, diffraction, and image planes. It also happens that the light amplitude at the object plane can be represented as a multiple product. If we use convolution theorem that the Fourier Transform of a product is equal to the convolution of the Fourier transforms of the individual functions, and if we know the individual transforms of the factors in the product, we can easily compute the diffraction pattern. Hence, a major part of our computer simulation of the optical display system was the development of an efficient technique for the convolution of two or more complex discrete functions.


FIGURE 1

Because the functions we convolved have real and imaginary parts, the following series of equations develop the model used for our complex convolution.

If $F \& F_{2}$ are complex functions of $x$, the distance from the center of the diffraction plane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(x)=c(x) e^{-i x(x)} \\
& F_{2}(x)=\angle(x) e^{-c \beta(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Real }\left[F_{12}(x)\right]=\sum_{x=-l}^{x=1 \ell} a_{l} \theta_{x-l} \cos \left(\alpha_{l}+\beta_{x-l}\right) \\
& \text { Imag. }\left[F_{12}(x)\right]=\sum_{x=-l}^{x=1 \ell} a_{l} b_{z-\ell} \sin \left(\alpha_{l}+\beta_{x-l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos (\alpha+\beta)=\cos \alpha \cos \beta-\sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
& \sin (\alpha+\beta)=\sin x \cos \beta+\cos \alpha \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

Thus, complex convolution may be expressed in terms of four real convolutions. The following operations represent part of our simulation and, for various reasons, they were carried out by several computer programs instead of one. At the end of this paper is a Fortran program for the simple convolution performed in part b.
(a) Prepare four tables.
(1) $x$ us $a \cos \alpha$
(2) $x$ vs $a \sin \alpha$
(3) $x$ vs $t \cos \beta$
(4) $x$ vs $t \sin \beta$
(b) Convolve
$(1) *(3)=R_{1}(x)$
(2) *(4) $=R_{2}(x)$
(1) $*(4)=I_{1}(x)$
(2) $*(3)=I_{2}(x)$
(c) Combine into real and imaginary parts.
$R(x)=R_{1}(x)-R_{2}(x)$
$U(x)=I_{1}(x)+I_{2}(x)$.
(d) The amplitude of the $m^{\text {th }}$ point of the diffraction pattern is

$$
A(m)=\sqrt{[R(m)]^{2}+[U(m)]^{2}}
$$

(e) The phase of the $\mathrm{m}^{\text {th }}$ point of the diffraction pattern is $\alpha(m)=\operatorname{Tan}^{-1}\left[\frac{L l(m)}{R(m)}\right]$

In addition to possible uses in your own computing group, this technique offers interesting opportunities for university physics and electrical engineering labs. More and more universities and colleges are teaching their students how to program and are giving their students machine time. Complicated diffraction patterns could be predicted before being observed in the physics labs, as well as schlieren optics. Computer calculations could replace the electrical engineering students' frequent use of the graphical technique for complex convolution.

In closing, we present the Fortran program for the basic convolution of two discrete functions that is the fundamental unit of our complex convolution. It is offered as an example of a technique to be used when the variable ( $m$ in our case) can be restricted to integer values. Then each $F(m)$ can be stored in location $m$ for efficiency of storage.

```
    C CONVOLUTION OF TWO DISCRETE FUNCTIONS
    C J.S.LIEBMAN.GENERAL ELECTRIC,ITHACA,N.Y.
        DIMENSION M1(201),M2(201),A1(201),A2(201),A1A2(402)
    READ }9
    PUNCH }9
    READ 90.N1
    DO 10 1=1,N1
    READ 90. M1(1).A1(I)
    M1(1)=M1(1)+100
        READ 90.N2
        DO 20 1=1,N2
        READ 90. M2(I),A2(1)
        M2(1)=M2(1)+100
        DO 30 K=1.402
        A1A2(K)=0.
            DO 40 I=1.201
            DO 40 J=1.201
            K=M1(I)+M2(J)
            A1A2(K)=A1A2(K)+A1(1)*A2(J)
            DO 60 K=1.402
            IF (A1A2(K)) 50.60.50
            J=K-200
            PUNCH 90.J.A1AZ(K)
            CONTINUE
            PAUSE
            GO TO 1
90
            FORMAT (14X,16,14X,F6.4)
95 FORMAT 149H
            END
```

* THESE STEES WERE MERELY MANIPULATIONS TO AVOID NEGATIVE INDICES.
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## A COMPUTER APPROACH TO THE ANALYSIS OF GAMMA SPECTRA

 BY THE METHOD OF CHARACTERISTIC ENERGY REGIONS> by

WENDEIL E. CARR


#### Abstract

The simultaneous equations method/ for gamma spectrum analysis utilizing characteristic energy regions has been programmed for the IBM 1620 MONITOR I System -- a combined operating and programming system. The method uses an IBM 1620 Computer (with a 40,000 digit memory, automatic divide, and indirect addressing), a 1622 Card Read-Punch Unit, a 1621 Paper Tape Reader, and two 1311 disk storage drives.

The method has been mainly applied to environmental samples, such as milk, food, air filters, etc. The.gama spectrum is obtained using a sodium-iodide crystal and a multi-channel gamma spectrometer. A spectrometer is calibrated so that counts from the sample are stored in channels on the basis of their energy level; counts of equivalent energy level are recorded in the same channel. The principles of multi-channel gamma spectroscopy have been fully described by many authors; one good summary is that of Crouthame1. $2 /$ The paper tape output from the spectrometer includes the channel numbers (energy levels) and the total counts (radioactivity) in each channel. The channels and counts comprise the gamma spectrum.


The essential problem in gamma spectrum analysis is to determine which counts are due to which nuclides and ultimately the content of each
nuclide present in one given sample. The counts in any one channel are usually due to several nuclides. For example, channels 32-40 (.01 NEV per channel) may be the characteristic region for I-131, but other nuclides also contribute to the counts in this region. How many counts in the I-131 region are due only to I-131? One method for solving this problem of spectral interference among the nuclides is the simultaneous equations method.

## Description of Method

Standard solutions of known activity containing only one nuclide are prepared and counted for each of the nuclides of interest. In the present treatment it is assumed that the identity of the pertinent nuclides is known, and furthermore, that the gamma spectrum of each standard is obtained under the same conditions as the sample spectrum, i.e., same crystal, same geometry, etc.

The equations are set up by examining the standards. Since each standard contains only one nuclide, there is no interference problem (except background, which is subtracted out). For example, a Cs-137 standard has no counts from other nuclides in the Cs-137 region (channels 60-72), so. that the counts there are all due to $\mathrm{Cs}-137$. However, the $\mathrm{Cs}-137$ causes counts in other channels, and the interference in other nuclide regions can be calculated as a fraction of the counts in channels $60-72$. Suppose there are 100 counts in the $\mathrm{Cs}-137$ region and 30 counts in the $\mathrm{I}-131$ region; this means that in any sample, whatever the number of counts in the Cs-137 region that are due to $\mathrm{Cs}-137$, . 300 of that number will be in the I-131
region as a result of the $C s-137$. By examining other standards, the fractional contribution to the I-13'1 region from each of the other nuclides can be determined.

In the system of equations there is one equation for each nuclide; this gives the counts in the nuclide region as a sum of the fractional contributions from the other nuclides. The I-13I equation might be:

$$
I_{R} \quad=\quad 1.000 I+.300 C_{s}+-\ldots
$$

where $I_{R}$ is the counts in the $I-131$ region, $I$ is the counts in the $I-131$ region due only to $I-131$, Cs is the counts in the Cs-137 region due only to Cs-137, . . .. For any sample, the counts in each region (e.g., $I_{R}$ ) can be found by simply adding the counts in each contributing channel. This leaves $I$, Cs, - - as the unknowns; the solution to the system of equations will yield these and hence will be a solution to the gamma spectrum analysis problem.

For $N$ nuclides, the system of $N$ equations could be written in matrix notation-3/.as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
x_{N}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \cdots- & A_{1, N} \\
A_{2,1} & A_{2,2}-\cdots & A_{2, N} \\
1 & & 1 \\
\vdots & & 1 \\
A_{N, 1} & A_{N, 2}-\cdots & A_{N, N}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
z_{2} \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
z_{N}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In practice, counts per minute rather than counts are used so that
$X_{i}$ is the gross* counts per minute in region $i$
$Z_{i}$ is the true* counts per minute of nuclide $i$
$A_{i j}$ is the fraction of $z_{j}$ which will be found in region $i$ The equations are usually solved explicitly for $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{N}$ by multiplying both sides of the matrix equation by the inverse of $A$ :

$$
A^{-1} X=2
$$

The Programs
Three programs execute the method. The first program -- the Set-Up Program -- sets up the simultaneous equations describing the spectral interference among the nuclides (any number up to and including 18), solves the equations by the inverted matrix method, and calculates the factors needed for each nuclide to convert net counts per minute to ficocuries per unit. Input to the Set-Up Program includes:

1. A standard spectrum with indicative information such as the date counted, counting duration, etc., for each nuclide.
2. The channels to be used for each nuclide region.
3. The volume or weight of the samples which will be analyzed with the inverted matrix. This will be used to calculate the conversion factors.

Output from the Set-Up Program includes:

1. The original matrix of the coefficients in the simultaneous equations.
2. The inverted matrix which is the solution to the simultaneous equations.
3. Conversion factors for each nuclide.

The computer group maintains a library of the output from the Set-Up
Program for all the stations which it serves. The second program -- the

[^3]Store Program -- writes this library on magnetic disk auxiliary storage: a disk pack on an IBM 1311 Disk Storage Drive. The Write Addresses Routine, part of the MONITOR Disk Utility Program, protects the library with read-only flags:

The third program -- the Analysis Program -- analyzes the gamma spectrum, obtained by counting an environmental sample, by using the library stored on a disk pack. The station number, matrix code, and analyzer number in the sample indicative determine a unique inverted matrix and set of conversion factors with which to analyze the sample. The matrix code specifies the particular combination of nuclides as well as the geometry. For example, for a specific geometry there could be one code for milk samples ( 4 nuclides) and another for food samples (8 nuclides or more). The output from this program is the concentration of each nuclide in the sample together with the associated error in this determination.

The concentrations are corrected for decay by a table-look-up method. The table of correction factors is included in the library.

An error is calculated for each concentration at the $95 \%$ confidence level based on the counting errors and the propagation of these errors due to subsequent calculations. Since the counts have a Poisson distribution which can be approximated by a normal distribution, there is a 0.95 probability that the observed value plus or minus two standard deviations will include the true value. Therefore, the counting errors are calculated as:
$2 S=2$
$\sqrt{\frac{N_{s+b}}{t_{s+b}}+\frac{N_{b}}{t_{b}}}$, where
$S$ is the standard deviation
$N_{s+b}$ is the counting rate of the sample plus background
$t_{s+b}$ is the time counted for the sample plus background
$N_{b}$ is the counting rate of the background
$t_{b}$ is the time counted for the background 4/.
The propagation of the counting errors is calculated by applying the general propagation of error formula:

$$
E_{Z}=\sqrt{\left(E_{X} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial X}\right)^{2}+\left(E_{Y} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial Y}\right)^{2}+\cdots},
$$

where $Z=f(X, Y, \cdots--) ; E_{X}$ is the error in $X, E_{Y}$ is the error in $Y,-\cdots$; $E_{Z}$ is the error in $Z$ and is the same type of error as $E_{X}, E_{Y}, \ldots, 5^{5}$ For example, if $E_{X}, E_{Y},--$ are $2 S$ errors, then $E_{Z}$ will also be a $2 S$ error. The general form of the equation giving the true counts per minute of nuclide $Z$ in terms of the gross counts per minute in regions $X, Y ; \ldots$ is

$$
Z=a X+b Y+\cdots,
$$

where $a, b,-$ - are considered to be constant coefficients. For this special case the general propagation of error formula reduces to

$$
E_{Z}=\sqrt{\left(a E_{X}\right)^{2}+\left(b E_{Y}\right)^{2}+\cdots,}
$$

where $E_{X}, E_{Y}$, - - - are the $2 S$ counting errors. Multiplying $Z$ by a factor $k$ to convert from counts per minute to picocuries per unit multiplies the error by $k$ also, so that the final error is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k Z} & =k E_{Z} \\
& =k \sqrt{\left(a E_{X}\right)^{2}+\left(b E_{Y}\right)^{2}+\ldots}
\end{aligned}
$$

Output from the Analysis Program is punched on cards and consists of the activity of each nuclide and the associated error in picocuries per unit of volume or weight. These cards are listed on the IBM 407.

## Spectrum Verification

A special routine in both the Set-Up and Analysis Programs inspects the gamma spectrum and indicative for invalid characters in the paper tape by doing a simple multiplication with each digit after the tape has been read into memory. An invalid character will cause a CHECK STOP, and the operator must manually branch to a routine which will dump on the typewriter:

1. Indicative for the spectrum
2. Number of the digit which is invalid, e.g., 31st, 50th, etc.
3. The invalid character preceded by other digits. Another routine in both programs affirms that the $N^{\text {th }}$ channel in the spectrum is numbered correctly, since if a digit has been dropped or added, calculations will be meaningless.

## Language

All these programs are written in SPS II-D, although the SetUp Program uses a subprogram written in FORTRAN II-D to invert the matrix.

## Application

The system of programs described here is being used by the Division of Radiological Health, United States Public Health Service, to analyze environmental samples. Samples are collected by State and local health department personnel under the nationwide networks of the Public Health Service and are shipped to Division of Radiological Health regional laboratories for counting. Gamma spectral data are obtained at the laboratories
and sent to the Data Processing and Computations Section for computer analysis. The resultant activity concentrations are used by other units in the PHS to assess levels of radiation in specific media and in the environment.
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## A MULTIPLE LINE KEYWORD IN CONTEXT PROGRAM

The purpose of this program is to perform a keyword (or keyphrase) in context analysis on a multiple line (card) abstract, paragraph, or magazine article. The program will accept this keypunched information and:

1) Rearrange it in core according to an output format specified by the user.
2) Cross-reference it by keywords or phrases chosen by the user. These keywords need not appear in the abstract itself, but may be added by means of a keyword, or asterisk card, that immediately follows the abstract.
3) Produce the following output, once for each keyword selected.
a) A keyword or phrase title card.
b) The original abstract rearranged to an output format selected by the user.
c) A reference card, containing information pertraining to the abstract's source, location, etc. This information will come from a similar input card.
d) A blank card, to separate adjacent, cross-referinced abstracts.

Four identification fields appearing on the right hand side of every output card enable the user to perform an alphameric merge of the cards with the output from other runs.

A sample input-output is attached on pages 2 and 3.
Further information may be obtained by requesting a writeup of the program from the 1620 Users Group. The program number is 10.3.032.

TJS ship

```
- \(2-\)
- SAMPLE INPUT -
```

REVISEDZSPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THEZAMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTEOO924301
WILL BRING THE DESIGN OFZTALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYSOINTO AGREEMENT WITH THEOO924302 LATEST PRINCIPLES FORZSEISMIC AND WIND FORCESO, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE 00924303 FOR PROTECTION AGAINSTZCORROSION •ZCIRCULAR STIFFENERSOSHOULD BE USED WHO O924304 EN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM TOO 924305 HAT WILL OCCUR.
*VIBRATIONZSTACKSZDAMPING CHARACTERISTICS
CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB • 1964. PP.32-35

```
- SORTED OUTPUT -
```

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION • CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB. 1964. PP. 32-35

CIRCULAR STIFFENERS REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN C NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB. 1964. PP. 32-35

CORROSION
REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHENCORROSION NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR

CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB. 1964. PP. 32-35
DAMPING CHARACTERISTICS
REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR

AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430164 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430264 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430364 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430464 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430564 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92430664 A AMERICAN CONCRETE AMERICAN CONCRETE AMERICAN CONCRETE AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92431064 AMERICAN CONCRETE INO92431164 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430164 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430264 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430364 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430464 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430564 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430664 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430764 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430864 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092430964 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092431064 CIRCULAR STIFFENERS 092431164 CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION
CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION CORROSION DAMPING CHARACTERIST092430164 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430264 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430364 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430464 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430564 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430664 NDAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430764 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430864 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92430964 DAMPING CHARACTERIST092431064 DAMPING CHARACTERISTO92431164

SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES
REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRE.TE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES. AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROS ON - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR

こIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB• 1964, PP. 32-35

## SPECIFICATIONS

REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR

CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB• 1964. PP. 32-35
STACKS
REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES, AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR

CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB. 1964. PP. 32-35
TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS
REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES. AND 'VILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION - CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHEN NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR CIVIL ENGINEERING, FEB• 1964, PP. 32-35

## VIBRATION

REVISED SPECIFICATIONS BEING PREPARED BY THE
AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE WILL BRING THE DESIGN OF TALL CONCRETE CHIMNEYS INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE LATEST PRINCIPLES FOR SEISMIC AND WIND FORCES , AND WILL INCLUDE GUIDANCE FOR PROTECTION AGAINST CORROSION CIRCULAR STIFFENERS SHOULD BE USED WHENVIBRATION NECESSARY TO ASSURE A RESONANT WIND VELOCITY WELL VIBRATION ABOVE THE MAXIMUM THAT WILL OCCUR
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# "An Electric Load Statistics System 

 for An Electric Utility"Prepared by Edvard H. Parker Engineering Computer Applications

## Baltimore Gas and Electric Company Baltimore, Maryland 21203

May 8, 1964

## An Electric Load Statistics System for An Electric Utility

The routine hourly records of generation, interchange with other utileties, supply and interchange with the Bethlehem Steel Company and supply to the Pennsylvania Railroad were mechanized through the use of the 1620 in 1961. The work included in the operation are arithmetical calculations necessary to provide the various combinations of basic data to obtain the several electrical system loads and their components. The data used are obtained from operators' $\log$ sheets and by telephone. The output is typewritten on $8-1 / 2$ inch by 11 inch pre-printed forms which give hourly values and daily totals. Ultimately, monthly forms are produced which show the daily and monthly totals and also the daily one-hour peaks for selected items. The resulting information is used in the presparation of reports, studies and forecasts. The typewritten sheets are the counterpart of some of the records which have been kept for many years.

Twenty-four individual programs which may be used singly or in groups comprise a series of twelve operations from the time key-punchers provide the data packages each day until about two months later when the data are put into record files. Five types of operations are used in the process. They are checking, storing of data in core, production of offline printing decks, production of data to be filed and combination of filed data with programmed identification for offline printing.

The system is prepared for two different printers. One is the 870 system which has the appearance of a key-punch comected to a typewriter. The other is a 407 printer. The 870 is used when one day's work is processed. The 407 is used when a large quantity, which would overload the 870 , is to be printed.

The various data are obtained from a variety of meters read by station or machine operators and by automatic recording equipment in many widely dispersed installations in the three states of the Company's intercomection area. The majority of the data are sent from the operating location into the Electric Engineers Department by regular Company mail. The data assembled onto a particular form for permanent records are not all interrelated. In carrying out a manual operation, data forms can be pieced together gradually throughout the day as the required data are available. In the meantime, the data which are complete can be disseminated. The programs written for the machine operation do not allow for variations of availability of data. Such flexibility was rejected after consideration of the various factors involved. All data are key-punched from the various log sheets which have been organized according to priority groups related to the sequence of need for the output forms to be produced. The total group of data cards required to produce selected sets of output forms have been labeled "packages".

Operation of the 1620 phase of the daily operation is scheduled to start at $9: 15$ a.m. A data package is first checked for omissions, then for accuracy by means of two editing programs. The first check is accomplished by means of a "hash total" of the identification numbers punched in the cards. Corrections of data are accomplished during the second edit phase. When an error is detected, the operation halts after an indication is typed on the console typewriter. Checking continues while errors are corrected by the key-punch operator. There is no need to restart the entire package during the checking phase. Part of this check requires that the midnight readings of the previous day - that is to say the low reading for the current day - be read into appropriate storage locations in order to check the twenty-four hour total. This reading, subtracted from its counterpart in the current day's data, should obviously be equal to the
total for the day obtained by adding the individual hourly values. When this detail is accepted by the program, the midnight-hour reading for the current day is stored in a special location so that all such readings may be punched to produce a new meter summary deck of cards for use as input data the following day.

There are five different processes by which the data are handled in the checking operation. The simplest is the acceptance of a twenty-four hour total. The next involves a meter reading with a twenty-four hour total as auggested before. Another is a series of hourly readings and a twenty-four hour total. Then naturally, hourly readings, twenty-four hour total and meter statements make a double check possible. Finally - one not quite so obvious - sets of meter statements and their twenty-four hour totals, without individual hourly values, are compared with the twenty-four hour sum of the combined hourly values of all the components. We call these editing routines. I have explained them because they are the basis of the adaptability of the system to electrical load measuring and recording methods.

When all data, approximately 400 key-punched cards, have been accepted by the program, a process requiring slightly over two minutes for correct data, all of the hourly data and separate twenty-four hour totals will have been stored in the core at specific addresses assigned to the particular item. The operator is then instructed to insert the production program.

These programs are so arranged that they select data from core according to specific requirements of a particular typewritten output sheet or form. These production programs are the sum total of all the mama steps previously carried out. They involve more logic than arithmetic. Since some data are positive and others negative and records are kept in terms of sales, purchases,
interchange, high and low transmission voltage, steam generation, losses, water power or cycles per second, many variations in the form makeup are possible. Network switching and equipment usage also insert variations in the programs. When the programs for a given package of data have been completed the machine is cleared and the next set of data can be edited. This may be the same work for the next day or, if available, the second package of the same day.

One might assume that the considerations mentioned in regard to the data and forms would be enough to make a system work. But, as you might have suspected, such is not the case. In this particular operation, it was necessary to allow for backward-turning meters, back feed through normally forward-turning meters and non-generation operation of generators or what is known as condenser operation. In a few cases, power might be sent through a second meter when a tie line was closed. Such values must be "marked" and properly handled. As you all know, any meter has a small number of dials so that when the reading reaches 999 it must "turn over". The next reading is zero not 1000. Normal and negative meter turnover are programmed in the edit phase.

The punched card output of the 1622 is of three types: complete printing decks for immediate use, records to be stored for use at the end of the month as input for the end of the month operation and "converted" data obtained from that assembled on the first cards for printing. Conversion involves selection and idemtiflcation by means of a special code for system components which are part of the permanent record.

The output cards for preparing the printed sheets are saved for a period of two months. Some forms cannot be prepared as soon as the majority are prepared because data for these are from a different source. When the late data are in, combinations of more specific items can be prepared.

Operation is only approximately the same each day. The major difference occurring on Monday when three days are processed. Certain data are allowed to accumulate for several days at the source before delivery and are, therefore, processed when available. On the average, the system almost repeats itself every week and a half to two weeks with the exception of the month-end work.

The daily operation consists of two periods, one in the morning and one in the afternoon. The morning is the larger being from an hour and a half to sometimes three hours. The afternoon operation which usually is concerned only with the data which became available after the noon hour and operations requiring the entire data package for the day, can be accomplished in as little as ten minutes on the 1620. Up to an hour and a half is required when four or five days of irregular data become available because this must all be checked for errors. Naturally, a fair amount of card filing is an integral part of the whole operation. This averages out to roughly fifteen minutes a day.

Output consists of fourteen daily sheets, twenty-seven monthly sheets and approximately 250 cards of permanent record after unneeded data cards are sorted out. The operation is found to produce a higher quality, more legible record and makes it possible for the Daily Statistics Group to take on other work.

This operation is carried out as nearly as possible on a current basis. The fact that some data errors are cleared up by immediate telephone calls, while machine operation is held up, puts the daily statistics operation in a special category compared to usual practices. In regard to delay times, it is conceivable to start the operation only after all log sheet data are on hand. Intermittent operation could be scheduled, but it was decided that any arbitrary intentional delays on days when data are available could so seriously reduce the value of the information that every effort should be made to carryout as nearly as possible a
continuous process starting early every day.

The data structure is somewhat complicated but unavoidably so as was implied earlier. This recommends a higher degree of operator familiarity with the data and operation than a straightforward calculation would demand. The fact that the system accounts for $30 \%$ of the 1620 time on a monthly basis with a high operator activity level recommends a program of rotation of operators. Since the generation and distribution system is constantly being revised, the programs are brought up-to-date repeatedly.


BETH. STEEL CO. LOAD \& INTERCHANGE DATA

D.F. CODE | $b b$ | $b b b$ | $b$ | $b b b$ | $b b b$ | $b b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

DATE:

| TIME | 3109423 3109520 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { NET } \\ 00 \sim \text { TIES } \\ \text { TTEEL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1112993 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET } \\ \text { No NTO TO } \\ \text { STEEL } \end{gathered}$ | 3109229 <br> 25 <br> TE TO <br> STEEL | $\begin{array}{r} \text { } 3109326 \\ \hline 25 \mathrm{TIE} \\ \text { FROM STEEL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {TIES }}^{\text {cos }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { sons }}{\text { TIES }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STEEL | ¢FROM <br> STEEL |  |  |  |  | reading | DIFF. |  |
| 2400 |  |  |  |  | - |  | 6702 |  |  |
| [1]00 | 124 |  | 124 |  | 124 |  |  | -1 | - |
| 0200 | 131 |  | 131 |  | 131 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 0300 | 134 |  | 134 |  | 134 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 0400 | 11.4 |  | 114 |  | 114 | - 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 0500 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 0600 | 116 |  | 116 |  | 116 |  |  |  |  |
| 0700 | 105 |  | 105 |  | 105 | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 0800 | 134 |  | 134 |  | 134 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 0900 | 1.05 |  | 105 |  | 103 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 1000 | 81 |  | 8-1 |  | 81 | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| 1100 | 68 |  | 68 |  | (8) |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1200 | 81 |  | 81 | 3 | $188 \times$ |  | 6703 |  | 8 ¢ 8 |
| 12 hr T Total | 293 |  | 1293 |  | 1298 | 45 |  | 1 | 44 |
| CODE $\rightarrow$ | 3209471 | 3209568 |  | 12.3041 |  | 3209277 |  | 3209374 |  |
| 4300 | 97 |  | 97 |  | 97 | 8 |  |  | 8 |
| 1400 | 107 |  | 107 |  | 107 | 7 |  |  | 9 |
| 1500 | 102 |  | 102 |  | 102 | 11 |  |  | 11 |
| 1600 | 153 |  | 153 |  | 153 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 1700 | 155 |  | 155 |  | 155 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 1800 | 134 |  | 134 |  | 134 | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| 1900 | 147 |  | 147 |  | 147 | 11 |  |  | 11 |
| 2000 | 123 |  | 123 |  | 123 | 11 |  |  | 18 |
| 2100 | 142 |  | 142 |  | 142 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 2200 | 132 |  | 132 |  | 132 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 2300 2400 | 1169 |  | 116 |  | $1169$ |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2400 | 109 |  | 109 |  | $109$ |  | 26783 | - | 6 |
| 2Hf.Total | 1517 |  | \| 1517 |  | 1517 | 107 |  | - | 1071 |
| Asi.Total | 2810 |  | 12810 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 15112 |



| 2958 | PREV. | PRES. | BiFF. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33973 "OUT" 3009428 | 93515 | 14019 | 504 |
| 33974 *OUT" 3009434 | 12074 | 1527 | 503 |
| 33981 "OUT" 3009440 | 12402 | $133 / 7$ | 915 |
| 33980 "00UT" 3009446 | 71527 | $724 / 5$ | 888 |
| 33973 "IN" 3009525 | ¢752) | 27529 | $\cdots$ |
| 33974 "fN" 3009531 | 85\%\% | 28724 | - |
| 33981 '"fN' 3009537 | 05297 | 55297 |  |
| 33980 ' ${ }^{\text {[2 }}$ " 3009543 | 103438 | 0.3432 | - |
| $60 \sim$ PD Veeder | 37978 | 180057 | 2810 |
| 33973-A | 13981 | 13984 | 3 |
| 33973-A Yeeder | 1255808 | 0355812 | 4 |
| 1 |  |  |  |

OPERATOR
24000800 HRS.
0800-1600


1600-2400 .
Les ett

$$
>+1
$$






SLIDEAS
B.G. \& E. CO.
$\begin{array}{ll}1-6 & \text { SAFE HARBOR NET SEND OUT } \\ 1 & 230 \mathrm{KV} \\ 2 & 138 \mathrm{KV} \\ 3 & 69 \mathrm{KV} \\ 4 & \text { TOTAL - SEE NOTE } \\ 5 & 2 / 3 \\ 6 & 1 / 3\end{array}$

7 MANOR-BRUNNER IS. TO BRUNNER IS.
NET TO BALTO. -WASH. GROUP FROM OTHERS
NET INTERCHANGE TO B. -W. GRP.
NET INTERCHANGE TO BALTO. GRP.
NET INTER. FROM WASH. TO BALTO. GRP. S.H. 230 KV NET TO BALTO.-WASH. GRP.

1

| HR. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 102 | 52 | 20 | 174 | 116 | 58 | 21 | 222 | 106 | 200 | 94 | 81 |  |  |  |
| 02 | 102 | 51 | 18 | 171 | 114 | 57 | 10 | + 249 | 135 | 214 | 79 | 92 |  |  |  |
| 03 | 108 | 51 | 16 | 175 | 117 | 58 | -11 | 233 | 116 | 205 | 89 | 119 |  |  |  |
| 04 | 110 | 46 | 17 | 173 | 115 | 58 | -14 | 228 | 113 | 191 | 78 | 124 |  |  |  |
| 05 | 109 | 52 | 14 | 175 | 117 | 58 | -5 | 190 | 73 | 149 | 76 | 114 |  |  |  |
| 06 | 109 | 51 | 20 | 180 | 120 | 60 | 35 | 166 | 46 | 97 | 51 | 74 |  |  |  |
| 07 | 104 | 52 | 23 | 179 | 119 | 60 | 80 | 51 | -68 | -21 | 47 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 08 | 94 | 58 | 36 | 188 | 125 | 63 | 133 | -41 | -166 | -90 | 76 | -39 |  |  |  |
| 09 | 104 | 59 | 48 | 211 | 141 | 70 | 179 | -173 | -314 | -227 | 87 | -75 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 107 | 61 | 52 | 220 | 147 | 73 | 190 | -213 | -360 | -217 | 143 | -83 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 110 | 62 | 57 | 229 | 153 | 76 | 192 | -209 | -362 | -224 | 138 | -82 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 110 | 64 | 57 | 231 | 154 | 77 | 179 | -164 | -318 | -183 | 135 | -69 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 112 | 64 | 52 | 228 | 152 | 76 | 158 | -53 | -205 | -126 | 79 | -46 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 109 | 64 | 55 | 228 | 152 | 76 | 165 | -102 | -254 | -158 | 96 | -56 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 111 | 64 | 53 | 228 | 152 | 76 | 159 | -79 | -231 | -144 | 87 | -48 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 110 | 64 | 52 | 226 | 151 | 75 | 144 | -24 | -175 | -106 | 69 | -34 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 107 | 61 | 54 | 222 | 148 | 74 | 159 | -73 | -221 | -141 | 80 | -52 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 104 | 65 | 49 | 218 | 145 | 73 | 153 | -62 | -207 | -156 | 51 | -49 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 107 | 61 | 50 | 218 | 145 | 73 | 147 | -28 | -173 | -131 | 42 | -40 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 107 | 66 | 50 | 223 | 149 | 74 | 150 | -45 | -194 | -163 | 31 | -43 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 110 | 63 | 48 | 221 | 147 | 74 | 147 | -33 | -180 | -151 | 29 | -37 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 109 | 64 | 43 | 216 | 144 | 72 | 132 | 0 | -144 | -125 | 19 | -23 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 106 | 58 | 35 | 199 | 133 | 66 | 103 | 98 | -35 | -10 | 25 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 108 | 57 | 28 | 195 | 129 | 66 | 79 | 121 | -8 | 64 | 72 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2569 | 1410 | 947 | 4928 | 3285 | 1643 | 2685 | 259 | -3026 | -1253 | 1773 | -116 |  | $\therefore$ |  |

$$
\text { X INCL.RAMP ENERGY OF O MWHR TO } 1558 \ldots .589 \ldots 1120 \ldots 1773 \ldots . .660
$$

$$
\text { AND P.P.\& L STA.SER.OF } 2 \text { MWHR }
$$

[^4]MWHR MON APR 21964
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As experience is gained in the interpretation and use of the infowation contained in this report, it is cxpected that the syetem will be extended to coves the operations of other divisions and depswinents in the Company. It is hoped that its Rlewibility will maxe it casily adaptable to their nocis.

DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY (I)

## CATEGORY

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO | 1-MO. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH | LAST YR | AVG. |

PRODUCTIVE WORK
JOBS RECEIVED

TOTAL
RATE (NO/MAN-DAY)
JOBS COMPLETED
TOTAL 4002
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { COMPANY } & 3273 \\ \text { CONTRACTOR } & 729\end{array}$
4002

RATE (NO/MAN-DAY)
TOTAL MEASURED
ACTUAL JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY)

- EXPECTED JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY) 2.13 JOB PERFORMANCE INDEX 1.34
TOTAL UNMEASURED
ACTUAL JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY)
SUPER., INSPECT., CLER., (HRS/MAN-DAY)
3148
1.2

3148

3273
729
3475
3475.

527
.32
2.49
1.2
1.5

NON-PRODUCTIVE WORK
JOB-RELA TED

HEADQUARTERS (HRS/MAN-DAY)

$$
.49
$$

FIELD (HRS/MAN-DAY) . 27
WORK PERFORMANCE I NDEX (MEASD FUNC) $\quad . .22$ 1.22
TRAVEL (HRS/MAN-DAY) (2) 1.09 1.09
TRAVEL PERFORMANCE INDEX 1.091 .09
OTHER (HRS/MAN-DAY) (3) . 38
CATEGORY

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO. | I-MO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH LAST YR. | AVG. |  |

STRAIGHT TIME
7.88
7.88

OVERTIME
.12
NOTES. (1)TIMES GIVEN IN TERMS OF EQUIVALENT MAN-DAYS.
(2) EXCLUDING TRAVEL FOR SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, CLERICAL.
(3)MEETINGS, TRAINING, AND ABSENCES COUNTED AS WORK TIME.

INSTALLATION DIVISION
MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
ANNAPOLIS SUMMARY

## DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY (1)

## CATEGORY

PRODUCTIVE WORK
JOBS RECEIVED

| TOTAL | 209 | 209 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| RATE (NO/MAN-DAY | .8 | .8 |

JOBS COMPLETED
TOTAL 478
478
COMPANY 478
478
CONTRACTOR
RATE (NO/MAN-DAY)

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO | 1-MO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH | LAST YR | AVG. |

TOTAL MEASURED
ACTUAL JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY)
EXPECTED JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY) JOB PERFORMANCE INDEX TOTAL UNMEASURED ACTUAL JOB TIME (HRS/MAN-DAY)
1.8

410
2.98
2.33
1.28

68
.25
SUPER., INSPECT., CLER., (HRS/MAN-DAY)
NON-PRODUCTIVE WORK
JOB -RELATED
HEADQUARTERS (HRS/MAN-DAY)
.50
FIELD (HRS/MAN-DAY)
WORK PERFORMANCE INDEX (MEAD FUNK)
TRAVEL (HRS/MAN-DAY) (2)
TRAVEL PERFORMANCE INDEX
OTHER (HRS/MAN-DAY) (3)

DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME BY TIME CATEGORY (1) (HRS/MAN-DAY)

## CATEGORY

STRAIGHT TIME
OVERTIME

CURRENT LAST SAME MO l-MO. MONTH MONTH LAST YR AVG.
7.94
7.94
.06

NOTES. (1) TIMES GIVEN IN TERMS OF EQUIVALENT MAN-DAYS.
(2 )EXCLUDING TRAVEL FOR SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, CLERICAL.
(3 )MEETINGS, TRAINING, AND ABSENCES COUNTED AS WORK TIME.

## INSTALLATION DIVISION MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT JOB PERFORMANCE INDICES (MEASURED JOBS)

CURRENT LAST SAME MO. 1-MONTH
MONTH MONTH LAST YR. AVG.

| DIVISION | 1.34 | 1.34 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MONUMENT STREET | 1.58 | 1.58 |
| ANNAPOLIS | 1.28 | 1.28 |
| ESSEX | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| GLEN BURNIE | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| HOWARD | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| COCKEYSVILLE | 1.43 | 1.43 |
| BEL AIR | .97 | 1.97 |
| WESTMINSTER | 1.08 | 1.57 |
| CONTRACTORS |  |  |

INSTALLATION DIVISION
MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT TRAVEL INDICES (MEASURED TRAVEL)

PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE


203

C

INSTALLATION DIVISION
MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
TRAVEL INDICES (MEASURED TRAVEL)
DISPATCH PERFORMANCE


NOTES. $\binom{1}{2}$ VEHICLE-MILES/FIELD JOB.


CLASSIFICATION

| M. + I | 1609 | 652 | $1609^{\circ}$ | 652. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| TRANSFERRED |  |  |  |  |
| BORROWED | 32 | 22 | 32 | 22 |
| CONTRACTOR | 196 | 88 | 196 | 88 |
| SUB-TOTAL | 1838 | 761 | 1838 | 761 |
| TOTAL | 2599 | 2599 |  |  |

NOTES. (1)PRODUCTIVE WORK (2)NON-PRODUCTIVE WORK

205
'f. $1 / 1$

C

$(1)^{M O N T}(2)$
LAST
MONTH

CLASSIFICATION


NOTES. (1 )PRODUCTIVE WORK (2) NON-PRODUCTIVE WORK

206
4.11 .19

INSTALLATION DIVISION
MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
DIVISION SUMMARY
DISTRIBUTION OF PRODUCTIVE TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION
(HRS/MAN-DAY)

CLASSIFICATION
SUPERVISION
INSPECTION
CLERICAL
I NS TALLATION
SELF -CONTAINED
TRANSFORMER
MISCELLANEOUS
TOTAL
STORM TROUBLE
TOTAL

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO | $1-M O$. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH | LAST YR | AVG |

DISTRIBUTION OF JOB-RELATED NON-PRODUCTIVE TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS. (HRS/MAN-DAY)


DISTRIBUTION OF OTHER NON-PRODUCTIVE TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS. (HRS/MAN-DAY)

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO | 1-MO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH | LAST YR | AVG |

CLASSIFICATION
MEETINGS
TRAINING
ON-THE-JOB
.05
.05

OFF-THE-JOB
.10
.10
ABSENCES COUNTED AS WORK TIME
.30
TOTAL
.03
.30
.48
.03
. 48

## MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT

ANNAPOLIS SUMMARY
DISTRIBUTION OF PRODUCTIVE TIME BY FUN TIONAL CLASSIFICATION
(HRS/MAN-DAY)

## CLASSIFICATION

| CURRENT | LAST | SAME MO | $1-M O$. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | MONTH | LAST YR | AVG. |

SUPERVISION
INSPECTION
.70
CLERICAL
INSTALLATION
SELF-CONTAINED
TRANSFORMER
MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL
STORM TROUBLE
TOTAL

DISTRIBUTION OF JOB-RELATED NON-PRODUCTIVE TIME BY FUNCTIONAL CLASS.
(HRS/MAN-DAY)


## INSTALLATION DIVISION

MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
DISTRIBUTION OF JOB-RELATED TRAVEL DISTANCE BY WORK UNIT (1) (MILES AND PER CENT)


WORK UNIT

| DIVISION | 27317 |  | - | - | 27317 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONUMENT ST. | 6039 | 22.1 |  | 6039 | 22.1 |
| ANNAPOLIS | 5512 | 20.2 | 5512 | 20.2 |  |
| ESSEX | 2499 | 9.1 |  | 2499 | 9.1 |
| GLEN BYRNIE | 2342 | 8.6 |  | 2342 | 8.6 |
| HOWARD | 2119 | 7.8 |  | 7.8 |  |
| COCKEYSVILLE | 4036 | 14.8 |  | 2036 | 14.8 |
| BEL AIR | 2348 | 8.6 |  | 848 | 8.6 |
| WESTMINSTER | 2422 | 8.9 |  | 8422 | 8.9 |
| CONTRACTORS | 2837 | 10.4 |  |  |  |

DISTRIBUTION OF JOB-RELATED TRAVEL TIME BY WORK UNIT (1) (VEHICLE HOURS AND PER CENT)


WORK UNI T


NOTES. $\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right\}$ EXCLUDING TRAVEL FOR SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, + CLERICAL.
(3) PER CENT OF DIVISION TOTAL.

2119
CURRENT
(1) MONTH
(2)

WORK UNI T


## C. NOTES. (1) TOTAL MAN-HOURS MINUS TOTAL VEHICLE-HOURS.

## (MAN HOURS AND PER CENT)

(1) ${ }^{\text {MONTH }}(2)$

SAME MO.
(1) LAST YR.
(1)
AVG 。(2)

DIVISION

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
442.3 & 30.7
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
133.9 & 9.3
\end{array}
$$

$$
132.4 \quad 9.2
$$

$$
102.0 \quad 7.1
$$

$$
227.4 \quad 15.8
$$

$$
92.2 \quad 6.4
$$

$$
118.3 \quad 8.2
$$

$$
205.8 \quad 14.3
$$

DISTRIBUTION OF JOB RELATED EXCESS CREW MEMBER TRAVEL TIME BY WORK UNIT
(MAN HOURS AND PER CENT) (MAN HOURS AND PER CENT)
CURRENT
(1) MONTH
$(2)$

WORK UNIT

| DIVISION |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DISTRICT | 238.0 | - |
| MONUMENT ST. | 97.5 | 41.0 |
| ANNAPOLIS | 25.1 | 10.5 |
| ESSEX | 26.2 | 11.0 |
| GLEN BURNIE | 12.7 | 5.3 |
| "HOWARD | 14.5 | 6.1 |
| COCKEYSVILLE | 36.2 | 15.2 |
| BEL AIR | 8.0 | 3.4 |
| WESTMINSTER | 18.1 | 7.6 |
| CONTRACTORS | 41.9 | 17.6 |

## INSTALLATION DIVISION

MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
DIVISION SUMMARY
DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME ( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$(EXCLUDING DEFINED TRAVEL TIME) BY FUNCTION

## CURRENT MONTH

## PERFORMANCE

 INDEX




## INSTALLATION DIVISION

MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT
ANNAPOLIS SUMMARY
DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME (*) (EXCLUDING DEFINED TRAVEL TIME) BY FUNCTION

## CURRENT <br> MONTH

LINE FUNC. TOTAL
NO. NO.
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

| 771 | 199.8 | 71 | .95 | 2.81 | 1.36 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 902 | 189.1 | 4 |  | 47.27 |  |
| 900 | 181.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 901 | 175.8 | 34 | .90 | 3.66 | 1.18 |
| 781 | 124.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 477 | 90.8 |  |  | .55 | 1.56 |
| 481 | 58.6 | 105 |  | .92 | 2.64 |
| 941 | 50.2 | 19 | .97 |  |  |
| 915 | 40.8 | 1.9 | .91 | 3.70 | .74 |
| 845 | 34.9 | 3 | 1.36 | 11.63 | .83 |
| 947 | 30.6 | 18 | .72 | 1.70 | 1.13 |
| 493 | 29.6 | 39 | .80 | .75 | 1.23 |
| 751 | 24.3 | 7 | .90 | 3.47 | 2.67 |
| 937 | 21.6 | 8 | 1.05 | 2.70 | 1.16 |
| 741 | 20.4 | 9 | .47 | 2.26 | 2.09 |
| 933 | 20.2 | 1 | 1.40 | 20.20 | 10.10 |
| 482 | 19.1 | 107 | .85 | .17 | .54 |
| 407 | 19.0 |  |  | 6.26 |  |
| 484 | 18.8 | 3 |  | .85 |  |
| 020 | 18.3 | 35 | .03 | .52 | 1.20 |
| 791 | 17.6 | 12 | .51 | 1.46 | 1.57 |
| 499 | 16.0 | 27 |  | .59 |  |
| 976 | 15.4 | 22 | .03 | .70 |  |
| 801 | 12.6 | 2 | 1.08 | 6.30 | 3.49 |
| 977 | 11.5 | 6 | .66 | 1.91 |  |
| 487 | 11.3 | 2 |  | 5.65 |  |
| 944 | 10.6 | 7 | .67 | 1.51 | 1.52 |
| 945 | 10.5 | 5 | .89 | 2.10 | 1.58 |
| 913 | 10.1 | 3 | .43 | 3.36 | 2.03 |
| 946 | 9.6 | 5 | .94 | 1.92 | 1.28 |
| 984 | 9.1 | 15 |  | .60 | 1.82 |
| 910 | 8.3 | 2 | .87 | 4.15 | 1.45 |
| 030 | 8.2 | 10 | .34 | .82 | 1.36 |
| 721 | 7.8 | 4 | .50 | 1.95 | 3.16 |
| 496 | 7.2 | 18 | .20 | .40 |  |
| 921 | 6.6 | 9 | .72 | .73 |  |

AVERAGE TIME TRAVEL FUNC. INDEX (MHRS) (MHRS)
2.811 .36
1.36
1.18
1.56
1.37
.74
.83
1.13
1.23
2.67
1.16
2.09
10.10
.54
1.20
1.57
3.49
1.52
1.58
2.03
1.28
1.82
1.45
1.36
3.16


DISTRIBUTION OF WORK TIME (INCLUDING DEFINED TRAVEL TIME) BY BUDGET CATEGORY
(MAN- HOURS)

| CATEGORY | BY M.\&I. | BY E.D.D. | BY OTHERS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATION | 445.2 |  | 4.6 | 449.8 |
| MAINTENANCE | 91.5 | 27.5 |  | 119.0 |
| CONSTRUCTION | 1139.0 | 482.2 |  | 1621.2 |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 81.9 |  |  | 81.9 |
| SUB-TOTAL | 1757.5 | 509.7 | 4.6 | 2271.8 |
| TOTAL |  | 2271.8 |  |  |
| DEFINED TRAVEL |  | 419.7 |  |  |
| DEFFERENCE |  | 1852.1 |  |  |

## METER AND INSTALLATION DEPARTMENT

INSTALLATION DIVISION
MONTHLY OPERATIONS REPORT

## SERVICES INSTALLED AND CHANGED IN DWELLING UNITS

| UNDER | 100 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | AMPERES |  |
| AMPERES | $=$ OVER | TOTAL |

## RESIDENCES

NEW $\begin{array}{llll}\text { I NS PALED } & 26 & 452 & 478\end{array}$

OLD
INSTALLED 26
26
26
311
52
CHANGED
161
478

APARTMENTS
NEW
I NS TALLED 220
229
449
OLD
INS TALLED
48 CHANGED 52

17
65
44
96

## TOTAL

| NUMBER | 533 | 1079 | 1612 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PER CENT | 33.1 | 66.9 | 100.0 |

Meriwether L. Baxter, Jr. Chief, Mathematical Research Gleason Works Rochester, N.Y.

Prepared for presentation at the 1620 Users Group
Eastern Region Meeting
Washington, D. C.
May 6-8, 1964

## A MULTIPLE-ITERATION PROCEDURE FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN

## Introduction

The process of design is essentially one of synthesis; but in most real engineering design problems direct synthesis is out of the question due to the complex interrelation of variables. Methods of analysis, on the other hand, have vastly improved, and there is hardly any structure or mechanism that cannot be analyzed (with the aid of computers) to determine quantitatively any property we may wish to study.

Fortunately, we can convert analysis into synthesis by means of iteration, and obtain thereby a powerful design tool. The purpose of this paper is to describe one such procedure that has been found effective at the Gleason Works.

## Statement of the Problem

The elements of the problem are the following:

1) $A$ set of $n$ variable design parameters ( $a, ~ b, c-\cdots$ );
2) A calcuation procedure of any complexity based on the parameters;
3) A set of $n$ results, functionally dependent on the n parameters, whose desired values are known.

The problem is to establish a procedure for determining the values of the $n$ parameters that will yield the desired $n$ results.

Obviously, some restrictions are necessary for such a procedure to work, and some discussion of the rn will come later in this paper. However at this stage it is sufficient to require only that

1) a solution to the problem probably exists (a matter of engineering judgment or experience)
2) a set of reasonable starting values for the n parameters can be chosen.

## Outline of the Method

It is not particularly difficult to handle multiple iteration when the relating functions are simple and short enough to make differentiation easy. In many engineering problems, however, this is not the case and we need a method which does not require any analysis of the functions involved, but merely the ability to calculate them rapidly.

Let us say that if we are given values of three parameters $a, b, c$, we can calculate three quantities, $x, y, z$ which depend on $a, b, c$. It is not, however, possible to calculate $a, b, c$ from given values of $x, y, z$. Nevertheless we must find somehow what values of $a, b, c$ to choose to make $x=y=z=0$, and we know as a practical fact that such a solution exists.

If we make a small change in a and determine its effect on $x, y$ and $z$, we have obtained approximations of three partial derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial x}{\partial a}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial a}, \frac{\partial z}{\partial a} .
$$

Similarly by stepping $b$ and $a$ small amounts we can complete the matrix of. 9 partial derivatives, or rates, and with these we can calculate changes in $a, b, c$ merely by solving three simultaneous Iinear equations. Repeated application of this procedure will produce convergence, if
a) there is a unique answer
b) the starting values of the parameters are reasonable
c) high accuracy is maintaincd in computation, particularly in the solution of the linear equations.

Figure 1 is a computation flon-chart fou 0.0 yay oi
handing such an iterative procedure; not ail necessamy details are included, but only enough to indicate the pian.

We begin by initializing the starting values of $a, b, c$ and specifying the small steps $\Delta \mathrm{a}, \Delta \mathrm{b}, \Delta \mathrm{c}$ to be used to get ratas in the first pass. We also set switch l for the first pass.

The computational block calculates $x, y$ and $z$, and the test block determines whether the erroms are sufficientiy smail. If so, we exit from the iteration procram. Usually the test will fail and control will pass to switch 1.

Branch (1) of switch 1 resets itself to branch (2), proceeds to set switch 2, step a, store the last answers, and return to computation. On subsequent passes a new set of rates is figured following each change in $a, b$, or $c$.

When three sets of rates have been determined, new values of $\Delta a, \Delta b, \Delta c$ are calculated which are first approximations to a solution. If we had reason to believe the functions were linear it would be desirable to substitute ail of these changes at once; however it is generally more practical to apply the changes one at a time as was done witt the original steps, obtaining new rates at each stage in preparation for subsequent passes. As a result, we only expect that the test may be passed every third time through the loop.

This procedure, for one, two, three or more variables, has been of tremendous value in our engineering computation; however it must of course be applied intelligently. One particularly troublesome condition is unsuspected discontinuity in the functional relationships; if such discontinuities exist they may be located in advance, and the program modified to prevent stepping across this region.

Multiple solutions have not been troublesome since their existence can usually be predicted; sufficiently good starting values will force the solution we are after.

When the iteration does not converge, and the program has been carefully written to avoid round-off errors, we usually find that there is a physical meaning corresponding to the non-convergence. For example, in certain gear analysis programs, failure to converge indicates either
a) line contact instead of point contact (infinite number of solutions), or
b) contact at or near the cusp of a generated surface (dual solutions very close together).

Use as a Subprogram (FORTRAN)
Iteration of the type described has sufficiently varied usefulness in our work to justify preparing standard subprograms for various numbers of variables. The subprogram approach is also desirable for us since the iteration seldom constitutes the whole problem, but is more likely to represent merely a detail in the main-line computation.

It is desirable to leave as many controls as possible in the hands of the programmer; we therefore set up the invprogram to include only the necessary mechanics of iteration, leaving to the main-line program such items as
a) initializing the variable parameters
b) testing the results
c) taking action on failure of iteration.

We also permit the programmer to specify in his CALL statement the values of the initial steps of the parameters.

It is recommended that the iteration loop be made within the range of a DO statement, to provide easy initializing of the iteration and an automatic count for stopping iteration after a preset number of tries.

Figure 2 shows a program employing the iteration subprogram INTP3A. This program was designed as a short test of the subroutine and includes its own data. Naturally a real program would be much more complex.

Starting values of the parameters A, B, C are set at $+25^{\circ}$, $-25^{\circ}$, and $+25^{\circ}$, and the functional relations are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X=\sin A+\sin B=0 & (A+B=0) \\
Y=\sin (A+C)-\sin 50^{\circ}=0 & \left(A+C=50^{\circ}\right) \\
Z=\sin (B+C)+\sin 10^{\circ}=0 & \left(B+C=-10^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}
$$

It will be seen that the correct final values of $\hat{A}$, $B$, $C$ fulfilling these relations are $30^{\circ},-30^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}$.

Notice that the main calculation and the test lie within the range of the DO loop. The limit of 100 for the index I permits about 33 tries before exiting; this is probably more than will generally be needed.

Failure of the test sends control to the iteration subroutine, which changes the value of either $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, or C , as incitatea by the dashed line in the Figure; control is then returned to the CONTINUE statement so as to restart calculation at the beginning of the DO loop. Passing of the test transfers out or tine loop.

Two refinements that we generally employ are not sinown in this example. One of these is to follow the CONTMUE statement with a PRINT statement indicating that convergence has failed Within the specified count, followed by other appropriate action. The second is a sense-switch-controlled PRINI statement, just before the test, causing print-out of $A, B, C, X, Y, Z, I$ each time through the loop for tracing purposes when convergence is in question.

Structure of the Subprogram
Since the logic of the subprogram is essentially represented by the right-hand part of Figure 1 , it is not necessary to show a flow chart. Figure 3 shows the FORTRAN Iisting as now being used. Switch 1 of Figure 1 is sepresented by the Do index I, and switch 2 by the index $K$.

One addition has been made to cover the possibility that sometime during the iteration the calculated increment or one of the parameters may be zero, resulting in division by zero when new rates are being computed.

In reviewing this progran at this time I believe I see several ways in which it might be improved. One of these is to use appropriate subscripting (if you have a compiler that can handle subscripting efficiently); another possibility is to call a separate subroutine to solve the set of simultaneous linear equations.

Extension of this method to handle other numbers of variables should present no difficulty; one can also consider the possibility of writing a general procedure for handing n variables.

Comments and Conclusions
Since we have establisined this method without proof of existence or convergence, it is essential that it ide applied with care and common sense. There is no magic here that will produce answers where none exist.

Within these limitations there are mary engineowng design problems where this multiple iteration procedure is extremely valuable.

(C) intP3A TEST
$A D E G=25$.
$A=A D E G / 57.2958$
$C=A$
$B=-A$
DO $1 \quad 1=1,100,1$
$X=\operatorname{SINF}(A)+S \operatorname{INF}(B)$
$Y=S 111 F(A+C)-0.76604$
$Z=S 1 N F(B+C)+0.17365$
$1 F(\operatorname{ABSF}(X)+\operatorname{ABSF}(Y)+\operatorname{ABSF}(Z)-.00002) 2,2,3$
3 CALL INTP $3 A(A, B, C, X, Y, Z, 1, .01, .01, .01$ )

## INTPシA

ITESATION
SUBTOUTINE

1 continue
$2 \mathrm{ADEG}=\mathrm{A} * 57.2958$
$B D E G=B * 57.2958$
CDEG $=C * 57.2958$
PRINT 4,ADEG, BDEG, CDEG, I
4 FORMAT(4X,3(F7.4,2X),13)
STOP 11111
END
Fig. 2

```
    SUBROUTINE INTP3A(A,B,C,X,Y,Z,I,ASTEP,BSTEP,CSTEP)
    IF(:-1)1,1,2
```

1 DA=ASTEP
$D B=B S T E P$
$D C=C S T E P$
$3 k=1$
$A=A+D A$
$4 x 0=x$
$Y O=Y$
$Z O=Z$
RETURN
$2 \operatorname{GOTO}(5,8,11), \mathrm{K}$
$5 \operatorname{IF}(D A) 6,7,6$
6 DXDA $=(x-X 0) / D A$
$D Y D A=(Y-Y O) / D A$
$D Z D A=(Z-Z O) / D A$
$7 K=2$
$B=B+D B$
GO TO 4
$8 \mid F(D B) 9,10,9$
$9 D \times D B=(x-X 0) / D B$
$D Y D B=(Y-Y O) / D B$
$D Z D E=(Z-Z O) / D B$
$10 k=3$
$C=C+D C$
GO TO 4
$11 \operatorname{IF}(D C) 12,13,12$
$12 \operatorname{DXDC}=(X-X 0) / D C$
$D Y D C=(Y-Y O) / D C$
$D Z D C=(Z-Z O) / D C$
13 D1=DYDB*DZDC-DYDC*DZDB
$D 2=D \times D B * D Z D C-D X D C * D Z D B$
$D 3=D X D B * D Y D C-D X D C * D Y D B$
$D E N=D X D A * D 1-D Y D A * D 2+D Z D A * D 3$
$D A=(Y * D 2-X * D 1-Z * D 3) / D E N$
$D E=(D X D A *(Z * D Y D C-Y * D Z D C)-D Y D A *(Z * D X D C-X * D Z D C)$
$1+D Z D A *(Y * D X D C-X * D Y D C) / / D E N$
$D C=(D X D A *(Y * D Z O Q-Z * D Y D E)-E Y D A *(X * D Z D E-Z * D \times O S)$
$1+D Z D A *(X * D Y O B-Y * D X D E)) / D E X$
GOTO 3
End

Fig. 3

# "A Distribution Feeder and Substation Load Forecasting System" 

 byJ. C. Hubbard

Baltimore Gas and Electric Company

## Introduction

In the Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, peak electric loads are forecast for the succeeding five years. A separate five-year forecast is made for summer and winter, each.

For purposes of forecasting, the Baltimore System consists of a group of master substations whose peak loads are related to the System peak load by a factor which includes losses and diversity. The typical master supplies several customer and distribution substations over subtransmission circuits; masters may or may not supply local distribution load. A substation consists of one or more transformers, each of which supplies one or more feeders. Where a substation includes two or more transformers, there is generally more than one supply circuit.

## Metering

Substations and master substations have integrating watt and var meters, integrating watt and graphic var, or type RI (watt and volt-ampere) meters. All integrations are for a clock-hour interval. A number of magnetic tape recorders, three to a set, recently have been installed - to record watts, leading vars and lagging vars. For this type installation, a program has been developed that selects and tabulates the daily clock-hour mo peak and its components and the daily mw peak and its corresponding mvar.

Feeders at attended substations have indicating ammeters, one to a phase, whose indications are recorded hourly. Many foeders at unattended stations have peak demand ammeters, one to a phase; the peak indicators are read at intervals, generally of two weeks, and then reset. A few feeders have graphic averaged three-phase ampere hourly indications. Other feeders are metered in pairs, and some are without metering; in these special cases, spot checks are used to get an estimate of their loads.

Indicating and graphic voltmeters are located on various buses around the system.

## Purpose of prograns

Forecasts are required for all feeders, all distribution subetations, some customer stations, and all master substations. The Baltimore System forecast is made separately, 1 check is made on the diversity between the Baltimore System and master substation peaks to determine if it is satisfactory.

There follows an outline of a set of fortran programs developed in connection with the feeder and station forecasts.

## Program 1: Peak load data

The first program is concerned with the interpretation of actual load data from the most recent season. Its objects are to calculate the seasonal mra peak and its mu and mar components for each feeder and substation, and to calculate the diversity factor between each station and its associated feeders.

Inputs for each item include actual peak load data, the mar of capacitors in service at the time of the peak, the previous year's cerespending seasonal mw peak component, and the mw evaluation of load transfers since the previous year.

In this and other programs, capacitors connected on distribution feeders and on substation buses are treated as mar sources and their outputs are added algebraically to feeder or station mar to determine load mar. Calculations concerned with power factors, growth rates, and diversity factors are always related to load mar.

This program is constructed to calculate any of the rising items of mw, near and mba when supplied with one of the following three sets of data: mw and mar; mba and mw; amperes, volts and power factor. In the case where a station's input data consists of ma and mw, a test load power factor is included in order to provide a way for the machine to test whether the mar which it calculates is leading or lagging. This power factor is based on a separate history of information relative to the station's load power factors at the time of its summer and winter peaks.

Outputs include mba, mw, mar and load mar, power factor, and a year-toyear percentage load growth based on a constant load area. Mw and mar diversity factors between the station and the feeders which it supplies are produced for each substation. Test power factors are replaced in output by the actual computed power factors.

Program 2: Weather adjustments
A part of the variation in actual peak loads from year to year is caused by differences in weather. Weather effects tend to obscure basic patterns of load change. Much of the variation can be eliminated by the reduction of actual peaks to peaks under normal peak load temperature conditions. The adjusted figures reveal more clearly the year-to-year growth which is occurring. In our system, weather adjustments are determined by means of a linear regression program.

The program is applied to substations only. It is based on information for a twenty-week period in summer, a ten-week period in winter. Stations are divided into two groups, those that normally peak in daytime and those that normally peak in nighttime. Inputs consist of two sots of weekly maximum temperature indices, one each for day and night, and weekly maximum mu loads for each station. Output for each station includes the regression constants of its load on the temperature index, the average me peak and average temperature index, the normal temperature index and the corresponding mw load computed by the program. The ratio of the maximum weekly peak load to the load at the
normal temperature index is al so produced; this becomes a gauge of the normality of the season's weather, which is either extreme, normal or mild, according to whether the ratio is high, normal or low. Produced, too, is the computed load at a very high temperature index; this makes available a distant point for assisting in locating a line for visualization. The slope of the line indicates whether and how much the station is temperature-sensitive.

## Program 3: Feeder and substation forecasting

The major program has inputs including actual peak load data, reference mw and load mvar to be used as the current year's base load, rating of capacitors assumed to be in service during the period forecast, growth rates, mw and mvar diveraity factors, and various adjustments.

Items to be forecast are divided into several classifications: feeders, single-feeder substations, multi-feeder substations, customer substations, loads to be included in diversity calculations but not forecast per se, and master substations. Each classification has its own path through the program. Within limits, the imputs are intermingled. The identification fields are used for discrimination between classes.

The reference mw and load mvar are selected by the forecaster after due attention is paid the actual peak, the estimated peak under normal weather conditions, previous forecasts, and thatever additional information is deemed relevant. These references become the effective current year's peak load on which the forecast is based. Growth rates are entered for the first and fifth years of the forecast. The machine will apply constant or smoothly-varying rates to the consecutive annual peaks, beginning with the references, according to whether the specified growth rates are the same or different. A zero growth rate is acceptable.

Separate mw and mvar diversity factors are provided for because, among other reagons, losses sometimes are involved in the calculations. Diversity factors are entered only for multi-feeder substations and master substations.

Adjustments to the reference-rate process are necessary when, in the judgment of the forecaster, any change is likely to occur within a load area which will affect the continuity of normal growth. Such changes take many forms. For example, an abnormally large number of houses of the same type as now exist within an area may be ocheduled for completion within the year. The forecaster, therefore, may decide that it is necessary to add an abnormal increment of load for next season, yet allow succeeding years to change at the area's normal rate. Or perhaps a commercial area is being developed which is likely to add large loads for the next two years but little additional load afterwards. The forecaster may decide in this case that he will compute the entire five-year forecast at the normal growth rate without including the new load and make an incremental adjustment to each of the five years in order to account for the new load. To allow for many possibilities, the program permits two types of adjustments, one for loads which will be included in, the other for loads which will be excluded from the application of growth rates. Either or both typas can be included in any portion or all years of the forecast. Therefore a wide latitude is available to the forecaster.

For each item a complete set of input data can be entered on from two to four cards, according to whether and what type of adjustments are applied. The first card for each item includes a field for the variable indicating how many cards are to be used. Input data are assembled by masters; within the master, by stations. Items are processed one at a time. As each card is read, checks are made on the correctness of its order and on whether it is the final data card for the item. All identification fields on each card are checked, to prevent the mixing of data.

Each item proceeds by its appropriate path to its forecast peak loads. On the way, all distribution substations and customer stations set a program switch to positive values, and cumulate the ir peak load components. The switch is set to zero before the next item's data are read. Individual feeders of a multi-feeder station cumulate the ir mon peak loads; the switch is left to have the value "zero".

In the case of a multi-feeder station, the forecast is computed first from reference and growth rate. Later the program switch initiates the computatin of certain of its forecast peak loads by means of the summation of its feeder peaks and its diversity factor. The results are compared. Where the difference is outside of a preset allowable percentage difference, the fact is so noted in output; the note serves as an indication to the forecaster that further study of the station and its feeders is called for.

For a master substation, the forecast is computed by use of its diversity factors and the summation of the peaks of the stations which it supplies. The program switch is set negative.

The initialization procedure preceding each group of input cards is determined by the value (positive, zero, negative) of the program switch.

Output for each item includes the actual load and the forecast load in mra and components for all five years. The mar of capacitors considered to be in service during the period of the forecast appears also. The near component of the forecast is that which the feeder or station must carry. This output, by means of an SPS format modification program, becomes the five-year forecast for feeders and substations.

## Program 4: The revised forecast

In practice, the initial forecasts are made strictly on the basis of change within a given feeder or station load area. They are released for study in connection with system planing and development. The studies result in a schedule of load transfers and capacitor installations prior to each season.

The planning schedule is inserted into a modified forecasting program. This has all of the inputs of the earlier program; provision is made also for including the capacitor changes and the mw and mar components of load trans fere for each of the first three forecast years.

The output of this program is identical in format with that of the initial forecast. The new figures reveal the effects of the planning schedule, and assist in planning for the intermediate-term. Full annotation describes how the revised forecasts differ from the original.
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## IIST OF FIOURES

## Propean 1

## N1gur 1 Flow Diagram <br> 2 Input-Output Lista <br> 3 Sanple Page of Input Data Sample Output

## Program 2

Flase 5 Flow Dlagram<br>6 Designation of Variables 7 Sample Output

## Progran 3

| Figure | 8 |
| ---: | :--- |
| 9 | Input-Output IAsts |
| 10 | Sample Page of Input Date |
|  |  |

## Progran 4

Figure 11 Sample Page of Foreoast


Feeder and Iransformor balculationo
Input-Output Listos


## FEEDER AND TRANSFORMER DATA SHEET

\#219

Cedar Park

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Freose } \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transos. } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { rane-stas } \\ & 14_{15} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADJUSTMENT } \\ \text { MWMR } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prev YR-PEAK } \\ \text { MwnR } \\ 25 \text { nit } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EURRENT } \\ \text { AMPERES } \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | EQuIPMENT PEAK OYANR $50 \quad 51$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 520 |  | 631 |  | 180 | 150 |  |  |  | 220 | -1, |  |
|  |  | 569 |  | 631 | 0 | 159 | 120 |  |  | 178 | 178 |  | 83 |
|  |  | 6.00 |  | 6.31 | - 0 | 45 | d |  |  |  | 24 | 18 |  |
|  |  | 64.0 |  | 63.1 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  |  | 12.8 | 12 |  |
|  | 4715 | 760 |  | 63.1 | 0 | 0.101 | 6.9 | 253 | 43.1 |  |  |  | 8.6 |
|  | 4.216 | 76.0 |  | 63.1 |  | . .... 71 | 69 | 215.3 | 3.3 .6 |  |  |  | 86 |
|  |  | 760 |  | 63.1 | - 0 | 16.8 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 18.8 | -2.7 |  |
|  | 4.798 | 7.6 .6 |  | 6.311 |  | 4.7 | 7.3 .13 | 25.3 | 15.0 |  |  |  | 8.6 |
|  | 479.9 | 7.6 |  | 63,1 | 0 | 0.4 | - $\quad 3.0$ | 25.3 | 4.39 |  |  |  | 8.6 |
|  |  | 76.6 | 2 | 6.311 |  | 14.7 | $3 . . .60$ |  |  |  | 13.9 | 2.8 |  |
|  | 4.7 .91 | 79.0 |  | 6.311 | 30 | 7. | 0 | 253 | 2.10 |  |  |  | 8.3 |
|  | -4.79.2 | 79. |  | 6.311 |  | 21 | 15 | 25.3 | 352 |  |  |  | 8.3 |
|  |  | 7.90 |  | 63,1 | 30 | 1:38 | 75 |  |  |  | 125 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 8.15 |  | 6.31 |  |  | 9.60 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.771 | 8.16 |  | 63.1 | 75 | 183 | 6. | 25.3 | 515 |  |  |  | 84 |
|  | 4.772 | 81.6 |  | 6.311 | 11.8 | 8.1515 | 120 | 25.3 | 5.38 |  |  |  | 84 |
|  | 4773 | 8.16 |  | 6.311 |  |  | 75 | 25.3 | 700 |  |  |  | 84 |
|  |  | 816 |  | 6.311 |  | - 3338 | -255 |  |  |  | 420 | 15 |  |
|  | 4.718 | 8.86 |  | 6.31 |  | d $\quad 193$ | 3 - 3.0 | 25.3 | 19.4 |  |  |  | 8.1 |
|  | -4.219 | 88.6 |  | 63.1 |  |  | 6.0 | 25.3 | 24.5 |  |  |  | 81 |
|  |  | 88.6 | 2 | 2.631 | , | - | 31.20 |  |  |  | 10.7 | -14 |  |


$013616321.191 .19 \quad-.1593 . \quad .45 \quad 1.19$ 4.3100.100.
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 56 & 1.632 & 1.44 & 1.44 & -.09 & 87 . & 1.69 & 3.0100 .100 .\end{array}$
010761632.89 .81 . 37 90. . 37 . 81 2.5100. 100.
020761632.81 . 75 -. 31 94. . 26 . $75-3.8100 .100$.
$03001632.88 \quad .85$. 26 86. . 49 . 85 3.6 100. 100.
$03021.6321 .341 .06 \quad-.8284 . \quad .68 \quad 1.06-6.1100 .100$.
$0130416321.351 .34 \quad .2389 . \quad .68 \quad 1.3413 .5100 .100$.
$0230416324.34 \quad 4.30 \quad-.6094 . \quad 1.50 \quad 4.3016 .2100 .100$.
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TRSUM $=\sum_{n=1}^{20} T_{n} T_{n}$
WPEAK = Peak mur lad
Eq: $Y=A+B T$
constanto of eq.

$$
Y A V G=W S U M / X N
$$

$T_{N} \phi R M=$ temp inday moumal fou perist
$Y_{N \phi R M}=$ mir load at $T N \phi R M$
RATI $\phi=$ peat mer load/ $/ Y N \phi R M$
$Y_{100}=$ mer load at $T=100$

FIG. 6
\#341
$\qquad$ TI-LOAD REGRESSION TEMPERATURE LOAD AT PK.TO PK.TO
NORM. NO. $\int_{6}^{\text {SuB }}$ $\qquad$
EQUATION CONSTANTS


| 1389 | 632 | $.341257 E+03.136376 E+01$ | 45.2 | 40. | 402.9 | 395.8 | 477.6 | 1.16210 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2628 | $632.191679 E$ | $03.272586 E-00$ | 45.2 | 40. | 204.0 | 202.5 | 218.9 | 1.076 | 10 |


| 676 | 632 | $.237973 E-03$ | $.383324 E-00$ | 45.2 | 40. | 255.3 | 253.3 | 276.3 | 1.065 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 759 | $632.287007 E+03$ | $.610460 E-00$ | 45.2 | 40. | 314.6 | 311.4 | 348.0 | 1.098 | 10. |  |

$3874 \quad 632.225404 \mathrm{E}+02.433526 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 45.2 \quad 40 . \quad 24.5 \quad 24.2 \quad 26.8 \quad 1.071 .10$
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FIG. 10

ACTUAL AND FORECAST ONE-HOUR PEAK LOADS ADJUSTED FOR PLANNED FEEDER AND CAPACITOR CHANGES 60 CYCLE FEEDERS AND SUBSTATIONS

SUMMER, 1963 TO 1968
MVAHR, MWHR \& MVARHR

| STATION, FEEDER NUMBER | CAPS <br> MVARHR | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1964 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { FORECAST } \\ 1965 & 1966 \end{array}$ |  | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TIME | LOAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{SION}_{4939}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 48 | 22 | 1.22 | 1.06 | 1.17 | 1.29 | 1.42 | 1.56 |
|  | . 48 |  | . 31 | -. 03 | . 03 | . 12 | . 20 | . 30 |
| VAN BIBBER FEEDERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7053 |  |  | 3.17 3.17 | 4.09 | 4.53 | 5.01 | 5.54 | 6.17 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \\ & 2.40 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.17 -.10 | 4.05 -.55 | 4.52 -.35 | 5.01 -.12 | 5.54 .12 | 6.16 .39 |
| 7054 |  |  | 1.94 | 2.54 | 2.71 | 2.91 | 3.15 | 3.42 |
|  | 1.20 |  | 1.90 | 2.29 | 2.52 | 2.77 | 3.05 | 3.35 |
|  | 2.10 |  | -. 40 | -1.10 | -1.00 | -. 89 | -. 77 | -. 64 |
| VAN BIBBER | R SUBST | ON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7/18 | 4.74 | 6.19 | 6.75 | 7.38 | 8.09 | 8.92 |
|  | 2.70 | 22 | 4.70 | 5.93 | 6.59 | 7.29 | 8.05 | 8.91 |
|  | 4.50 |  | -. 60 | -1.77 | -1.48 | -1.15 | -. 80 | -. 41 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEST ABERDEEN } \\ & 4738 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7/18 | 2.22 | 2.45 | 2.72 | 3.01 | 3.35 | 3.73 |
|  | 1.53 | 22 | 2.22 | 2.45 | 2.71 | 3.00 | 3.31 | 3.66 |
|  | 1.53 |  | -. 15 | -. 00 | . 16 | . 33 | . 53 | . 74 |
| HARFORD MASTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7/19 | 40.00 | 42.96 | 46.50 | 50.42 | 54.71 | 59.44 |
|  |  | 15 | 37.20 | 41.66 | 45.10 | 48.42 | 52.02 | 55.94 |
|  | 19.64 |  | 14.70 | 10.50 | 11.35 | 14.03 | 16.93 | 20.07 |

ARSENAL 4913-600 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED-IN 1964.
BELCAMP INCLUDES A LOAD INCREASE IN 1964.
CHESAPEAKE CARDENS 4918 - 600 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED IN 1964.
CHURCHVILLE 7051 - 300+J140 FROM SION HILL 4939 IN 1964.
CHURCHVILLE 7052 IS A NEW ITEM AND WAS PLACED IN SERVICE AFTER THE SUMMER PEAK OCCURRED.ITS LOAD CONSISTS OF ALL CHURCHVILLE 4KV AND PORTIONS OF FOREST HILL 4912 AND WINTERS RUN 4617. FORECAST INCLUDES NEW LOAD FOR HARFORD JUNIOR COLLEGE IN 1964, 1800 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED IN 1964.
FOREST HILL WAS RELIEVED BY CHURCHVILLE 7052 AFTER THE SUMMER PEAK OCCURRED 300 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED IN 1965.
HAMILTON COURT 4927 - 300 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED IN 1964 AND 300 KVAR ADDED IN 1965.
HAVRE DE GRACE 4619 - INCLUDES LOAD INCREASE FOR HARFORD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL IN 1964, 300 KVAR CAPACITORS TRANSFERRED TO 4625 IN 1964 AND 750 KVAR ADDED IN 1965.
HAVRE DE GRACE 4625 - EXCLUDES LOAD INCREASE FOR HARFORD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL IN 1964, 300 KVAR CAPACITORS TRANSFERRED FROM 4619 IN 1964 AND 750 KVAR ADDED IN 1965.
SION HILL 4939 - 300 KVAR CAPACITORS ADDED AND $300+J 140$ TRANSFERRED TO
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By
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The title of this paper is not intended to lead anyone to believe that Edwards and Kelsey has found a way to process a complex comprehensive transportation study on its bare minimum Model I, paper tape, 20K IBM 1620. Although there are programs available to do this on the 1620 , they all require 60 K and are limited in the number of traffic zones they can handle. Rather $I$ would like to describe to you some of the things we have done in the way of preparing the data for the larger computers such as the 7074 and 7094. Most of us do not have ready nor easy access to these larger computers which are used with the Bureau of Public Road's battery of Traffic Forecasting and Assignment Programs for comprehensive traffic studies. Consequently, when we do get on them, we must use them most efficiently. Being certain that our data is as correct as it can possibly be and in the proper form for processing is, therefore, of prime importance. Editing for correctness and reformatting of the original data is well within the capabilities of the small computer, ice. a $29 . .5115$ IBM 1620. We have used all configurations of $1620^{\prime} s$ by the way our
own Model I - 20 K with paper tape I/O; a Model I - 20 K with a slow card reader $I / O$ and a souped-up Model I 60K with paper tape, card reader and 6 magnetic tape drives. This last configuration was available at Rutgers University until about a month ago -- we were sorry to see it go -- it cost us only $\$ 50 / \mathrm{hr}$ ! !

To get down to specifics, we have written editing programs, volume factoring programs, zone conversion programs and re-formatting programs.

The remainder of this paper will be devoted to an explanation of the purpose and some of the mechanics of these programs.

## I. Editing Program

O-D surveys are generally based on a sampling of the travelling public which is later expanded to represent the entire area being studied. It is, therefore, essential that the maximum number of survey trip records be maintained in the file. Towards this end, it is absolutely necessary that all trip records be edited for validity. The specific requirements for the editing program are dictated by the particular trip record being edited (Roadside Interview, Home, Truck, Taxi, Tourist, Non-Tourist, etc.).

Our program simply checks various items of data, both single characters and fields, on each card read in. Several methods are used
to accomplish the checking. The simplest is a direct comparison between the item of data and its parameters for each item. However, utilization of this method will slow the program down considerably. A faster method involves the use of binary decision tables. Here the item of data itself is used to compute a location within a corresponding table. The value of the digit (zero or one) found at this location indicates whether the data is acceptable or not.

Another check incorporated into the program is the logic or cross data check. This is done to insure that several interrelated items do not contradict each other and wreak havoc in subsequent programs. For example, a trip entering the survey area at its western boundary with an indicated travel direction of West, contains an obvious inconsistency. A zone of origin that does not lie within the county of origin also indicates an inconsistency. The only option available to the programmer, and hence to the program, is to designate both items of data as errors and leave the decision as to which is right and which is wrong to the traffic engineer.

Error indication posed a problem. How could the program clearly indicate which items of data are wrong and yet not destroy the original
value of the data? The answer was to punch two error cards. The first card is simply a duplicate of the input card. The second card is also a duplicate, however, it contains asterisks in all the incorrect data fields. Printing the error cards on a 407 produces a listing from which corrections may easily be made. The two types of error cards are tied together by a sequence number assigned by the program to each input card. This number permits each output record to be uniquely identifiable in all future processing. It also provides us with a relatively simple way to insert corrected data cards back into their original position in the file.

To aid in the determination of the necessity for error correction, the program categorizes and summarizes the types of errors. Should the decision be made to correct the errors, these summaries indicate which types of e rrors may economically be corrected by the program and which can only be done manually. The program also keeps a count of the total number of cards read in and the total in error.

Ideally, the program should completely separate the acceptable data from unacceptable data by punching or writing two files. This eliminates the problem of separating the data at some future time by makeshift means, facilitates the application of corrections by a program and maintains the order of the original input file. On computers
essentially equipped with only one output device, the 1620 typewriter being much too slow to be seriously considered for high-volume applications, the production of two output files becomes a problem. An easy solution is an identifying punch in an available card column and subsequent separation on a sorter. The best solution is to utilize a 1620 with both punched card and magnetic tape input/output. The acceptable data file could then be written on the tape and the error file punched on cards. This has the added advantage of placing the acceptable data on the proper medium for input to the larger computer at relatively little extra cost.

A program for editing 70, 000 trip records has been satisfactorily run on a 20 K 1620 with card reader, and one for editing 500,000 trip records on the souped-up 1620 previously described.

As is evident from this description of our Editing Program, quite a bit was packed into it. There is need for more information, however, such as what are the numbers of correct and error records at each interview station. This information is valuable and often will aid in pinning down the location of large blocks of errors.

A station volume accumulation program was, therefore, written to summarize, by interview station, the output of the editing program. So used, it produces an up-to-the-run tabulation of the number of acceptable trips through each interview station. By using the error cards as input, a tabulation of unacceptable trips can also be produced. These two figures for a particular station provide an indication of how many, if any, unacceptable trip records must be corrected to increase the sample to a satisfactory level.

Part one of the program reads and accumulates the number of trips for each station. The address of the proper accumulator is computed directly from the station number, thereby eliminating the need to search a table for each record. The second part tests each accumulator for zero and, if not zero, punches a card containing the corresponding station number and the number of trips through that station. After all the non-zero volume stations have been punched, the program types the total number of input records and the number of input records which did not have a logical station number.

After both the editing and summary runs are complete, a decision must be made to either discard or attempt to correct the unacceptable trip records. It has been our experience that these records usually comprise a significant portion, between 5 and 10 per cent, of the total number of trip records. The usual decision, therefore, is to correct all the records that are correctable by a program and discard the remainder. Many errors seem to occur in groups. One interviewer at a particular station, for example, consistently entered the wrong hour period on his interview forms. All the trips recorded by this man at that station on that day contained the wrong time. This can be corrected by scanning the other records for that station and determining during what part of that day the station was being operated. Errors such as this lend themselves well to correction by a program. These corrections normally increase the acceptable portion of the interview sample to approxmatey 98 per cent.

The correction or FUDGE program, as it has come to be known, is a conglomerate. It contains the appropriate routines from the editing program that originally discovered the particular errors
to be corrected. In a sense, the errors are rediscovered by the program. This time, however, instead of simply indicating the errors the program applies corrections and punches the corrected card. A card is punched for each error card read whether corrections are made or not.

After the unacceptable trip file has been essentially corrected, it is run back through the editing program. This is necessary to provide a final check and to weed out the relatively small group of records that contain items of data which were not corrected and are, therefore, still unacceptable. These records now become the final unacceptable trip file. The acceptable trip records are combined and tabulated by the station volume accumulation program. A tabulation is also made of the unacceptable records. Processing of these records is now complete. They are deleted from the survey data at this point.

As previously mentioned, there is presently available from the $B P R$ a package of programs related to $O-D$ surveys. These programs are all written for a definite purpose and have definite requirements relative to their use. This is not to say, however, that we cannot fool the computer into thinking it is doing one thing
while we are actually making it do something else. For example, a program written to prepare tables of trips taking place between various zones based on various trip purposes has been used to prepare tables of trips between specific zones based on the interview station rather than the trip purpose. This was accomplished by placing a "dummy code" in a specific field and defining this field to the program as the purpose field. Not really difficult and not really fancy, but a simple 1620 program did the job for us and a simple 1620 placed the factor. A not so simple 7094 produced the tables which would have had to be produced manually otherwise.

Another problem frequently encountered in O-D surveys has to do with the numbering system used to designate the survey zone. These survey zones frequently require in excess of five digits to uniquely identify a trip end. These survey zones are later combined and must be reduced to a 5-digit maximum code in order to be acceptable to the BPR programs. Again this is a job for a small 1620 -- we have done this for 70,000 records on a 20 K card 1620. The 500, 000 record job will be done on a 7074 although we might have tried it on the souped-up 1620 if changing zones were the only changes required and it had not been moved out.

These examples show how we have made use of our computer for preparing data from an O-D survey. There are many more uses which we will undoubtedly uncover in the future. We feel our 1620 has a definite place in conjunction with the larger computers and intend to make maximum use of it.

# THE PLOT ROUTINE AS AN <br> EXAMPLE OF METHODS OF ADDING <br> SUBROUTINGS TO THE NCE LOAD AND GO FORTRAN 

Hubbard Seward<br>Newark College of Engineering

# The Plot Routine as an Example of Methods of Adding Subroutines to the NCE Load and Go Fortran 

by

Hubbard Seward
Newark College of Engineering

Presented at the May meeting of the IBM 1620 Users' Group in Washington, D.C.

NCE Load and Go Fortran was written to provide a 20K Load and Go system with greater arithmetic abiゐities than the IBN Gotran system. In order to conserve space it was necessary to omit or simplify some features of Fortran I. Whenever possible features were provided to replace those which had to be eliminated. These substitutions make it necessary for the programmer to compile in the Fortran source program some things which are handled automatically in other systems, however in many cases additional usefulness was created. The original description of the system states that subroutines cannot be added, however this is not exactly true. Because of space limitations, no facilities for adding subroutines have been included, but any user may add such subroutines as will increase the processors utility. Of course he must do so with the realization that, at least for those restricted by a 20K machine, space is at a premium.

Recompilation will be necessary to add subroutines, but an unlimited number of functions and up to four new nonarithmetic pseudo op codes can be added. Functions can be added by merely including their names and the associated addresses in the function table symbolic location FTBL. The table format is an eight digit constant representing the alphameric function name followed by a five digit constant for the address of the execution routine. The address must have a flag over the low order digit. Note that functions can be made removable by locating them after the I/O area and properly relocating the record mark placed by the initialization routine and also changing the address used to initialize several fields. (Chi, output, wk, and associated constants should remain in their present relationship but may be moved to any location. between 10 K and 20K. See for example the routine at location 15824 on the listing. For maximum space the EXP and Log routines can be eliminated but when this is done the instruction at 07380 should be made to branch to an error message, since the $* *$ operation requires these subroutines.

To add new features to the system, additional nonarithmetic type pseudo instructions can be added. Because of the way this part of the interpreter is set up there is a limit of four more which can be added. The length of the nonarithmetic pseudo instructions is variable. The op code must have two digits and the instruction must be terminated by a record mark. All operands are two, three, or four digits long and are of four types:
(1) Variables and constants use the four-digit symbol table pseudo address which must be decoded by SUBER; (2) Statement numbers are represented by their three-digit symbol table address; (3) USE and do end pseudo ops which may have to refer to statements which have no statement number use the four low order digits of the location of the pseudo ops for that statement; (4) IF (sense switch) pseudo ops contain a two-digit indicator as the first operand. Currently the maximum size of pseudo instructions is limited to 26 digits by the size of the area WK.

The plot pseudo instruction is complied by recognizing the word PLOT on the source statement. The control is passed to the I/O compiler routine which assembles the operands in groups of five. If more operands are present, a new pseudo op is produced.

The execution routine increments the card number and then enters 2 loop which puts a character in the output area for each variable. When there are no variables left in the list, the card is punched and control returns to the interpreter to execute the next pseudo instruction. If more than five variables are needed on a plot or if axes are desired this routine can be easily modified to provide these fcatures. More variables could be accommodated by changing the plot routine to examine the next pseudo op before returning control, and returning control only if it were not another plot. Note that the card numbers in colums 79 and 80 also provide a means of sorting out plot cards from simultaneously punched data output.

NON - ARITHMETIC PSEUDO INSTRUCTION TABLE


* These routines are not exact equivalents of FORTRAN Statements. Operand types

A Pseudo Address - The three center digits of a symbol table address the first and last being always 1 and 9 respectively plus a fourth digit to indicate subscript.

B

C

D

X

Note

Same as A without subscript digit. Refers to statement number location in symbol table.

4 low order digits of location of DO start pseudo op. 2 digits for machine indicator number

No operands

On I/O instructions flagged subscript digit dindicates fixed point variable.



THE CARD NUMBERS IN THIS LISTING REFER TO THE CARDS IN THE LISTING OF THE UNCOMPRESSED DECK AS DISTRIBUTED BY THE PROGRAM LIBRARY• ALL MACHINE LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONS AND ADDRESSES ON THIS LISTING SHOULD BE IGNORED•
$A=0.0$
$P I=3.1415926536 / 20$.
DO $8 \quad I=1.41$
DIMENSION ISIN(41)
ISIN(I) $=\operatorname{SIN}(A) * 35 \bullet+35 \cdot 5$
$8 A=A+P 1$
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END
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## AUTOMATIC SCHEDULING AND REGISTRATION IN A SMALL COLLEGE

 byArthur F. Jackson Director of Guidance and Computer Center

The Agricultural and Technical College of North Carolina is a small land grant college located in Greensboro, North Carolina with an enrollment of approximately 3,000 students. It has five undergraduate schools: Agriculture, Education and General Studies, Engineering, Nursing, and Technical Institute. Each student registers in one of sixty-five different major fields.

The college has been using unit record equipment in the registration process for several years beginning with a Remington Rand installation of Punch and Sorter and developing into a complete Remington installation. In 1962, the college changed to an IBM Series 50 including the Sorter, Interpreter, 402 Accounting Machine. With this equipment, registration proceeded as follows.

The Deans of the various schools requested that a specific number of class cards be prepared for a certain quarter. This information including class code number, class description, meeting place, time, and credit hours, was punched into a Master Course Card. These cards were then duplicated on the reproducer in the numbers indicated by the Deans and then sent to the Registrar. At pre-registration time, the students completed a course schedule on a four-part form at a pre-registration station. These forms were completed and sent
to the Registrar's office where clerical help manually matched each course on the schedule form with a pre-punched class card. Each student's class cards were assembled in an envelope and returned to the Data Processing Center where student's name and number were gang punched into his class cards. From these cards, class rosters and individual student schedule forms were printed on the 402 Accounting Machine. In cases where cards or courses had all been distributed before a student had received all his class cards, his schedule was sent back to his advisor for revision.

In June, 1963, the college accepted delivery of an IBM 1620 Computer, a card system with 20 K Memory positions and without automatic divide. It was used primarily for teaching purposes except for occasional use in the computation of statistics and compilation of certain registration data. During the 1964 Spring Quarter, the 1620 played a prominent part in the registration. This was possible because of the development of three programs: STUDENT, a program devised by IBM, and two post processor programs designed by the author.

STUDENT, an abbreviation of "Scheduling Technique Using Defined Increments of Time", was designed for use in scheduling students into a section where the number of sections does not exceed 1000. It is designed to store a Master Schedule (not to exceed 1000 sections), accept student requests (not to exceed 8 per student), and to place students in sections. Students are allowed to designate specific sections desired and also to request free time. Students who request
specific sections are scheduled until requested sections fill; students who do not specify sections are scheduled as long as any section is open. When a student is not scheduled, a report is written on the typewriter indicating the reason for failure to schedule.

This program is controlled by course code number, section number, and load limit. This means that each student request is checked to determine whether or not the number of spaces provided for that section has been reached. On the basis of these two checks, the student is scheduled or not scheduled. The card format for the student request allows for twenty-eight columns of information about the student while the rest of the request card is allotted to the eight courses.

In order to test the feasibility of STUDEN'T for use in our system, the decision was made to use the class cards and Master cards from the 1963 Fall Quarter registration in a trial run. A computer program was, therefore, written to condense the several individual class cards for each student into the single request card format required by the program STUDENT. The Master Cards were also transformed in the STUDENT format. The process was then reversed, the Masters were loaded into memory, and the students' requests fed into the program. Every possible conflict situation was simulated in the test. The test resulted in the "go ahead" signal for the Spring Quarter.

Once this decision was made, there remained two problems. The bunched output from STUDENT could not be used effectively as the class
card which is used as basis for class rosters, admission to class, and finally as a grade report. The second problem was that of determining the spaces remaining in sections which were still open as soon as the scheduling had been completed. This information would eventually be obtained from temporary class rosters, but administrative officials felt the need to have this information earlier than possible by this method. This information was vital to the opening of new sections or the deletions of courses in which too few persons were registered. Two programs, both storage and search programs, were written to achieve these ends.

The program to punch class cards was designed to use as input the condensed output from STUDENT. This was a single card with name, I.D. number, and as many as eight course code numbers and sections. The program first stored up to 225 Master Course Cards in memory. It was limited to 225 because of the alphabetic content of our Master cards. The program then attempted to match each course on the student request card with one of the masters in storage. When there was a match, a duplicate of the Master Card was moved to output, the student's name and number were added from the request card, and the card was punched.

The search was sequential, from the first master stored to the last. There was, therefore, no need to sort the master course cards before storing in memory. If no match occurred during a search, the computer simply moved to the next course on the card or the next card to begin the search over again.

The inability to store all of the Master Course Cards necessitated four passes with the request cards. The Masters, however, were loaded by school groups which made possible the printing of class rosters for one school while the computer was punching course cards for another school. This process was not timed with stop watches, but it was possible to prepare all of the class cards within one working day. In addition, the preparation was much more complete than ever before.

The card count program was similar in nature to the program for punching class cards. The course code number and section of each Master Card was stored in memory together with a two position counter. Each unit was thirteen storage positions as follows: a four digit course code number, a blank; a two digit section, a blank; a two digit number representing the number of spaces allotted to that section, a blank; and finally, a two digit counter. Storage was searched sequentially, and when a match occurred, the number "one" was added to the count position of the section matched. At the end of the run, the typewriter printed out the contents of memory where the course codes had been stored. This was a report of the number of spaces allotted to each section and the number used at this point.

Pre-registration was conducted as previously with the exception that each person listed, in addition to the courses, the course code number and section for each course on a special card. These cards were sent to the Data Processing Center where, as soon as received, they were punched. No sorting was required, but the cards
were sorted by school for ease of handling of individuals who could not be scheduled. These were then run into the 1620 with the program switches set for no punched output. This afforded an opportunity for checking such things as missing master cards, wrong sections, and the like. Errors in course code numbers were expected since this was the first time the student body had been required to use them. All errors thus discovered were corrected and the request cards were then run again to discover schedule conflicts. Most of these resulted from the choice of favorite hours for classes. Where possible, these were resolved by reproducing the request cards, omitting the section, or by punching in sections which could not create conflicts. No punched output had been obtained on either run.

With conflicts reduced to a minimum, the request cards were once more fed into the 1620 , but this time the program switches were set to obtain punched output in condensed form. Those individuals (their request cards) who were not scheduled on this run were sent to the department in which they were enrolled for a resolution of this conflict.

Now, the program for punching class cards was used. Class cards were made for each class section for all of those who had been scheduled successfully and a count made of the number of seats taken in every section. Class rosters were then printed for every class and section on stock paper. Schedules were printed on the 402

Accounting Machine for the individual students who had been scheduled.
In the interim between the final run on the computer and
registration, the various administrative officials used the data provided by the program described to open new sections, expand limits in sections, et cetera. This made possible the scheduling of most of those individuals who had not been scheduled prior to this time.

At registration time, the student picked up his schedule and other things such as meal books, et cetera. The time required for this was about fifteen minutes as opposed to several hours under the old system. Lines moved very rapidly so no long lines were formed.

Problems in registration were reduced to a minimum but the problems in changing courses was not solved. In our system, a student must retake a course he has failed in the next quarter it is offered. This created most of the requests for changes.

The information gained in this effort suggests preregistration in May for the next Fall Quarter, registration of the entering freshmen with test scores taken into account, and eventually predregistration for an entire year together with automatic scheduling.
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Introduction
AFIT SPS by Mr. Pratt has just recently become widely available through the Program Library.

The system has many advantages and improvements over SPS II.
However the system requires 2 machine with indirect addressing, auto-divide, 40 K and the $\mathrm{TNF}, \mathrm{TNS}$ and MF instructions.

Description of Simulation Method
We have removed the requirement of the TNF, TNS and MF instructions.

TNS
The TNS instruction is used only twice in the processor, therefore TD instructions were used to simulate this instruction.

TNF
The TNF instruction is used several times therefore it was felt that a subroutine would be the most efficient method of simulating it. This was done as follows:

Replace the 73 with a 41 , then follow the TNF with BTM TNF, *-1.

The TNF subroutine looks like this:

|  | BS | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INF | SF | TNF-1 |
|  | PF | A999,CON1 |
|  | PF | $304,1-T N F$ |
|  | A | A999,CON2 |
|  | PF | $310, R S T O R$ |
|  | AM | TNF-1,5 |
|  | PF | $1-T N F, A 999$ |
|  | BB |  |
| CON 1 | DC | 10,6070707070 |
| CON | DC | 10,0001020304 |
| A999 | TS | 10 |
| ASTOR | DC | 12,801234567891 |

This subroutine is essentially the one described by Mr . Pratt in the May 1963 issue of the 1620 User Group Newsletter.

## MF

The MF instruction is also used quite frequently, therefore it was also simulated by a subroutine.

This was accomplished as follows:
Replace the 71 with a 41 then follow the MF with a BTM MF, 1-*
The MF subroutine is as shown below:


BB

Of course there was some additional rewriting of the processor to allow use of the BTM instruction, since the routines in the processor which used TNF or MF were quite often entered by another BT or BTM instruction.

Mr. Pratt is to be congratulated on his excellent contribution to the growing list of Symbolic Programming Systems. We have found no significant errors in his system.

In our opinion, it is the best 40 K SPS available.

# NCE HIGH SPEED SPS ASSEMBLER 
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This assembler is an attempt to provide Users' Group members with an assembler that has the language capabilities of AFIT SPS, and a symbol table size of the order of LAMP (File 1.00.001 in 2 20K system. Although some compromises had to be made, the resulting system, provides space for 450 labels, and has a language capability similiar to IBM 1620/1710 SPS.

The need for an assembler with a large label capacity in 20 K developed when the Computer Center Staff of Newark College of Engineering was writing NCE Load and Go Fortran (File \#2.0.029). During compilation of the Load and Go System, the IBM SPS Symbol table overflowed, so another version of SPS was looked for in the 1620 Library. It was found that OSAP (File \#1.01.013) best suited the need, but to be useful to us, several modifications and corrections had to be made. These initial modifications were the work of Joseph Petersack, an undergraduate student at NCE. After the 20 K Load and Go processor was completed, the authors decided to follow through on these initial modifications, and to rewrite the completely OSAP processor.

The NCE assembler is approximately two to three times as fast as IBM 1620/1710 SPS, exclusive of input-output. This means that on a Model I 1620 with $2500 / 250 \mathrm{cpm}$ reader-punch, the assembler will run at reader speed on Pass 1, and at punch speed while punching an uncompressed object deck on Pass 2.

The assembler requires card I/O and indirect addressing. Automatic divide is required only if division is performed in address adjustmont in the source statements.

The assembler has been written so that the input format can be of two types. It can be standard SPS, or a slightly modified version. The modified format is easier to keypunch, and also easier to read on a 80-80 printout. The format is as follows:

| Columns | $1-6$ | Label |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Blank |  |
|  | $8-11$ | Op Code |
| 12 | Blank |  |
|  | $13-50$ | Operands and Remarks |
|  | $51-80$ | Arbitrary |

This format does not allow page and line counts; if they are desired the standard SPS format can be used by setting a console switch.

The output format of an uncondensed object deck is in most cases a single card per statement, and can be printed on a standard 80-80 board. The uncondensed format is:

Wolumns I-50 Original Source Statement
52-80 Machine Language and Sequence Numbers
This format enables using the object deck as 2 source deck, making the original source obsolete. Besides being more convenient, this format produces object decks which are about one half as large as those of SPS II. In addition, a compressor is built in, which produces compressed decks smaller than those of SPS II. Also provided, at the operator's option, is a symbol table punch-out, five symbols to a card, in alphabetic order, at the end of pass 1.

The number of labels that can be stored by a 20 K machine varies. If, upon initialization, the compressor, its loader, and the uncompressed loader are eliminated, approximately 450 sixdigit labels can be stored. If the compressor and loaders are not eliminated, about 350 labels can be stored. If a larger model 1620 is used the assembler expands automatically, increasing the symbol table accordingly.

Depending on the size of the machine, the size of the program, and the number of labels, it is possible to store the source statements of a program in core during pass 1.

If the program fits in core, it can be run in a single pass, if it does not fit, however, the source program must be reentered for pass 2 as usual. In a 20 K machine, again depending on the program, 60 - 100 source statements can be stored and processed. A 60 K machine on the other hand can store 1000-1300 source statements. Besides reducing card handling, this will also, of course, save machine time.

In addition to the above, a different error message format has been incorporated. Each error message consists of a typeout of the actual source statement in error, the type of error, followed by the sequence number of the card in the uncompressed or compressed object deck that contains the error. To aid in trouble shooting, column 51 of the uncompressed object card in error contains the letter E .

As far as the language is concerned, all SPS II op-codes for the 1620 card system a re maid, both mnemonic and numeric, with the exception of $H E A D, T R A, T C D$, and SEND. In addition, many of the op-codes used in AFIT SPS have been incorporated, as well as several interesting programming procedures devised by Richard L. Pratt. A variable and fixed length subroutine package similar to that of AFIT SFS is also included.

Blanks in Source Statements
Blanks are ignored in the operand field of all source statements, including the flag operand of instructions.

In a DAC statement, the rightmost character of the $\infty$ instant does not have to be followed immediately by a comma. If no address is specified, only one oman is needed between the length arc the EO. curt it se?

Examples:

A DS , 1001*10-9
B BS , 20002
TE A B , $12345 \quad 2 \overline{6} \overline{1} 00 \overline{0} \overline{1} 120002$
TR A: B , 311000120002
DAC 5, $\overline{0} 00000000$
DAC 2,A@ , II On

* The spaces between the second and third commas will not cause the constant to be loaded at zero

DC 5, , $\quad$ O $000 \pm$

DAC 3tateraent:
The man ? ch cen not be greater than 35 because of the restriction on the length of the source statement (columns 1 to 50).

DSAC Statement
The Define Special Alphameric Constant is the same as the DAC except no flag is placed over the high order digit. This allows long alphameric constants to be constructed by one DAC followed by DSAC's and this long constant can be moved by a transmit field instruction.
A) The processor can supply any number of lending zeros, pp to the maximum constant length of 99999

Example:
X23 DC 5600, @
This will cause 5599 , eros followed by a record mark to be loaded into care, the label will refer to the location of the record mark and the high order zero will be flagged.
B) The @ sign which indicates a record mark may appear anywhere in
a constant.
Example:
DSC 6,-@123@
Will cause $\ddagger 12 \overline{3}$ 材 to be stored.
C) Any character of the constant may be flagged by punching a minus sign over that character in the source statement. Example:

DC $\quad 7, \mathrm{~Wb} * \mathrm{P} 8$

D) Constants may be proceeded by a plus sign if desired.

Floating point constants, as required by the subroutines, may be specified as follows:
first operand - mantissa length, which may be symbolic,
second " - mantissa (trailing zeros automatically supplied.)
Third " - exponent (may be symbolic)
fourth " - address (if desired)
The label, if present, will refer to the units position of the exponent. Example:

NFC $\quad 10,-2,1$
will produce $\overline{2} 00000000 \overline{0} \overline{0} 1$
Operands
The previous IBM restriction on - 0 is removed and this will now be assembled correctly.

Example:
TM A , - $\quad 15100010000 \overline{0}$
Division is now allowed in address arithmetic (if the auto divide feature is available). Division can be used in conjunction with subtraction and multiplication to produce truncation.

Example:
AM $B / A * 1000, B / A+213 \quad 1102000 \quad 00215$
Record Marks in the QU position of instructions are frequently required. This usually has required the two instructions:

| TM | A,, 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| DC | $1,(0, *$ |

However on this system an $R$ in the flag operand will cause a record mark to be placed in the Ql position of the instruction:

Example:

| TFM |  | , , | 10R | resul |  | 16 | 10001 | 0000̄ $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFM | A | , , | R | " | " | 16 | 10001 | 0000 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| TDM | A | , , | $11 R$ | " | " | 15 | 10001 | 0000戸 |

DSA Statements
The number of operands in a DSA or DSSA is no longer limited to ten, but may be any number of address operands up to column 50. DSSA Statements

This is the same as DSA, except that no flags are set over the high order digit of the DSSA's, and the label refers to the high order digit of the first DSSA.

TABLE DSSA A,B, 100012000200000 DNB Statements

The length in the DNB and DSNB statements must be no greater than 99999.

DSNB Statements
Define Special Numeric Blank acts the same as DNB except that the label, if present, is equated to the high order position of the numeric blanks.

## INC Statement

Input Converwion Subroutine allows input data in the free format style of AFIT Fortran to be converted to internal floating point form:
first operand address where exponent of number is to be stored. second operand alphameric record address of data to be converted. Data in the input area may be separated by blanks or commas and although the input area must contain an alphameric record mark, no flags are required. Library No. 1.6.053.

## OUTC Statements

Output Conversion Subroutine allows internal floating point numbers to be converted to external Fortran style constants under format control.
first operand alphameric record address where external form is to be stored
second operand address of exponent of floating point number to be converted.
third operand five digits specifying the format:
In this five digit constant, the first digit represents the type of format desired:

0 for $E$
1 for $F$
2 for G
The next two digits are the length of the field, and the last two the number of spaces after the decimal point.

| E 14.8 | 01408 |
| :--- | :--- |
| F 7.2 | 10702 |
| G 16.10 | 21610 |

The $E$ and $F$ conversion work exactly the same as 1620 Fortran. The $G$ w.d conversion gives $F$ type output if as many as d significant figures can fitted in the space provided. Otherwise, a format of E w.d will be used. Library File \# l.6.053

## DOODLE

## Do-It-Yourself Problem Solver

## M. V. Farina

General Electric Company
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> DOODLE
> Do-It-Yourself Problem Solver M.V. Farina G.E. Company

An engineer busily at work in the solution of a mathematical problem reminds me of a trombone player. He can manipulate a slide rule with all the skill of a musician. Unfortunately, slide rules are slow and limited in accuracy.

Suggest to him the possibility of programming his problem for solution on a computer, however, and chances are he ${ }^{t} l l$ give you a hundred reasons why he can ${ }^{\text {t }}$. He doesn ${ }^{\text {rt }}$ know anything about programming; Fortran has too many rules to remember; his problem is too small for a computer, and he can go on and on with excuses.

An accountant industriously engaged at making debits equal credits reminds me of a pianist. He can finger the keyboard of a desk calculator easily as well as Van Clyburn.

But the typical accountant, like the engineer, believes that he himself cannot program his problem for a computer.

Perhaps it does take some skill to program an engineering or business problem. But have you noticed -- it takes no skill to doodle? We all do it -- while on the telephone, during a meeting, while waiting for the doctor.

If programming could be made as simple as doodling, then maybe engineers and accountants would program. In an effort to simplify programming we devised a system for those people to use and called it DOODLE.

My discussion of DOODLE is in three parts: First, what DOODLE is; second, how we are inducing engineers and accountants to use it; and third, some ideas of what the second generation of DOODLE might produce.

DOODLE is a system which enables the user to write out a problem in a very simple way. There are few rules to know and a knowledge of programming is not required. We'll show you some examples in a few moments.

DOODLE is an interpretive scheme. It works directly with the user ${ }^{\text {t }}$ program as he has devised it. The system interprets each instruction as it encounters the instruction and executes it. This means that no assembly or compilation process need be performed before the problem is given to the computer. Computer time is thus conserved. Very often it takes longer to compile or assemble a program than it does to run it. This is particularly expensive on one-shot jobs. DOODLE bypasses this expense.

Mechanically, DOODLE is a Fortran II program written for an IBM 1620 Computer with indirect addressing, auto-divide, floating-point hardware and card I-O. Our Fortran compiler in Johnson City has been changed to origin at 11 K instead of the standard 12 K , as specified in the Fortran II Operator's Guide, Page 25. ( 40 K machine)

I can best continue showing you what DOODLE is by telling you how we are inducing our engineers and others to use DOODLE. The words "tricking them" seem to be applicable here since the write-up of DOODLE does not mention the words "program" or "programming. " The write-up pre-supposes no programming knowledge and speaks only in terms of: "you have a problem to solve -- a computer can solve it for you--here ${ }^{\text {t }}$ s how you do it. ${ }^{n}$

The DOODLER publication exists as a 2 -volume work. The first booklet is the ${ }^{\text {"Primer}}{ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ and the second booklet is called ${ }^{\text {"For The Advanced }}$ Doodler. ${ }^{\mathfrak{I}} \mathrm{I}^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathrm{d}$ like to show you a few of the pages from the Primer.
(SLIDE 1 - PAGE 1 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
The key word here is "EASY. " On this first page we are hoping to spark the reader's interest.

The second page tells the reader that DOODLE is a method of telling the computer what his problem is; that he writes out the problem on a simple form; that cards are punched from the form and delivered to the computer; and that he gets answers in return.
(SLIDE 2 - PAGE 3 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
This slide shows how the user tells the computer what numbers his problem deals with. Note the illustration. We feel the illustrations, of which there are many, are very effective.

A card will later be punched for every line shown on this coding sheet. Notice that item numbers have already been filled in.
(SLIDE 3 - PAGE 5 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
We have told the reader how he feeds numbers into the computer. He will now be told how to tell the computer what to do with those numbers.
(SLIDE 4 - PAGE 6 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
This slide shows how the "ops" are used.
Page 7 of the DOODLE write-up reads as follows:
"You told the computer to divide item 101 by item 102. The result became item 105. Then you told the computer to multiply item 103 by item 104. The result became item 106. Finally you told the computer to add items 105 and 106. The result, item 107, is the answer to your problem. "

Finally the reader is instructed to put the op "END" at the end of his solution procedure, and he is shown how the computed coding form will look at that time.
(SLIDE 5 - PAGE 8 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
At item 107, note that a ${ }^{n} 1^{n}$ has been placed under the column headed "P. " This causes the computer to print out the result of the execution of the instruction at item 107. This, of course, is the answer to the example problem.
(SLIDE 6 - PAGE 15 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)
Skipping ahead in the DOODLE write-up, page 15 shows a list of the ops that are available to the DOODLER.

At the bottom of the slide, notice that the publication "For The Advanced Doodler ${ }^{\pi}$ is mentioned for the first time. It is not necessary for a DOODLER to ever read the advanced volume. It includes such exotic features as looping, address modification and use of subroutines. We recognize that these features are such that only professional programmers would be interested in them or DOODLER enthusiasts.

The DOODLE write-up continues in this light vein. The reader is let step by step to the point where he learns how to get repetitive solutions from problems, and how to make use of smybolic nomenclature.

He is told how to do this in a chapter called "That Mysterious Column C. " Here is part of that chapter:

## (SLIDE 7 - PAGE 17 OF DOODLE WRITE-UP)

In the last part of the booklet, we give an example of how the quadratic formula could be written up.
(SLIDE 8 - PAGE 21 OF DOODLE (MODIFIED)
Notice that the values for A, B, and C are given to the computer at item numbers 101, 102, and 103.

The constants used by the problem, a zero, a four and a two are given next at items 104, 105, and 106.

The actual programming of the problem start at item 107. We need a minus $B$, so we subtract $B$ from zero and store it in MB, which stands for "minus B."

We need a B square, so we multiply B times B and put the result in B2. The names where results are stored can be made up by the DOODLER as he goes along. In the example, we have used names that remind us of what has been calculated. Notice that when $A$ is multiplied times C, we have chosen as the result name "AC, " and when AC is multiplied times four, we have used the result name " $4 \mathrm{AC}$. .

There are two answers to this problem, and they are shown at items 116 and 117. These are the positive and negative roots respectively. Notice the ${ }^{n} 1^{\prime \prime}$ under the column headed "P." This causes the computer to print out the results at items 116 and 117.

When the computer comes to item 118, and spots the op "END, ${ }^{n}$ it goes back to the card reader looking for more cards. If it finds more cards, it will load what it finds on top of the program being run starting at the beginning of the program and continuing to load until it comes to another end card.

In this example, new values of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C will be loaded on top of the old values of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C . The program will then be executed with the new values, new answers will be printed out, and the computer will again look for new input in the card reader.

It is possible for DOODLE to set up branch conditions, to make tests and to branch about within the program depending upon the value of test conditions. It is even possible to do address modification and branching to subroutines. We don't recommend that the DOODLE user get involved with these more sophisticated features of DOODLE. It is possible, for example, to get into endless loops.

Besides, a person who was this sophisticated would probably be better off learning and using Fortran.

It is easy to find mistakes when using DOODLE. If sense switch one is turned on before the program is run, the result of every instruction is printed out.

Before closing I'll touch upon some ideas which have come to mind about how DOODLE can be used in some novel ways.

Suppose an engineer has a problem he wants to solve. Rather than pick up a coding sheet, writing down the instructions and then having them key punched, he can simply reach into his desk, pull out some pre-punched cards, put them together, and take them to the computer direct, thus bypassing the key-punching step.

Of course the situation isn't really as simple as this. The user is required to maintain in his desk several hundred cards made up of about 100 standard instructions. The next slide shows what some these standard instructions look like:
(SLIDE 9 - SPECIAL)
The secret in using this idea is that every instruction revolves around ARG1 and ARG2. If one wants to add two plus two, for example, the "desk programmer" would have to put a two into ARG1, then a two into ARG2 and finally cause the addition of ARG1 and ARG2. The result would go to ARG1 and the programmer would then usually have to put ARG1 somewhere in order to use its results later.

This sounds very wasteful and slow. It is! Wetre not suggesting this idea has any practicality at all. Or does it?

Suppose every standard statement had a unique 2-digit number associated with it. Then, the programmer could call for a standard statement by number rather than reaching for it in his desk drawer. Suppose he punched up all the standard statements he required for a particular problem on cards starting in column 1 and continuing to column 80. He could get forty 2-digit numbers per card, which could represent a fairly elaborate sequence of events. For example, suppose the programmer wants to compute the following:

$$
\mathbf{X}=\operatorname{SIN}\left(\theta^{2}-1\right)
$$

He reads in his data so that $\mathbf{X}$ is represented by "standard $\mathbf{A}^{\text {" }}$ and $\theta$ by "standard B. " The solution of this equation could then be as follows: (SLIDE 10 - SPECIAL)

We have arbitrarily assigned 2-digit numbers to each of the standard instructions shown here. Note that the value for 1 is standard. So would other numerical values selected such that the programmer could build a number as he needed it. For example, the number 100 could be built by multiplying standard 10 by standard 10.

We need not stop here. All sorts of possibilities exist. Suppose the function $X=\operatorname{SIN}\left(\theta^{2}-1\right)$ is to be used often in a program. The sequence of operations which compute this function (010214. . . . 37) could be summarized by another 2-digit number (say 99) which will cause the proper sequence to be set up. In this way the programmer can generate his own pseudo macro instructions.

But now I feel I'm treading in the area of Fortran programming or of computer design and will close. I $I^{\mathrm{d}}$ like to leave you with this thought: If you do see an engineer playing tunes on a slide rule or see an accountant's fingers pecking swiftly at the keys of a desk calculator, please hand him a pencil and ask him to start doodling.




## DO YOU HAVE PROBLEMS?

do you do REPETITIVE WORK on a desk calculator?
do your problems involve NUMBERS
and
WHAT HAS TO BE DONE with those numbers?
why not have a COMPUTER
solve your problems for you?
its EASY
first, tell the computer what NUMBERS
you are going to use.
suppose they are:
191.25
on the form shown below, you'll see a column headed OP and a column headed NUMBERS
write LOD and 191. 25 on the first line under these columns. do the same for the other numbers.
here's how the form will look after you have done this:


SLIDE 2
now tell the computer WHAT TO DO
to do this, you'll need some OP's. you've already used one, it was LOD.
some other OP's look like this:
ADD
SUB
MPY
DIV
suppose your problem is this:
(1) $191.25 \div 1654$
(2) $2 \times .3$
(3) add the two results together.
the OP's you'll need are:
DIV
MPY

ADD
here's how you would write out the problem

you'll have to tell the computer to print out item 107.
place a "1" in the column headed $P$
place END as the last OP
here is how the form looks when you have written out your problem completely:


## LIST OF OP's

## OP

WHAT IT DOES

| LOD | load a number into the specified item |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADD | item A - item B |
| SUB | item A - item B |
| MPY | item A x item B |
| DIV | item A $\div$ item B |
| SIN | sine of item A (radians) |
| COS | cosine of item A (radians) |
| EXP | item A raised to the item B power |
| QRT | square root of item A |
| ATN | arctangent of item A (radians) |
| ABS | absolute value of item A |
| LOG | natural log of item A |
| END | last card of problem solution or of new set of numbers |
| *MUV | move item A |
| *RET | last OP in a subroutine |
| *BCH | branch to item A, B, or C |
| *SUM | sum from item A to item B |

*For these OP's see chapter called "For the Advanced Doodler."
suppose you need the value of " $\pi$ " in your problem. you can tell the computer what the VALUE of " $\pi$ " is and
at the same time, write down a NAME for the $\pi$ item.
you will then be able to refer to that item by the
. NAME you have given it, as well as its NUMBER.
here's how to tell the computer to calculate the
area of a circle whose radius is $\mathbf{8 . 1 6 2 3}$
the equation is $A=\pi r^{2}$


SCIDE 7


| OP | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOD |  |  | A |
| LOD |  |  | B |
| LOD |  |  | C |
| . |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| MUV | A |  |  |
| MUV | B |  | ARG1 |
| MUV | C |  | ARG1 |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |


| OP | A | B | C |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADD | ARG1 | ARG2 | ARG1 |
| SUB | ARG1 | ARG2 | ARG1 |
| MPY | ARG1 | ARG2 | ARG1 |
| - |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| MUV |  |  |  |
| MUV | ARG1 |  | ARG2 |
| MUV | ARG1 |  | A |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  | ARG1 |

SLIDE 9
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## Title: PDQ Fortran for Paper Tape

Author; Organization: J. W. Trantum, P. G. Boekhoff MRD-GATC

Date: April 20, 1964 Users Group Membership Code: 3193
Direct Inquiries to: J.W. Trantum, P. G. Boekhoff MRD/GATC 7501 N. Natchez Avenue, Niles, Ill. Phone: NI 7-7000, Ext. 233

Description/Purpose: PDQ Paper tape is a modification of PDQ card system which generates shorter, faster object programs than any other system available for machines without Floating Point Hardware.

## Specifications:

A. Storage: 1. Processor requires 17250 allowing 275 symbols
2. Class A subroutines require 6400
B. Equipment Required by System: Paper tape, Auto Divide, 20K. Program will adjust for additional memory.

Additional Remarks:
Any program written in $\mathrm{FO}-004$ or U.T.O. may be compiled in PDQ. Additional facilities in PDQ include: COMMON statements, batch compilation, continuation of $I / Q$ and $F O R M A T$ statements, expanded format (A and D specifications) and multiple specifications ( $n$ Fw.d), "Free Format" input, improved listing of source program, PROCEDURE statements, TRACE statements, MOVE statements, IF statement with continue feature, additional relocatable subroutines, Fixed Point $A D D$ and SUBTRACT in line - No Fixed Constants in the Symbol Table 。

Any proper statement in the $\mathrm{FO}-004$ or UTO languages is allowed. List of Permissible Statements.
A. Arithmetic Statements:

$$
A=B \text { op } C \text { etc. }
$$

B. Control Statements:

1. GO TO n
2. GO TO $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots-n_{m}\right)$, i
3. IF (a) $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$
4. IF (SENSE SWITCH i) $n_{1}, n_{2}$
(any of the $n_{i}$ in 3 and 4 above may be replaced by the letter $c$ )
5. $D o n i=m_{1}, m_{2}$ or
$\mathrm{DO} \mathrm{ni}=\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}, \mathrm{~m}_{3}$
6. BEGIN PROCEDURE $n$
7. REIURI n
8. END PROCEDURE $n$
9. EXECUTE PROCEDURE n
10. CONTINUE
11. CONTROL m
12. PAUSE
13. STOP m
14. END
15. BEGIN TRACE
16. END TRACE
17. MOVE $n, A, B$ or

$$
\text { MOVE } n, A, B, m
$$

C. Input/Output Statements:

1. READ n, list
2. PUNCH n, list
3. ACCEPT n, list
4. PRINT n, list
5. TYPE n, list
D. Specification Statements:
6. COMMON
7. DIMENSION
8. FORMAT

Additional Specifications

## A. PROCEDURES

A procedure is defined as a group of FORTRAN statements which are to be executed as a unit more than once in any larger program. Such a group of statements is preceded by a statement

BEGIN PROCEDURE n
where n is a procedure number. (Note - This number must not appear anywhere in the program as a statement number, and cannot be used in more than one procedure.)

The procedure statements must be followed by the statement
END PROCEDURE n
where n is the number of the procedure.
The procedure $n$ may be executed at any time by the statement
EXECUTIE PROCEDURE n
which transfers control to the statement immediately following the BEGIN PROCEDURE $n$ statement and obeys the statements of procedure $n$ until
a) the END PROCEDURE $n$ statement is executed, which transfers control to the statement immediately following the EXECUTE PROCEDURE n statement that entered the procedure.
b) a RETURN $n$ statement is executed. This returns control to the statement immediately following the EXECUTE PROCEDURE n statement that entered the procedure. A REIURN statement is valid only within the procedure from which it returns control.

Any statement may be included within a procedure, including EXECUTE PROCEDURE statements referring to other procedures, except:
a) BEGIN PROCEDURE, REIURN, or END PROCEDURE statements referring to other procedures.
b) EXECUIE PROCEDURE referring to the procedure within which it is placed.
B. CONTROL m

This statement controls the typewriter carriage

| m | $=101$ | spaces typewriter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m | $=102$ | returns typewriter carriage |
| m | $=108$ | tabulates |

C. PAUSE

The program execution is halted by a 48 op code in the main line program. Depression of the "START" key will cause the computer to continue to the next instruction.
D. $\quad \mathrm{STOP} \mathrm{m}$

The program execution is halted after the carriage is returned and STOP m is typed. If m is omitted, STOP 0000 will be typed. Depressing "START" will cause the computer to continue to the next program instruction. $m$, if present, must be an unsigned constant, $0 \leq m \leq 9999$.
E. END

The program execution is halted after the carriage is returned and END is typed. Depressing "START" will cause the carriage to return and END to be typed.

## F. TRACE

The results of all arithmetic statements may be traced without the generation of any additional in-line instructions. Replacement type statements ( $A=B$, $C=D(K), I=J$ ) will not be traced since no arithmetic operation is required and, hence, FAC is not used. If the replacement statement includes a subscripted variable on each side of the equality, the statement may be traced. The tracing of arithmetic statements at object time is by the use of SWITCH 4.

BEGIN TRACE will turn on the trace feature. This will replace the normal FMFAC instruction ( 26 ADDR, FAC) with a combination "FMFACTRACE" instruction ( 17 FMIR, ADDR). Each arithmetic statement thereafter will permit tracing. Tracing will be terminated when an END TRACE statement is encountered. Succeeding statements will not be traced until another BEGIN TRACE is read.

## G. PRINT

PRINT n, list will cause the variables in the list to be printed according to the format specification $n$ after a carriage return has been executed. This conforms with the PRINI statement of $\mathrm{FO}-004$. No indexing is permissible within the list.

## H. TYPE

TYPE n, list performs the same function as PRINT n, list with the exception that no carriage return will be executed prior to the output of data. This will permit the printing of columns of data utilizing the typewriter tab settings and the CONTROL 108 statement.

## I. INPUT/OUTPUT LISTS

If the length of the list in an input/output statement requires more characters than are available before column 78 , the list may be continued in the next statement. Terminate the list preceding a continuation with a comma. Continuation statements must have a digit (a continuation number of character) preceding the remainder of the list.

## J. COMMON

The COMMON statement is followed by a list of variables whose object time addresses will begin in the first symbol location after the function symbols. This will enable the assignment of addresses to symbols common in several programs. Dimensioned variables which are listed in COMMON statements will have the necessary space reserved and musst not be again listed in a DIMENSION statement. CAUTION: The COMMON statement(s) must be the first compiled statement in the program.

EXAMPLE: COMMON A,B, C,D(2,4),E
K. MOVE $n, A, B$ or

MOVE $n, A, B, m$
The purpose of the MOVE statement is to transmit a specified set of fields to specified locations. $m$ (if present) and $n$ must be unsigned constants. A and/or B may be subscripted; the contents or the locations to be transmitted may be fixed, floating, or not. If $m$ or $n$ are specified to be more than 2 digits, they will be treated modulo 100.

If $m$ is omitted or specified to be 1 , $n$ fields will be transmitted from $A$ and ( $n-1$ ) successively lower locations to $B$ and ( $n-1$ ) successively lower locations. If $m$ is specified to be $>1$, $n$ fields will be moved from every moth location beginning with $A$ to every meh location beginning with $B$.

The MOVE statement generates 3 instructions in the object program ( 36 core positions)。

Example:
MOVE 3, $x(1), y(2), 4$ (36 positions)
is the real way to say
DO $100 \quad I=1,9,4$
$100 \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{I}+1)=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{I})$ (120 positions in line +20 in symbol table for $I$ and 100)

Note: If $\mathrm{n}=0$, one field will be moved. If $\mathrm{m}=0$, it will be moved yet again.
L. $\quad \operatorname{IF}(a) n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$

## IF (SENSE SWITCH i) $n_{1} \underline{L}_{2}$

IF statements may have either statement numbers or the letter $C$ (continue) in any of the positions in the transfer list. Statement numbers will be handled as they are in other systems. The letter $C$ refers to the statement immediately following the IF statement. The statement following this IF need not be numbered unless referred to by some statement other than the IF. No transfer instruction or entry in the symbol table is generated by the letter $C$.

For example, the statements
IF (SENSE SWITCH 2) 6,5
$5 \quad A=B$
may be written

```
IF (SENSE SWITCH 2) 6, C
A=B
```


## M. FORMAT

FORMAT statements which are over 78 characters may be continued in the following statement. The statement to be continued must terminate with a comma following some specification. Continuation statements must have a continuation character preceding the remainder of the format specifications. Not more than 3 continuations are allowed.

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
100 \text { FORMAT } & (\text { I5, F8. } 4, \\
& \operatorname{lE14.8,} \\
& 2 F 8.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Permissible format specifications include I type (Iw), F type (Fw.d), E type (Ew.d), D type (Dw), A type (Aw.), X type (wX), and H type (wH). All of the numerical field specifications and the A specification may have fields repeated by preceding the specification by the number of required repetitions such as (3F10.2) which is equivalent of (F1O.2, FlO.2, F1O.2).

I TYPE: The I specification defines a field of w characters from which or into which data will be transferred. The data must be in fixed-point notation (maximum of 4 digits), however, the field width, w, is not so limited. On output, the sign of the variable will precede the digits, hence, a minimum field width of 5 is required to insure complete output of a fixed-point number. Should the field width be less than one plus the digits to be output, the low order digit or digits will be lost and the output data will be in error.

Example: Fixed point number minus 144 is output under an I3 specification. The result will be -14 which, of course, is incorrect.

F TYPE: The F specification defines a field width of w characters from which or into which floating-point data will be transferred. If a decimal point is not included in input data, the last $d$ characters of the field will be recognized as decimal digits. On output, if the numerical value of the variable requires a field width greater than w to permit all non-decimal digits to be output, the specification will be automatically changed to an E type specification so that the output data will be complete.

Example: +1728 . is the output under the specification ( $F 6.0$ ) of a variable. Had the specification been (F5.O), the output would have been $+.17280000 \mathrm{E}+04$.
(Note - the plus signs normally do not actually appear in output - see Modifications to the System, \#3).

Variables in E type notation may be input under the $F$ type specification.
E TYPE: The E specification permits the input or output of a floating point variable in the exponential form; that is, + .XXXE+XX. On output, 6 characters of the field are required for the sign, the decimal point, and the exponential notation.

Variables in $F$ notation may be input under the $E$ specification.
D TYPE: The D specifications permit input or output of data floating point variables in "excess 50 " form. Only two forms of the D specification are acceptable: DID for floating-point numbers which will permit transfer of a floating-point value e.g., le, as $\overline{5} 212000000$, and D4 which will permit transfer of a fixed-point number such as -123 as $012 \overline{3}$.
(Note - Antique machines may require modification $B / M 2115322$ to print the Alpha character 50)

A TYPE: The A specification permits the input or output of up to five alphameric characters. The alphameric characters are converted to their twodigit form and stored in the symbol table as a l0-digit floating point variable. The width of the A field must not exceed 5. The number of alphameric characters input or output will be determined by the field width designation. The A format input will select the next available non-numeric character and the specified number of following characters

X TYPE (BLANK FIELD SPECIFICATION): Blank characters may be provided in an output record by means of specification $w X$ where $w$ is the width of the field to contain blanks. When the $X$ specification is used with an input record, w characters are ignored.

H TYPE: The specification wHy permits the w alphameric characters immediately following $H$ in the specification to be input or output. CAUIION: the characters of an $H$ specification may not be split on continuations. Should more characters than are available in a given statement be required in an $H$ specification, the $H$ specification on the first statement must include the count of the characters on the first statement only, and a new $H$ specification must be stated in the format continuation.

## Free-Form Input

The input accepted by this system has been relaxed so that variables need not correspond precisely with the field widths defined by the input FORMAT specification. The only requirements for the entry of data are that a space or blank column exists between data and that the data agree in mode (fixed or floating) with the FORMAT specification.

It is required that the letter $E$ follow immediately the last digit of the mantissa when a variable is in the $E$ form and no blanks are permitted until after the last digit of the exponent or the entry will be erroneuous. Hence, the only acceptable E notations MM...ME+X, MM--ME+XX, MM--MEXX, MM--MEX, $\mathrm{MM}--\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{XX}, \mathrm{MM}--\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{X}$ where M represents mantissa digits and X represents exponent digits.

## Relocatable Subroutines

1. SIN and COS - evaluates sine(x) or cosine( $x$ )

Method - Hastings approximation with improved reduction to first quadrant.

Length - 694 digits
Speed - 128 msec .
Accuracy - Error not more than one in last digit of mantissa for all angles, except sine of angles near $\pi / 2$ and cosine of angles near zero, when error occasionally reaches two in last digit.

Note: If all significance is lost, typewriter will indicate error condition, and machine will halt. Processing cannot continue.
2. EXP - evaluates $e^{x}$

Method - Addition loop successively multiplies l.0 by 2, l.l, 1.01, l.001, and 1.0001, reducing argument by the logs of those factors until argument mantissa is less than 0.0001; approximation $\exp (x)=1+x$ is then used.

Length - 536 digits
Speed - 76 msec .
Accuracy - Error not more than one in last digit of mantissa for positive arguments, not more than two for negative arguments.
3. LOG - evaluates $\log _{e}(x)$

Method - Addition loop successively multiplies argument by 2, l.1, l.01, l.001, and l.0001, accumulating negative logs of those factors until argument mantissa is greater than 0.9999; approximation $\log \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{l}$ is then used.
Length - 678 digits
Speed - 79 msec .
Accuracy - Error not more than one in last digit of mantissa forarguments greater than 2.0 or less than 0.9; forarguments closer to one, loss of accuracy is inevitable,but routine is always more precise than is ( $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{l}$ ).
4. SQRT - evaluates $\sqrt{\mathrm{x}}$
Method - Odd-integer method generating result by adding complements.
Length - 378 digits
Speed - 116 msec .
Accuracy - Perfect, rounded to an eight-digit mantissa.
5. ABS - evaluates ..... $|x|$
Method - load dividend to FAC.
Length - 14 digits
Speed - 1 msec .
Accuracy - Perfect
6. BAR - drops decimal digits of a variable (bracket function)
Method - obvious
Length - 104 digits
Speed - 4.2 msec .
Accuracy - Perfect
7. ATAN - evaluates arctan (x). Result is in the range
$-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arctan (x) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$
Method - Table look-up and reduction of argument to less than O.1; three-term Chebyshev approximation is then used. Result is complemented(if necessary) in fixed-point, avoiding loss of last digit in FSB.

```
    Length - }818\mathrm{ digits
    Speed - 126 msec. for argument less than l
        l78 msec. for argument l or over
    Accuracy - Error not more than one in last digit of mantissa.
```



```
    Method - obvious
    Length - }52\mathrm{ digits
    Speed - 2.5 msec.
    Accuracy - Perfect
9. ONE - evaluates the Heaviside unit step function l(x)={0.0, x<0}
                                    {0.5,x=0
Method - obvious
Length - 76 digits
Speed - 2.2 msec.
Accuracy - Perfect.
```


## Error Messages During Processing

```
PDQ FORTRAN has a limited ability to detect errors in the grammar and syntax of FORTRAN. This capability is not adequate and is included mainly to ensure that the processor does not destroy itself.
The PDQ FORTRAN error messages are:
```

Error No.
1 Incorrectly formed statement
2 Subscripted variable for which no DIMENSION statement has previously appeared in the program, dimensioned variable used without subscripts, variable in DIMENSION statement has already appeared in the source program.

## Error No.

Floating point number not in allowable range of values, or fixed point number contains more than four digits.

Symbol table full.
Mixed mode expression.
Variable name in an expression contains more than five characters.

Switch number has been omitted in an IF (SENSE SWITCH n) statement.

A comma follows the statement number in a $D O$ statement.
A DIMENSION statement ends with a comma, more than two dimensions have been specified in a DIMENSION statement.

Unnumbered FORMAT statement.
Incorrect representation in a FORMAT statement in one of the following ways:
a. Special character ( $=$ © $-*+$, ) in numerical field specification.
b. Alphabetic character other than $D, E, F, I$, or $A$ in a numerical field specification.
c. Decimal point missing in an E or F-type numerical field specification.
d. The number of positions to the right of the decimal point has not been given in an $E$ or $F$-type numerical field specification.
e. A record mark appears in a numerical field specification or an alphanumeric field.
f. The first character following the word FORMAT is not a (.
g. The last character is not, or ) .

The total record width specified in a FORMAT statement is greater than 99 characters.

A FORMAT statement number has been omitted in an input/output statement.

## III Operating Instructions

I. Clear Core
2. Load PDQ Processor 3600000003

## 3. Switch Settings

Parity and I/O to Stop, OFLDW to program

Program Switch

Off

1

2
Do not list names and locations of relocatable subroutines (functions)

3

4

Do not compile subroutines on object tape

Source program is on tape

List source statements and symbol table

List complete symbol table

Compile subroutines on object tape

Enter source program on typewriter

Note: If switch 1 is on at the end of the compilation, the symbol table will NOT be typed, but the highest address below the object-program-symbol-table will be typed.

## Error Procedure

If a source statement error is encountered the processor will type an error message and halt. Pressing START will transfer control to the typewriter so that the statement may be correctly typed in. Sense Switch 4 serves its usual role with regard to typing errors and must be turned off before releasestart is pressed. In some circumstances a source program error may result in a MAR CHECK. In this event one may enter a branch to the error routine (4913184) and proceed as above.

## Debug and Protect Feature

The symbol-table locations for which entries are not defined at compile time will be initialized to flag-record marks in low address (high-order address) of each symbol location at the time subroutines are loaded. This will protect the subroutines and the remainder of the symbol table from the disastrous effects of referring to undefined symbols (fixed variables excepted). Further, the record mark will generate a MAR CHECK if an undefined symbol is encountered by the subroutines.

## Object Program Errors

Error conditions detected by the subroutines generate the following error messages and results:


Fixed Point Arithmetic
The operators +, - and * in the fixed point mode give results mod 10000. Hence, the addition of 9998 and 4 gives 2 with no error detection due to the overflow. Should division by 0 be attempted, an error overflow will be indicated.

Processor tape consists of the following records

1. Loader and identifier (numeric)
2. Tables and program (numeric) dump of locations 00100 through 17850.
3. Subroutine: names (alpha)

Subroutine tape consists of the following records (all numeric)

1. Loader and identifier
2. Tables
3. Relocator (dump or locations 00500 through 02553)
4.a Relocatable subroutines (many card images)
4.b End-of-relocatables record
-5. Class A subroutines (dump or locations 00100 through 06367)
4. Loader
5. Symbol-table initializer
6. I/O buffer initializer
7. Type message, halt, and branch to 06400

A duplicator program is provided with the system. It will duplicate both the processor and subroutines.

## Adding Relocatable Subroutines

The name of the subroutine must be added to the last record of the processor. Its order number in that record is the subroutine $\#+1$. Subroutines must be written and processed in an SPS which produces card-image output e.g., 1620-1710 SPS. Subroutines must begin with DORG 5000. Flags on the zero and one positions of each instruction will cause the $P$ and $Q$ addresses respectively to be relocated. No other flags may be used in addresses to be relocated except for positions 6 and 11. Flags in those locations will generate proper indirect addresses.

To assemble a relocatable subroutine, delete all records from the SPS output except those containing information generated by program instructions; i.e., delete the loaders and tables. Then add header and trailer records as follows:

Header Record
XX (Subroutine No.) 62 blanks $0 \neq$
(Subroutine No. must be less than 23)
Trailer Records

1. Memory-capacity digit records

64 blanks $\overline{x x x x x}=$ (location of any digit which must be adjusted for memory size.
2. Subroutine length reoord
$\overline{\mathrm{X} x x x x}$ (length of subroutine - must be even) 57 blanks $\overline{0} 000 \neq$
Modifications of the System
The following modifications to the system may be incorporated at the user's discretion.

1. Compilation Errors

If the user prefers not to correct source program errors at compile time he may (I) ignore the erroneous statement by changing the digit in location 13241 of the processor to 9 , or (2) terminate compilation by changing the contents of locations 13241-6 to 909860.
2. Trace Format

To eliminate printing of the 4-digit address of the traced variable, change subroutine locations 02694-5, 02736-7 to 41.

To modify the Format for Fixed and Floating variable change the fields at 2327 and 2359 respectively to the desired specifications.
3. Plus Signs in Output

Plus signs are normally amitted in both tape and typewriter output. To obtain plus signs in output change the digit in location 03196 of the subroutines to $\overline{1}$ for floating output, change 04694 to $\overline{1}$ for fixed output.

## Object Time Error Modification

Any error condition message may be deleted by changing the carriage return and output instruction op-codes to 41 . The system now has a NOP instruction following each of the instructions which write error messages. These may be changed either to Halt instructions (48) or to branch to END (4902622) to terminate the program.

## V Error in the System

There is one known error in PDQ FORTRAN inherited from FORTRAN with FORMAT. The statements

$$
A=-B * * C \text { or }
$$

$$
A=-B * * I
$$

will compile as if they were
$A=(-B) * * C$ or
$\mathrm{A}=(-\mathrm{B}) * * \mathrm{I}$
To obtain the desired result, it is necessary to write
$A=-(B * * C)$ or
$A=-(B * * I)$
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## I THE CONCEPT OF SEX

SEX FORTRAN is a system based on, and largely identical to, PDQ FORTRAN for Paper Tape. To the best of the author's knowledge, no one presently disputes the claim that PDQ is the most efficient and powerful FORTRAN in existence for a poor man's 1620 ( 20 K , auto divide); this is a sufficient (though not entirely the actual) reason for taking PDQ as a starting point.

One reason for the writing of SEX FORTRAN is that any FORTRAN must be less than maximally efficient, and that many programmers are sophisticated enough to recognize and circumvent (e.g., by judicious patching) the inherent inefficiencies. In most systems, this requires the programmer to operate outside the language. SEX is designed to give this type of programmer a head start toward program efficiency by providing several new, frightfully nonstandard statements in the language, and by streamlining the treatment of parts of the standard FORTRAN language.

A second reason for SEX is that, in several instances at the author's installation, programs have appeared which have overlapped by several thousand locations - too much to permit a simple tightening of the source program, but small enough to suggest that there should be a reasonable way to squeeze them into core.

SEX provides an answer in two ways. First, for the programmer who does not wish to stray from conventional FORTRAN, any program in Olde FORTRAN, FO-004, UTO, or PDQ may simply be recompiled in SEX from the original source.* This will save from 3500 to perhaps 8000 locations on a large program, depending upon the system against which a comparison may be made. For example, any program requiring 25,000 locations in U1O, or about 23,500 in PDQ, will almost certainly fit a 20 K machine in SEX. The SEX object program begins in location 03100, and both the object program and the symbol table are generally shorter (never longer) than those generated by any of the above systems.

Second, for the benefit of the programmer who has outgrown the idea that the 1620 is a mysterious black box, the more powerful language of SEX will permit corners to be cut in the source program.

The other side of the question of efficiency is time. Exclusive of $I / O$, running time in SEX is slightly but not significantly faster than PDQ. The I/O subroutines process faster in SEX; actual time spent on the I/O operations depends in other systems on the format, which is rigidly fixed in SEX. Thus no direct comparison is possible. The author claims, however, that SEX in general does yield a faster as well as a shorter object program than any other system for this simple-minded machine configuration.

[^6]
## II THE TECHNIQUE OF SEX

The best way to permit a significantly longer object program is, of course, to remove unnecessary instructions from the Class A subroutines. Since the author did not wish to cripple any of the $P D Q$ arithmetic subroutines, the input-output routines (some 3500 locations) were discarded and replaced by a set of rather austere $I / O$ subroutines requiring only 350 locations. This is the greatest space-saving innovation in SEX, and it is from the two new statements corresponding to these routines (SELECT and EXTERNAL) that the system derives its name.

Accompanying the adoption of this new set of $I / O$ routines there are of course restrictions on data format. All numeric data must appear in excess-fifty or in lo-digit fixed-point representation (DIO format), one value per record. Alphameric data, in A5 format, may also be input or output if desired. Since all format (except typewriter control) is strictly defined, there are no FORMAT statements in the system (they will be accepted but ignored by the processor).

The arithmetic subroutines for fixed-point addition and subtraction have been eliminated. The add and subtract instructions now appear in line. This results in a saving of 30 locations in the Class A subroutines, at the expense of one instruction (12 locations) in the relocatable LOG subroutine.

Further, smaller savings of space were introduced by a slight change in the STOP routine and by the author's willingness to accept a somewhat questionable format for TRACE output (these are described in section IV - below).

The symbol table has been shortened in SEX by the elimination of fixedpoint constants (these now appear in line) and by ignoring FORMAT statement numbers. The adoption of the PDQ "continue" feature for IF statements makes possible the elimination of many statement numbers from the source program (and hence from the symbol table).

The object program itself is for the most part the same as that generated by PDQ (i.e., shorter than in any other system), with two exceptions: FORMAT statements are ignored, and fixed-point arithmetic statements of the form $I=I-J$ (where $J$ is any fixed-point expression) are compiled correctly by SHX, which saves one or two in-line instructions depending on the form of J .

In many programs, logic is determined by defining variables which function only as binary switches to be tested by the program. To eliminate the obvious waste of reserving 10 digits in the symbol table for each such creature, SEX provides a bank of ten single-digit SENSE LIGHMS, which are described in Section V.

For the sophisticated programmer, it is possible in SEX to save further space in cases which are beyond the limited psychic capabilities of the SEX processor. Provision is made for the programmer to patch his program at compile time. The HANG PATCH statement is discussed in Section $V$.

## III THE LANGUUGE OF SEX

The following is a list of permissible SEX statements. For the most part, these are the usual statements found in any 1620 FORTRAN, from FO-004 on up. Those which were introduced (or altered in meaning and/or treatment) by PDQ have been marked with a single asterisk. Statements marked with a double asterisk are peculiar to SEX.
A. Arithmetic and Data Transmission
$A=B$ op $C$ etc.

* MOVE n, A, B or
* MOVE n, A, B, m
B. Input/Output and Format
** SELECT, wxyz
** EXIERNNAL, List
ACCEPT n, List
PRTVPI n, List
PUNCH n, List
READ n, List
*TYPE n, List
FORMAT ( )
CONTROL n
C. Program Control and Logic

CONTINUE
GO TO n
GO TO $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{j}\right)$, i
Don i $=m_{1}, m_{2}$ or
DO $n i=m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$
** LIGHT i ON
** LIGHTS ON OFF

* IF (Expression) $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$
* IF (SENSE SWITCH i) $n_{1}, n_{2}$
** IF (SENSE LIGHT i) $n_{1}, n_{2}$
PAUSE
STOP or
STOP n

EXECUTE PROCEDURE $n$
BEGIIN PROCEDURE n

REITURN n

END PROCEDURE n
END
D. Declarative and Miscellaneous
** HANG PATCH

DIMENSION

* COMMON
* BEGIN TRACE
* END TRACE

All statements are interpreted and compiled as they are in PDQ FORTRAN except as herein noted.
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Most statements are handled by SEX as they are by PDQ. The exceptions:
A. ACCEPT, PRINT, PUNCH, READ, TYPE - each of these I/O statements is compiled in the "standard" manner, subject to the format restrictions of SEX; i.e., each generates a SELECT instruction (q.v.) defining the format that I have decreed to be the most "natural", followed by an EXIERNAL instruction for each list variable. AN I/O statement with no list (such as PRINT I) will generate (a) a SELECT instruction in the object program, and (b) a compilation error. The error routine allows the operator to leave the SELECT instruction in the object program or to overlay it with the next statement.

I/O continuation statements (as in PDQ) are accepted by the system.
B. FORMAT - the SEX processor will accept but ignore FORMAT statements and continuations (their statement numbers will not appear in the symbol table). All I/O defined by the statements listed in paragraph A must be numeric, in DIO format. Alphameric I/O (in A5 format) is also allowed but must be specified by a SELECT statement.
C. STOP or STOP $n$ - The only innovation here is the abbreviation of the printed message to $S$ NINON.
D. TRACE_- The format for trace output has been modified to $\bar{A} A A A A \bar{V} V V V V V V V V V$ for floating and $\bar{A} A A A A G G G G G \bar{G} V V V$ for fixed variables, where
$A$ is the address of the variable,
$V$ is the value of the variable, and
$G$ is whatever garbage may be in the accumulator.

The following is a description of the new statements mentioned in Section I.
A. SELECT, wxyz

This is the statement which defines format and controls all input and output. The arguments are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for numeric input } \\
1 & \text { for alpha input } \\
2 & \text { for numeric output } \\
3 & \text { for alpha output }\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{x}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for typewriter } \\
2 & \text { for paper-tape punch } \\
3 & \text { for paper-type reader }\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{y}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for space before first list item } \\
2 & \text { for carriage return before first list item } \\
3 & \text { for tab before first list item }\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{z}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for no control before first list item }\end{cases} \\
&=\text { same as y; applies to all items in list except the first. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The polypotent SELECT statement generates one instruction in line which sets up the I/O subroutines to handle the list variables in succeeding EXTERNAL statements. The arguments corresponding to "standard" I/O statements are:

```
ACCEPT - 0123
PRINT - 2123
PUNCH - 2200
READ - 0300
TYPE - 2133
```

B. EXTERNAL, List

This statement generates, for each list variable, an instruction to input or output the variable as specified by the last SELECT statement encountered. As an example of the use of SEIECT and EXIERNAL statements, consider the following two programs:

| C | PROGRAM I (PDQ) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | READ 1506*, A, B, C |
|  | PRTNT 1506, A, B, C |
| C | FORMAT (DIO, 5X, DIO, 5X, D10) |
|  | END |
|  | PROGRAM II (SEX) |
|  | SELECT, 0300 |
|  | EXIERNAL, A, B, C |
|  | SELECT, 2123 |
|  | EXTERNAL, A, B, C |
|  | END |

The operation of these programs is identical (in fact, the SEX object programs generated would be identical). In Program II, the first SELECT statement tells the $I / O$ subroutines that data are to be read numerically ( $w=0$ ) from paper tape ( $x=3$ ), with no typewriter carriage control ( $y=z=0$ ). The first EXTERNAL statement causes the values of 3 variables to be read and stored. The second SELECT tells the subroutines to write numeric ( $w=2$ ) on the typewriter ( $x=1$ ) with a carriage return preceding the first item on each EXIERRNAL list ( $y=2$ ) and a tab preceding each succeeding item ( $z=3$ ). The final EXTERNAL statement causes the values of the list variables to be read from storage and output as specified.
C. LIGHPI I ON (or OFF)

The function of this statement is obvious except for the definition of the symbol $i$, which can be either a single digit ( 0 through 9 ) or the name

[^7]of a vari』ble presumably (though not necessarily) defined elsewhere. If a variable, the light affected will be that corresponding to the low-order digit of the value of the variable at the time this statement is executed. If the variable is fixed-point, this will be the units digit. Use of a floating point variable in this statement is permissible, sometimes useful, and usually dangerous. The sign of the variables will have no effect unless the program is being run with IA turned on, in which case the author disclaims responsibility.

Example: The sequence
$Q=0.0$
LIGHTP Q ON
DO 1 IDIOT $=6903,6907,2$
1 LIGHT IDIOT ON
LIGHT 9 ON
will cause lights $0,3,5,7$, and 9 to be turned on, in that order.
Loading the subroutines to start the program will reset all lights OFF. If any light is turned on, it may be turned off again only by means of an OFF statement; interrogating a light does NOT turn it off.
D. LIGHTS ON (or OFF)

This statement simultaneously sets (or resets) all 10 lights.
E. IF (SENSE LIGHT i) $n_{1}, n_{2}$

As in the ON and OFF statements, i may be the name of a variable; as in other IF statements, one (or both) of the statement numbers may be omitted. If the light referenced is on, the program branches to $n_{1}$; if off, to $n_{2}$.

If both statement numbers are omitted AND i is the name of a variable, the object program may not run properly.

The continuation feature for all IF statements has been modified from PDQ; the letter $C$ for the continuation may be replaced by anything (or nothing) neither beginning with a numeric digit nor including a comma. This should be of great value to those of us who delight in writing fudge recipes and/or bad poetry in our programs.
F. HANG PATCH

This statement allows a machine-language patch to be entered at compilation. When a HANG PATCH statement is encountered, the SEX processor transfers control to the typewriter to accept the patch, the idea being that the map listing being produced will make the necessary addresses available.

Two of the more immediately obvious uses of this statement are for alpha output (such as column headings) and for direct branches within the object program to avoid using symbol-table space for statement numbers.

A few words of caution are in order:
(1) normally, no record mark should appear in the patch. In this case, the last digit entered at the typewriter will be the last digit inserted into the object program;
(2) if a record mark with no flag appears in the patch, it will go into the object program as the last digit of the patch, and anything following the record mark will be ignored;
(3) if a flagged record mark appears in the patch, the preceding digit will be assumed to be the last digit of the patch;
(4) the processor will accept unflinchingly a patch with an odd number of digits. Care is advised.

The processor occupies locations 00100-17480, permitting 252 entries in the symbol table. The Class A subroutines end in location 03099. Relocatable subroutines are stolen directly from PDQ (q.v.).

Errors detected at compile time are for the most part the same as those of PDQ, UTO, etc. The routine for handling them is a bit different. When an error is detected by the processor, a message is typed and the machine halts. If Switch 2 is turned on and the START key depressed, the carriage will return and a corrected statement may be entered at the typewriter. If Switch 2 is off when START is depressed, the erroneous statement will be ignored and the next statement will be read in.

This error routine and the existence of the HANG PATCH statement make it advisable for the programmer to be available at compile time. Simple patches are provided with the system to permit changing these procedures for closed-shop operation.

Except as noted here, operation of SEX is the same as with PDQ. Details and instructions are provided with the system.

THE ART OF DEBUGGING
E. J. Orth, Jr. Southern Services, Inc. Birmingham, Alabama
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E. J. Orth, Jr. Southern Services, Inc. 600 North 18th Street
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

This talk is based on experiences in writing and debugging large FORTRAN II programs at the Computer Center at Southern Services, Inc., in Birmingham, Alabama. As such, it is the sum total of the experiences of several people. Although specific reference will be to FORTRAN II oriented debugging, the techniques may be applied to any system. No claims are made for new ideas. Rather it is hoped that this representation of old ideas in what is hopefully a new framework will be useful to all concerned.

FORTRAN II provides two types of trace: An equals trace, and a logical trace of sorts. The equals trace prints the result of each arithmetic statement; the logical trace prints the results of computations specified within an IF statement. The normal output mode is the typewriter; however, an object time modification described in The 1620 Users Group Newsletter, Vol. 1, No. 2, allows conversion to punch mode. The normal FCRTRAN trace will be called the micro trace in the rest of this discussion.

A long program involving many computations would require reams of micro trace to reach a bug occuring late in the program. The following techniques may be used to simplify the problem:

1. The subprogram concept
2. The PAUSE N statement
3. A special debugging subprogram.

The Subprogram Concept
Subprograms can materially cut the volume of a one for one trace. After a subprogram is checked out, it may be compiled in the no trace mode, thus eliminating all trace output from that subprogram at mainline debug time.

Subprograms need not be restricted to repetitive routines. Sections of the mainline logic may be checked out and CALLed as a subprogram.

The PAUSE N Statement
Use of this statement at strategic points in the program, each with a unique $N$, will allow the programmer to step through his program observing $N$ in OR2. When a trouble spot is reached, trace may be initiated. Thus the PAUSE $N$ statement allows an awkward type of macro trace.

A Special Debugging Subprogram
A more useful type of macro trace may be provided by a single argument subprogram whose function is to type and punch "STNO I" (where $I$ is the argument) and pause. Type/punch of "STNO I" would indicate that the program is about to execute statement number I. Such a subprogram may be CALLed at the beginning of each main logical block. The argument would be the statement number of that logical block. Let us call this subprogram STNO.

The utility of STNO may be expanded by addition of a dump routine such as No. 1620-01.6.093. This dump routine is in the form of a library function, and is relocated from the subroutine deck by $J=\operatorname{DUMP}(\mathrm{J})$. J , the argument, is an integer of four digits, and specifies the section of core to be dumped. For instance if J is 1214, all core from 12000 thru 13999 will be dumped in $5 / 75$ format. With the proper argument, the library function DUMP may be used to take snapshots of selected portion of core such as the symbol table or the common storage area, on up to all of core.

As a final refinement, both the STNO subprogram and the use of the DUMP routine within that program may be placed under console switch control. Thus, the entire subprogram may be bypassed, or only the DUMP routine bypassed. See flow chart in appendix B. The STNO debugging tool may now be used as follows:

1. A macro trace of the logic through the panch/type "STNO In
2. Selected core dumps
3. Micro traces as desired, selected through CS4 at the proper "STNO I" type.
If punched micro trace is used, the punched "STNO I" will allow the programmer to readily find his place. Punched micro trace is most definitely recommended to save computer time.

If an on-line printer is available, read print for punch in the above.
The usefulness of selective core dumps cannot be overemphasized. A prime example concerns matric manipulation. A snapshot of the work area before and after completion of a matric operation may be compared to the micro trace of a FORTRAN statement. Indeed, a subprogram such as STNO incorporating some sort of dump routine is absolutely necessary in programs involving matrix algebra. STNO and DUMP are to matrix algebra as the FORTRAN micro trace is to arithmetic statements.

Another Use of DUMP
The following sequence may be placed at the very beginning of a program during debug time to allow variable length core snapshots at will.

1 FORMAT (I4)
IF (SENSE SWITCH 4) 2,3
2 ACCEPTI, I $I=D U M P(I)$
PAUSE 11111
3 CONTINUE
Flow Charting and Coding
Certain simple documentation practises will speed debugging. For instance, each page of the flow chart should be numbered. It is most useful if each numbered statement concerned with the logic on a given page use the flow chart page number as a hundreds digit.

Each logic block on the flow chart should be cross-indexed to the FORTRAN source program by statement number. That is, the number of the first statement in a block should appear above that block on the flow chart.

The obvious utility of comment cards need not be belabored here.

The FORTRAN statement STOP N is most useful as an error trap. Each N should be unique, and a table of $N$, statement number, and type of error should be prepared. STOP N should be used to trap unexpected, illogical conditions. For instance in testing a variable in an IF statement: If the variable may be positive or zero but never negative, use STOP N on negative. Programmed switches and the computed GO TO are very convenient. When testing a set of switches, STOP N may be used to trap theoretically impossible conditions. The only cost is the statement number, and the STOP statement. The STOP N statements may be removed when the program is debugged and no errors will occur.

## Conclusion

Some sort of disciplined debugging procedure mast be adopted in order to assure optimum utilization of programmers, operators, and equipment. If we are to grow in our profession, we must adopt and submit to this discipline. The days of bit chasing and typewriter core dumps are fast disappearing. We must be ready to program and debug without touching or seeing a computer. A painful thought? Yes. But have you heard of System 360?

APPENDIX A

When a card punched as below indicated is LOADED after a FORTRAN II object deck is loaded, all micro trace will be in the punched mode. The output may be listed $80-80$ on the 407, with a card taped over printwheels N thru 80 to block printing of garbage. $N=f f+3$ or $k k+1$, whichever is greater. ff is floating precision; kk is fixed precision.

Procedure: Reset, LOAD this card. Typewriter will print "PCH TRACE MODE". Then branch to beginning of object program.

| 16 | 06701 | $000 \overline{41}$ | Replace RCTY with NOP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 06733 | 00004 | Replace WNTY with WNCD |
| 15 | 06753 | 00004 | Replace WNTY with WNCD |
| 39 | 00051 | 00100 | Print "PCH TRACE MODE" |
| 48 | 57434 | 80063 |  |
| 59 | 41434 | 50054 |  |
| 56 | 44450 | $\neq$ |  |

In most cases it is desirable to permanently modify the processor to punch trace.

## APFENDIX B

One possible configuration of the STNO subprogram is shown. In this version, CSI controls type/punch of "STNO I" and CS 2 controls DUMP.


These may be removed after debug time.

## SAMP

## Search and Memory Print

Jack M. Wolfe
Brooklyn College
Brooklyn, New York
(S A M P) SEARCH AND MEMORY PRINT
Jack M. Wolfe Brooklyn College, Brooklyn 10, N. Y. (Usor \#1026)

## DESGRIPTION

This utility program is available from the 1620 General Program Library under \# 1.6.100. It can be used as an aid in debugging machine language programs in which the successive instruction locations are stopped up uniformly be 12 and in which the instructions are not interspersed with record areas. If these conditions do not prevail for the ontire program, however, this utility program can be applied separately to each individual portion of the program for which these conditions are satisfied.

The memory print part of this program will write out on the typewriter any selected portion of the memory in either instruction format or data format. In instruction format the typeout contains the location, the OP code, the P-address and the Qaddross, appropriately spaced for ease of reading. Flags and record marlos are typed out. Rach instruction is typed on now line.

In data format each line contains the location and the contents of the ten memory positions starting at that address. Because the error in the program will ofton become apparent before the completion of the memory print, the typeout, whether in instruction or data format, can be terminated orderly by program switch control at any time in the processing.

The search part of this program may be particularly useful when the program being run stops on an invalid operation code due to a branch to a location that is actually not the beginning of an instruction. By displaying IRI and subtracting 12 from that address, we know the address of the instruction with the invalid OP code. The SEARGH can then be used to search the instructions part of the program boing debugged for all branching instructions whose P-part is the address of the instruction with the invalid OP code. The SEARCH will type out the locations and the instructions of all conditional and unconditional branches to that address. It takes indirect addressing into account to one levol when an indirect address appears as the p-part of a branching instruction.

As a by-product of the search, the program types out all famediate instructions found without a flag in the first four positions of the Q-part of the instruction, except, of course, for the OP code 15. The locations of the typed instructions are also typed out.

Sometimes it will be found that the search is completed without finding any branching instruction that could have caused the program to branch to the address being sought. This may be due to the fact that a branch might hare been made to a location that is 12 positions lower than the address where the program hung up. If the 12 digits beginning at that address constituted an executable instruction, the computer would not have hung up until the following instruction was reached. Thus it is desirable to perform a memory print starting several instruction lengths before the address where the program hung up. This will reveal whether a branching might have taken place to an address preceding the address of the invalid $O P$ code. With this information, the SEARCH can be planed more effectively. Quite often, moreover, the memory print itself will reveal the actual error and the SEARCH will then be made only when it is apparent that the program could hare reached the invalid OP code only by branching to it.or to an instruction preceding it.

STORAGE
This utility program itself occupies memory positions 37400-39999.
The following changes in the source program, which is written in SPS, will enable the program to be relocated for a 20K memory.


For modification for 20K, positions 19995-19999 must be available.

## gQuIp:INT

Indirect addressing-
Without automatic divide.
If automatic divide hardware is present, change the second operand of source card 02270 from 18 to 20 . If the present object deck is available, change colum s $35-36$ from $\overline{18}$ to $\overline{20}$ on card 15 .

## (S A M P) continued

## OPIWRATING INB TRUCTIORS

For the most part the operating instructions are conveyod by typewritten messages. The SAMP object deck may be loaded initially anil branched to when needed. If, however, an orror in the program to be debugged deatroys the utility program in memory, it can be reloaded readily; it contains 70 cards.

SOURGE PROGRAK AND WRITEMUP
The source program, write-up, and illustrative output appear in the material available from the 1620 General Program Library as 1.6.100.
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## INTRODUCTION

Fortran (FORmula TRANslation) is a popular computer language because it may be used without knowing the internal operations of the computer. A major drawback of the Fortran language, however, is the difficulty in finding program errors when they occur.

In processing a Fortran language program a table containing the storage addresses for calculated or constant values is produced. This table is known as a symbol table. The table can be a good aid in locating errors in a program because the values in question can be looked at and inferences made as to the causes of the difficulties.

Since the time required to type out the entire symbol table is relatively long, it is desirable to have the symbol table punched onto cards and then listed, perhaps, on an I.B.M. 407 machine. This makes the time required to obtain the table negligible, and the operation, therefore, more desirable.

Programs for punching the table have been mentioned in the 1620 USERS GROUP NEWSLETTER but these programs do not include all of the possible information that could be punched. In addition to the information punched out by these programs it is possible to provide the program execution phase addresses for any numbered fortran statement, or format type statement. With this additional information, statements can be referenced without necessarily referring to a program listing for the information.

To accomplish this, two programs have been developed, one of which is compatible with the I.B.M., U.T.O. Fortran systems, and the other with the P.D.Q.

Fortran system. These programs will provide the symbol table on cards, at the computer's card punch speed, in a format that is easy to read and that contains the maximum amount of information available.

## DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS

## I.B.M., U.T.O. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH

The symbol table, described above, is generated during the processing of the Fortran source program and is not complete until the entire source program has been processed. This makes it necessary to load the symbol table punch program after the processing of the source program has been completed. In general it is advisable to use the Fortran Subroutine Deck during the compilation phase rather than during the object deck execution phase. This is mandatory for the U.T.O. version, optional for the I.B.M. versions. The U.T.O. processor will automatically clear the symbol table storage area if the subroutines are not used during the compilation of the source program.

## P.D.Q. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH

This program, like the program for the U.T.O. version, requires that the subroutines be used at the source deck compilation phase, since the symbol table storage area is also cleared if the subroutines are not used during this phase.

The original P.D.Q. system was developed with a symbol table punch routine incorporated within the CLT2 processor. The routine that was used will punch the table in a numeric form, which, besides being very difficult to read, destroys the area for the program discussed here. The routine used by the P.D.Q. processor is governed by switch two on the 1620 console. If switch two is off then the source program listing and
the symbol table will be punched on cards. It is desirable to have the program listing on cards because of the time saved, but it is also desirable to eliminate the symbol table punch routine used in the original P.D.Q. system. If the "branch control switch two" instruction in the symbol table routine is changed to an "unconditional branch" then it will be possible to retain the program listing on cards and eliminate the symbol table punch routine.

The above change would be necessary in the P.D.Q.-CLT2 processor only. In the P.D.Q. documentation from the 1620 USERS GROUP LIBRARY, page 193, line number 7552 is the instruction which reads "BC2 EXIT." This instruction should be changed to read "B EXIT."

## OPERATING THE PROGRAMS

1. Compile source program.
2. Place symbol table punch program in card reader and press LOAD button on the reader.
3. Press START on the card punch.
4. Press START on the 1620 console when machine returns to a manual mode.
5. When punching is completed, remove cards from the card punch and list on an I.B.M. 407 machine with an 80-80 board.

PROCESSING TIME
The approximate computer time necessary to accomplish the symbol table punch is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Execution time } & =(A \times B)+20 \text { seconds } \\
\text { where } A & =\text { number of symbols } \\
\text { and } B & =\text { card punch speed of the computer }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ADAPTATION TO OTHER THAN 20 K MACHINES

It is necessary to change the instruction labeled "DOIT" in both programs for machines having memory packages larger than 29 K .

The instruction reads: "DOIT TFM INFAD, 19999." For a 40K machine the $Q$ portion must be changed to read "39999", for 60K it would be "59999", et cetera.


## I.B.M., U.T.O. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH PROGRAM LISTING


6388
6400
6408
6408
6420
6432
6444
6456
6468
6476
6476
6438
6500
6512
6524
6536
6548
6560
6568
6568
6580
6592
6604
6616
ós24
6624
6636
6648
6660
6672
6684
6696
6708
6716
6716
6728
6740
6752
6764
6776
6788
6800
6808
I.B.M., U.T.O. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH PROGRAM LISTING (CONT'D)
6808
6820
6832
6844
6856
6868
6880
6892
6904
6916

6928
6940
6952
6964
6976
6988
7000
7012
7024
7032

7

24 READ 93,K
SET THE COUNTER N EQUAL TO K+1
N=K+1
READ IN CONSTANT VALUES COLUMN BY COLUMN UNTIL MATRIX IS FULL.
THIS MEANS READ ALL X VALUES, ALL Y VALUES AND SO FORTH.
DO 26 M=1,K
DO 25 J=1,K
25 READ 94,R(J,M)
26 CONTINUE
DO LOOP NUMBER 18 CONTROLS THE INVERSION OF THE MATRIX. L GIVES
THE ROW WHICH IS OF MAIN INTEREST AT ANY PARTCULAR TIME.
DO 18 L=1,K
DO LOOP NUMBER 3 SETS THE LAST COLUMN IN ALL ROWS EQUAL TO ZERO
DO 3 J=1,K
3R(J,N)=0.
THE STATEMENT R(L,N)=1\bullet PUTS A VALUE OF I IN THE LAST COLUMN
OF THE ROW WHICH IS EQUAL TOL.
R(L,N)=1.
DO LOOP NUMBER 43 CAUSES ALL VALUES FROM COLUMN ONE OF ALL ROWS
TO BE TRANSFERED TO A STORAGE AREA CALLED W.
DO 43 J=1,K
43W(J)=R(J,1)
DO LOOP NUMBER 2 CHECKS FOR VALUES OF ZERO IN COLUMN ONE OF ALL
ROWS, FINDS THEIR RECIPROCALS AND STORES THESE IN RCP.
DO 2 J=1,K
IF(R(J.1))1,61,1
6 1 R R C P ( j ) = 0 . ~
GO TO 2
1 RCP(J)=1./R(J,I)
2 CONTINUE

```

\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM * 1 LISTING (CONTD)}

DO LOOP NUMBERS 5 AND 4 CAUSE ALL COLUMNS OF EACH ROW TO BE AO7926 MULTIPLIED BY THEIR RESPECTIVE RCP VALUES• ROWS WITH A RCP A07926 VALUE OF ZERO WILL NOT BE MULTIPIED.

A07926
A07926
DO \(5 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
A07926
DO \(4 M=1, N\)
A07938
IF(RCP(J))6,4,6
A07950
\(6 R(J, M)=R(J, M) * R C P(J)\)
4 CONTINUE
5 CONTINUE
DO LOOP NUMBERS 15 AND 14 SUBTRACT THE ROW WHICH IS EQUAL TO L FROM ALL OTHER ROWS IN THE MATRIX.

DO \(15 \quad \mathrm{M}=1, \mathrm{~N}\)
A08030

DO \(14 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
IF (L-J) 13,14,13
\(13 R(J, M)=R(J, M)-R(L, M)\)
14 CONTINUE
15 CONTINUE
DO LOOP NUMBERS 12 AND 7 CAUSES ALL COLUMNS OF EACH ROW TO BE'
multiplied ey the value which were originally in columin one.
A08210
A08246
A08282
A08282
A08282
A08282
A08282
A08294
A08306
A08374
A08590
A08626
A08662
A08662
A08662
A08662
A08662
A08662
A08662
A08674
A08686
IF (L-J) \(8,7,8\)
\(8 \operatorname{IF}(W(J)) 11,7,11\)
\(11 R(J, M)=R(J, M) * W(J)\)
7 CONTINUE
12 CONTINUE
DO LOOP NUMBERS 17 AND 16 CAUSE COLUMN ONE IN EACH ROW TO BE
A08754
A08834
A09014
A09050
A09086
REPLACED BY THE VALUES IN COLUMN TWO. COLUMN TWO BY COLUMN THREE AND SO FORTH.

DO \(17 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
DO \(16 \quad M=1, K\)
\(16 R(J, M)=R(J, M+I)\)
17 CONTINUE
A09086
A09086
A09086
A09086
A09086
A09098
A09110
A09290
A09326
GTATEMENT NIJMBER 18 IS THE LAST STATEMENT OF THE MATRIX INVERSION A09326 KU.UTINE• IF L EQUALS K THEN INVERSION IS COMPLETE.

A09326
A09326
18 CONTINUE
AO9326
A09362
DO LOOP NUMBER 19 READS CONSTANTS FOR EACH EQUATION INTO THE. LAST AO9362
COLUMN OF THE MATRIX. A09362
A09362
DO \(19 J=1, K\)
(い) READ \(94, R(J, N)\)
A09362
A09374
A09494
DO LOOP NUMBER 20 WILL ZEROIZE RCP STORAGE AREA FOR STORING SUMS A09494

\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM * 1 LISTING (CONTיD)}

IN MATRIX MULTIPLICATION.
DC \(20 J=1, K\)
\(20 \operatorname{RCP}(J)=0\) 。
DO LOOP NUMBERS 22 AND 21 CAUSE MATRIX MULTIPICATION AND SUMMING INTO RCP FOR EACH ROW TO BE PERFORMED.

DO \(22 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
DO \(21 \mathrm{M}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
\(21 R C P(J)=(R(J, M) * R(M, N))+R C P(J)\)
22 CONTINUE

HEADER . CARD IS NOW PUNCHED FOR OUTPUT.
PUNCH 91
DO LOOP NUMBER 23 CAUSES THE UNKNOWN NUMBER AND THE VALUE OF THE UNKNOWN TO BE PUNCHED.

DO \(23 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~K}\)
PUNCH 95,J.RCP(J)
23 CONTINUE

NEXT STATEMENT TYPES PROBLEM COMPLETED STATEMENT.
TYPE 92
PROGRAM STOPS FOR OPERATOR AT THIS POINT.
PAUSE
IF THERE IS ANOTHER PROBLEM THEN IT IS DESIRED TO READ IN A NEW VALUE OF UNKNOWNS AND A NEW MATRIX.

GO TO 24
HEADER CARD FORMAT IS NEXT
91 FORMAT (3OHUNKNOWN NUMBER UNKNOWN VALUE/)
PROBLEM COMPLETED MESSAGE FORMAT IS NEXT.
92 FORMAT (42HPROBLEM COMPLETED• PUSH START FOR NEW RUN.1)
UNKNOWN VALUE FORMAT IS NEXT•
93 FORMAT(I2)
INPUT FOR VALUES ON UNKNOWNS FORMAT IS NEXT.
94 FORMAT(F10.8)
FORMAT FOR OUTPUT VALUES IS NEXT.

A09494 A09494 A09494 A09506 A09590 A09590
A09590
A09590
A09590
A09602
A09614
A09866
A09902
A09902
A09902
A09902
A09914
A09914
A09914
A09914
A09914
A09926
A09986
A10022
A10022
A 10022
A 10022
A10034
A10034
A10034
A 10034
A 10046
A10046
A 10046
A 10046
A 10046
A 10054
A 10054
Al 0054
Al0054
A 10144
A 10144
A10144
A10144
A 10258
A10258
A10258
A10258
A10280
A10280
A10280
A10280
A 10302
A 10302
A10302

\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM * 1 LISTING (CONTיD)}
```

95 FORMAT (5XI2,10XF11 . 5)
END

```

\section*{symbol table listing of problem *}


\section*{SYMBOL TABLE LISTING OF PROBLEM * 1 (CONT'D)}
```

002009506
002209866
0021 09614
0091 10054
OO1
009510302
009210144
A:

```

\section*{P.D.Q. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH PROGRAM LISTING}


\section*{P.D.Q. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH PROGRAM LISTING (CONT'D)}
6424
6432
6432
6444
6456
6468
6480
6492
6500
6500
6512
6524
6536
6548
6560
6572
6584
6592
6592
6604
6616
6528
6540
6548
6548
6560
6672
6684
6696
6708
6720
6732
6740
6740
6752
6764
6776
6788
6800
6812
6824
6832

\(1207212000 \theta 1\) \(44065000721 z\) 140720 z 00999 460705601200 7307321 0720z 490666000000
\(1207212000 \theta 1\)
\(44066480721 z\)
120721200001 \(43065920721 z\) 120721200001 7307277 0720z \(73072890721 z\) 490666000000

120721200001
\(120721 z 000 \theta 6\)
\(73073110721 z\) \(73072990720 z\) 490666000000

330600760000
260721207202
440674006007
390721500400
120720200040
120720700070
260721207202
490603600000
26072470720 z
320600700000
150721200005
120721200040
\(44066840721 z\)
\(1107212000 \theta 1\) \(44068320721 z\)
490668400000

00550
00560
00570
00580
00590
00600
00610
00620
00630
00640
00650
00660
00670
00680
00690
00700
00710
00720
00730
00740
00750
00760
00770
00780
00790
00800
00810
00820
00830
00840
00850
00860
00870
00880
00890
00900
00910
00920
00930
00940
00950
00960
970

\section*{00980}

00990
01000
01010
01020
01030
01040
01050
01060
01070
01080
01090


\section*{P.D.Q. SYMBOL TABLE PUNCH PROGRAM LISTING (CONT'D)}


\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM * 2 LISTING}

06600 06600 06600 - 6600

06600
06600
06600
06612
06624
06636
06648
06650
06672
06684
06696
06708
06720
06744
06756
07020
07056
07076
07088
07352
07388
07388
07400
07616
07832
08048
08156
08348
08504
08612
08720
08876
09032
09092
09152
09212
09272
59332
99392
07596
07872
J0112
J0172
\(J 0232\)
10292
\(J 0328\)
J0424
\(J 0526\) J. 616 J0712 J0808

WHERRY TRANSFORM EQUATIUN FOR EQUATING SUBGROUPSZ
DIMENSION CASES(9),SUMX(9),SUMX2(9),SUMY(9),SUMY2(9),SUMXY(9)Z DIMENSION EXX(9), EYY(9), EXY(9), BETA(9), ALPHA(9), R(9), XNEAN(9) DIMLNSION YMEAN(9),STDVX(9),SXTR(9),SX2TR(9),SXYTR(9)Z DINENSION BETA3(9), ALPH3(9), FMNX(9), ESDX(9),STDVY(9), ID(9)

EIGN=0.
\(S S X=0\).
\(S S \times 2=0\).
SSY=0.
SSYZ \(=0\).
\(S S X Y=0\).
\(X 2 T R=0\).
\(X Y T R=0\).
\(X T R=0\) 。
PUNCH 2022
READ 201,KZ
DO \(3 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
READ 103,ID(I), CASES(I),SUMX(I),SUMX2(I),SUMY(I),SUMY2(I),SUMXY(I)
3 CONTINUEZ
IF (SENSE SWITCH 2.)4,5Z
4 DO \(6 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
TYPE 103,ID(I), CASES(I), SUMX(I), SUMX2(I), SUMY(I), SUNY2(I),SUMXY(I)
6 CONTINUEZ
5 CONTINUEZ
DO I I \(=1, K Z\)

\(E Y Y(I)=(\operatorname{CASES}(I) * \operatorname{SUMY} 2(I))-(\operatorname{SUMY}(I) * \operatorname{SUMY}(I)) Z\)
FXY(I) \(=(\operatorname{CASES}(I) * \operatorname{SUMXY}(I))-(\operatorname{SUMXX}(I) * S!M Y(I)) Z\)
GETA(I) =EXY(I)/EXX(I)Z
\(\operatorname{ALPHA}(I)=(S U M Y(I)-(B E T A(I) * S U M X(I))) / C A S E S(I) Z\)
\(R(I)=E X Y(I) / S G R T F(E X X(I) * E Y Y(I)) Z\)
XMEAN(I) \(=\) SUMX (I)/CASES(I)Z
YMEAN(I) \(=\operatorname{SUMY}(I) / C A S E S(I) Z\)
STDVX(I) \(=\) SQRTF(EXX(I) /(CASES(I)*CASES(I))) Z
STOVY(I) =SGRTF(EYY(I)/(CASES(I)*CASES(I)))Z
©IGN=BIGN+CASES(I)Z
SSX \(=\) SSX S SUMX(I) \(Z\)
SS \(\times 2=\) SS \(\times 2+\) SUMX2(I) \(Z\)
\(S S Y=S S Y+S U M Y(I) Z\)
SSYZ \(=\) SSY \(2+\) SUNY2 (I) \(Z\)
SSXY = SSXY + SUMXY(I)Z
SXTR(I) =(BETA(I)*SUMX(I)) +(CASES(I)*ALPHA(I)) Z
SX2TR(I) = ( (BETA(I)*BETA(I)*EXX(I)) +(SUMY(I)*SUMY(I)))/CASES(I)Z
\(\operatorname{SXYTR}(I)=(\operatorname{BETA}(I) * E X Y(I))+(\operatorname{SUMY}(I) * S U M Y(I))) / C A S F S(I) Z\)
\(X 2 T R=X 2 T R+S X 2 T R(I) Z\)
\(X Y T R=X Y T R+S X Y T R(I) Z\)
\(X T R=X T R+S X T R(I) Z\)
1 CONTINUEZ
\(E X Y T R=(B I G N * X Y T R)-(S S Y * X T R) Z\)
\(E X X T R=(B I G N * X 2 T R)-(X T R * X T R) Z\)
\(E Y Y T=(B I G N * S S Y 2)-(S S Y * S S Y) Z\)
\(E X X T=(B I G N * S S X 2)-(S S X * S S X) Z\)
\(E X Y T=(B I G N * S S X Y)-(S S X * S S Y) Z\)
\(R T=E X Y T / S Q R T F(E X X T * E Y Y T) Z\)

\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM \# 2 LISTING (CONTיD)}

J0868
\(J 0928\)
J J988
\(J 1048\)
J1084
11120
\(J 1156\)
\(J 1216\)
\(J 1228\)
J1312
\(J 1408\)
\(J 1552\)
11660
\(J 1696\)
11708
11720
\(J 1732\)
\(J 1996\)
- 2032

J2116
\(J 2128\)
12140
\(J 2152\)
J2416
J2452
J 2464
\(J 2476\)
\(J 2488\)
\(J 2500\)
\(J 2728\)
\(J 2764\)
J2776
J2788
J 2800
\(J 3064\)
\(J 3100\)
J3184
\(J 3196\)
J3208
\(J 3220\)
J3484
J3520
J3604
J 3640
\(J 3712\)
13872
J3924
\(J 4000\)
\(J 4084\)
14220
J4272
\(J 4300\)
14384
\(J 4576\)
\(R T R=E X Y T R / S Q R T F(E X X T R * E Y Y T) Z\)
SDTX=SQRTF (EXXT/(BIGN*BIGN)) Z
SDTRX \(=\) SQRTF (EXXTR/(BIGN*BIGN) \() ~ Z\)
TMNX \(=S S X / B I G N Z\)
TRIMNX \(=X T R / B I G N Z\)
BETAZ \(=\) SDT \(X /\) SDTRXZ
ALPHZ \(=\) TMNX-(BFTA2*TRMNX)Z
DO \(2 I=1, K Z\)
BETA3 (I) \(=\mathrm{BETA} 2 * B E T A(I) Z\)
ALPH3(I) \(=(\) BETA \(2 * A L P H A(I))+A L P H 2 Z\)
\(\operatorname{EMNX}(I)=(B E T A 3(I) * X \operatorname{MEAN}(I))+\operatorname{ALPH} 3(I) Z\)
\(\operatorname{ESDX}(I)=B E T A 3(I) * S T D V X(I) Z\)
2 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH 1012
PUNCH 1022
DO \(31 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
PUNCH103, ID(I), CASES(I),SUMX(I),SUMX2(I),SUMY(I),SUMY2(I),SUMXY(I)
31 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH 104, BIGN,SSX,SSX2,SSY,SSY2,SSXYZ
PUNCH 105 Z
PUNCH 1062
DO \(32 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
PUNCHIOT,ID(I), CASES(I), XMEAN(I), YMEAN(I),STDVX(I),STDVY(I),R(I)Z
32 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH \(108 Z\)
PUNCH 1092
PUNCH 111 Z
DO \(33 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
PUNCH 112,ID(I), EXX(I), EYY(I), EXY(I),ALPHA(I),BETA(I)
33 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH 1132
PUNCH 102 Z
DO \(34 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
PUNCHIO3, ID (I), CASES(I),SXTR(I),SX2TR(I), SUMY(I), SUMY2(I), SXYTR(I)
34 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH 104,BIGN, XTR, X2TR,SSY,SSY2, XYTRZ
PUNCH 1142
PUNCH 1152
DO \(35 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{KZ}\)
PUNCH116, ID(I), XMEAN(I),STDVX(I), EMNX(I), ESDX(I), ALPH3(I), BETA3(I)
35 CONTINUEZ
PUNCH 117,TMNX,SDTX,TRMNX,SDTRX,ALPH2,BETA2Z
PUNCH 118,RT,RTRZ
101. FORMAT ( \(2 \times 18\) HORIGINAL DATA SUMS/IZ

102 FORMAT (7HGRP. N, \(6 \times 4\) HSUMX, \(9 \times 5\) HSUMX \(2,6 \times 4\) HSUMY, \(6 \times 5\) HSUMYY \(2,9 \times 5\) HSUMIXY/)
103 FORMAT(I3,F6•0,F11•2,F14•2,F9.0,F12.0,F14.2)Z
104 FORMAT (/3HSUM,F6.0,F11.2,F14.2,F9.0,F12.0,F14.2//IZ
105 FORMAT ( \(15 \times 24\) HORIGINAL DATA STATISTICS/)Z
106 FORMAT (43HGRP. N MEAN X MEAN Y ST.DEV•X ST•OEV.Y, TH R(XY)/IZ
107 FORMAT(I3,F6.0.3F8.3,F9.3,F9.4)Z
108 FORMAT (//)Z
109 FORMAT ( \(20 \times 24\) HORIGINAL DATA STATISTICS/)Z
111 FORMAT (4HGRP., \(7 \times 3 \mathrm{HLXX}, 12 \times 3 \mathrm{HLYY}, 11 \times 3 \mathrm{HLXY}, 5 \times 5\) HALPHA, \(6 \times 4\) HBETA/)Z
112 FORMAT(I3,3F14•0,2F10.3)Z
113 FORMAT(//15×33HTRANSFORM TO SLOPE=1, INTERCEPT=0/)Z

\section*{SAMPLE PROBLEM * 2 LISTING (CONT'D)}
.14688
.14776 .14914
\(J 4966\) \(J 5042\) \(J 5156\) J5178 J5306
```

114 FORMAT(8X8HORIGINAL, 7X11HTRANSFORMED/)Z
115 FORMAT(43HGRP.MEAN X ST.DEV.X MEAN X ST.DEV.X ALPHA, }4\times4HBETA/)
116 FORMAT(I3,4F8.3,2FG.4)Z
11.7 FORNAT(/3HSUM,4F8.3,2F9.4//)Z
118 FORMAT(15HORIGINAL R(XY)=F7.4,3X18HTRANSFORMED R(XY)=FF7.4)Z
201 FORNAT(I2)Z
202 FORMAT(44HWHERRY TRANSFORM EQ. FOR EQUATING SUGGROUPS.//)Z
ENDZ

```

\section*{SYMBOL TABLE LISTING FOR PROBLEM \# 2}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ADCRE & ESSES & NAME & DIMENSION & State & LOC & FORM & LOC & FIX & FLOATING & \(A\) \\
\hline 19999 & & SIN & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19989 & & SINF & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 19979 & & COS & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 19969 & & COSF & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 19959 & & EXP & & & & & . & & & A \\
\hline 19949 & & EXPF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19939 & & LOG & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19929 & & LOGF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19919 & & SQR T & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19909 & & SQRTF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19899 & & ABS & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19889 & & ABSF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19879 & & DRH & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19869 & & DRHF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19859 & & ATAN & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19849 & & ATANF & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19839 & 19759 & CASES & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19749 & 19669 & SUMX & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19659 & 19579 & SUMX2 & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19569 & 19489 & SUMY & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19479 & 19399 & SUMY2 & 00090001 & & & & - & & & A \\
\hline 19389 & 19309 & SUMXY & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19299 & 19219 & EXX & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19209 & 19129 & EYY & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19119 & 19039 & EXY & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 19029 & 18949 & BETA & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18939 & 18859 & ALPHA & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18849 & 18769 & R & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18759 & 18679 & XMEAN & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18669 & 18589 & YMEAN & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18579 & 18499 & STDVX & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18489 & 18409 & SXTR & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18399 & 18319 & SX2TR & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18309 & 18229 & SXYTR & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18219 & 18139 & EETA3 & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18129 & 18049 & ALPH3 & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 18039 & 17959 & EMNX & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17949 & 17869 & ESDX & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17859 & 17779 & STDVY & 00090001 & & & & & & & A. \\
\hline 17769 & 17689 & ID & 00090001 & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17679 & & BIGN & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17669 & & & & & & & & & 0000000000 & A \\
\hline 17659 & & SSX & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17649 & & SSX2 & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17639 & & SSY & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17629 & & SSY2 & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17619 & & SSXY & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17609 & & X 2 TR & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17599 & & XYTR & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17589 & & XTR & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17579 & & & & & & 0202 & 15178 & & & A \\
\hline 17569 & & & & & & 0201 & 15156 & & & A \\
\hline 17559 & & K & & & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17549 & & & & 00030 & 07020 & & & & & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SYMBOL TABLE LISTING FOR PROBLEM \# 2 (CONT'D)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 17539 & I & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17529 & & & & 0103 & 13872 & & A \\
\hline 17519 & & 0004 & 07076 & & & & A \\
\hline 17509 & & 0005 & 07388 & & & & A \\
\hline 17499 & & 0006 & 07352 & & & & A \\
\hline 17489 & & 0001 & 10292 & & & & A \\
\hline 17479 & & & & & & 001 & A \\
\hline 17469 & & & & & & 003 & A \\
\hline 17459 & & & & & & 000 & A \\
\hline 17449 & & & & & & 002 & A \\
\hline 17439 & & & & & & 004 & A \\
\hline 17429 & EXYTR & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17419 & EXXTR & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17409 & EYYT & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17399 & EXXT & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17389 & EXYT & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17379 & RT & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17369 & RTR & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17359 & SDTX & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17349 & SDTRX & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17339 & TMNX & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17329 & TRMNX & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17319 & BETA2 & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17309 & ALPH2 & & & & & & A \\
\hline 17299 & & 0002 & 11660 & & & & A \\
\hline 17289 & & & & 0101 & 13640 & & A \\
\hline 17279 & & & & 0102 & 13712 & & A \\
\hline 17269 & & 003 & 11996 & & & & A \\
\hline 17259 & & & & 0104 & 13924 & & A \\
\hline 17249 & & & & 0105 & 14000 & & A \\
\hline 17239 & & & & 0106 & 14084 & & A \\
\hline 17229 & & 0032 & 12416 & & & & A \\
\hline 17219 & & & & 0107 & 14220 & & A \\
\hline 17209 & & & & 0108 & 14272 & & A \\
\hline 17199 & & & & 0109 & 14300 & & A \\
\hline 17189 & & & & 0111 & 14384 & & A \\
\hline 17179 & & 003 & 12728 & & & & A \\
\hline 17169 & & & & 0112 & 145.28 & & A \\
\hline 17159 & & & & 0113 & 14576 & & A \\
\hline 17149 & & 003 & 13064 & & & & A \\
\hline 17139 & & & & 0114 & 14688 & & A \\
\hline 17129 & & & & 0115 & 14776 & & A \\
\hline 17119 & & 003 & 13484 & & & & A \\
\hline 17109 & \(\cdots\) & & & 0116 & 14914 & & A \\
\hline 17099 & & & & 0117 & 14966 & & A \\
\hline 17089 & & & & 0118 & 15042 & & A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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The Civil Engineering Systems Laboratory at M.I.T. consists in part of an IBM 162060 K computer with:
a. Floating-Point Hardware
b. Automatic Divide
c. \(500 / \mathrm{min}\) Card Reader
d. \(250 / \mathrm{min}\) Card Punch
e. Indirect Addressing
f. The 3 additional instructions

Move Flag
Transfer Numerical Strip
Transfer Numerical Fill
g. A Model 565 Cal Comp Plotter
h. 2-13ll Disk Drives
1. 1 - IBM 1050 Remote Console
used to time share a 7094 .
These facilities are never used for production at least not in the context of the general meaning of the word.

Rather, they are used to implement computer-aided teaching to show students how engineers analyse and solve complex problems. Two suspended TV screens enable, even those in the back row, to see everything that takes place at either of the 2 Consoles, the plotter or the typewriter. Research has become such an integral and essential part of the advancement of the engineering educator and of the graduate education that between classes the room is used as a Research Laboratory. Thus the computer is used to aid in the study of the fundamental principles of engneering disciplines and the methodology of civil engineering practice and as an aid to research so that nearly all
the available time is used for the compilation of programs rather than production.

The Civil Engineering Department has offered to all students for a number of years now a course entitled "Computer Approaches to Engineering Problems." The number of students electing to take this course has been steadily increasing. This year the number of students selecting this course reached such proportions that, because of the machine time available, only \(l / 2\) of those enrolling were accommodated. The interest in this course is mainly attributable to the turn-around time which is measured in minutes rather than days and because of the hands-onexperience. The room when used as a Laboratory is overated on an open-shop basis. Time is available to students 7 days a week from 1 P.M. to midnight on a list come, last served basis. The time from \(8 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}\). to \(1 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{M}\). is reserved for both academic and sponsored research. Students and research personnel who require large blocks of time are permitted to sign up in advance for the hours from midnight to 8 A.M.

The course is set up so that the list two lectures and a lab session provide students with sufficient information to write simple FORTRAN Programs. Thus, after the first week of the course students begin to utilize the computer.

The last lab session consists of an introduction to the 1620, its peripheral equipment and the operating procedure to be used for the compilation and execution of programs. The lectures include a brief explanation of computers in general and some of the basic FORTRAN.

To meet these requirements and to accommodate the ever increasing number of students simply meant that the throughput be maximized.
take maximum advantage of both the hard- and software. To implement this a supervisor for the Monitor 1 System was written, debugged, tested and put into operation.

The Supervisor as written performs two tasks in addition to maximizing the throughput.
1. Maintains a log of all users.
2. Supervises the input.

A flow diagram which is self-explanatory is shown in Fig. 1 .

A listing of the program is shown in Fig. lA.
The supervisor at the present time includes the following systems:
1. LOG
2. EDIT
3. SSGO
4. SSGO/EDIT
5. SSGO/EDIT/GO
6. MONITOR 1
7. SUBSET
8. LOAD
9. COGO
10. CI/COGO
11. PLOT

All these systems cards are available at the Console as are end of job cards, cold start cards and clock cards. See Fig. 2.

The order of cards for any job is as follows:
1. User ID Card
2. System Card
3. Program Deck


LTSTTNG OF CESL SUPFRVTSOR OF MONITOR
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline COVMENT & ADD. & & INSTRUCTION & COMMENTS & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{READ 1} & 500 & 37 & 0270100500 & READ ID CARD & \\
\hline & 12 & 45 & 0053602701 & CHECK FOR RECORD MARK IN CC 1 & \\
\hline & 2.4 & 49 & 1050000000 & IF A RECORD VIARK READ NEXT CARD & \\
\hline & 36 & 14 & \(02701000 J 4\) & IS THFRE AN* IN CC 1 & \\
\hline & 48 & 47 & 0550031200 & READ NEXT CARD IF NOT * & \\
\hline & 60 & 14 & 02715 ПM044 & CHFCK FOR ID CCT AND 8 & \\
\hline & 72 & 47 & 0050001200 & IF NOT ID READ NEXT CARD & \\
\hline & 84 & 39 & 0270100400 & PUNCH ID CARD & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{LOG F} & 96 & 38 & .29004702 & WRITE ID INFO ON DISK & \\
\hline & 608 & 11 & 0290500001 & INCREMENT SECTOR ADD & \\
\hline & 20 & 14 & 0290505400 & IS LOG table full & \\
\hline & 32 & 46 & 0072801300 & BRANCH IF HIGH ZERO IS ON & \\
\hline & 44 & 38 & 0291400702 & WRITE UPDATED CONTROL FIELD ON DISK & \\
\hline & 56 & 37 & 0270130500 & READ SYSTEM ID CARD & \\
\hline & 68 & 45. & 0069202701 & CHECK FOR RECORD MARK IN CC 1 & \\
\hline & 80 & 49 & 00500 00000 & & \\
\hline & 92 & \(14^{\prime}\) & 22701 00014 & CHFCK FOR * IN CC 1 & \\
\hline & 704 & 47 & 0050001200 & & \\
\hline & 71.6 & 49 & 009800000 & TO DECODE SYSTEM NAME & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{LOG PUNCHOUT} & 28 & 34 & 0000000102 & RETURN CARRIAGE & \\
\hline & 40 & 39 & 0250100100 & TYPE LOG FILE WILL BE PUNCHED OUT & \\
\hline & 52 & 36 & 0292800702 & TRANSFER LOG FILES TO CORE & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(E\)} & 64 & 26 & 0281703099 & 3099 IS HIGH ORDER POS OF FIRST LOG ENTRY & \\
\hline & 76 & 45 & \(00800 \quad 02737\) & CHECK FOR END OF ENTRIES & \\
\hline & 88 & 49 & 0084800000 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{3} & 800 & 39 & 0271900400 & PUNCH ID CARD & Lir \\
\hline & 12 & 11 & 0077500500 & INCREMENT ADDRESS TO GET FOLLONING LOGS & \\
\hline & 24 & 14 & 00775 K 0099 & LAST RECORD & \\
\hline & 36 & 47 & 0076401300 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{\(0!1\)} & 48 & 15 & ก2010 ก0nの\% & SFT A RFCOR M MARK IN LOC 3010 & \\
\hline & 60 & 11 & 0085400100 & INCREMENT TO GET ADD. OF NEXT ID & \\
\hline & 72 & 14 & \(00854 J 9919\) & IS THIS.LAST ID ENTRY & \\
\hline & 84 & 47 & 0084801200 & & \\
\hline & 96 & 38 & 0292800702 & MOVE ID LOG TO CORE & \\
\hline & 908 & 16 & 0290505229 & & \\
\hline & 20 & 38 & 0291400702 & PLACE INITALIZED FIELD ON DISK & \\
\hline & 32 & 34 & 0000000102 & & \\
\hline & 44 & 39 & 0256100100 & TYPE REMOVE LOG CARDS, PUSH START & \\
\hline & 56 & 49 & 0167200000 & & \\
\hline & 968 & 48 & 0000000000 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{15}{*}{SYGTEM} & 80 & 14 & 02715 ON347 & LG & \\
\hline & 92 & 47 & 0101601200 & & \\
\hline & 1004 & 49 & 0072800000 & CALL LOG PUNCH OUT & \\
\hline & 16 & 14 & \(027150 N 456\) & MONITOR 1 & \\
\hline & 28 & 46 & 0131401200 & & \\
\hline & 40 & 14 & 0271500264 & SUBSET & \\
\hline & 52 & 46 & 0172001200 & & \\
\hline & 64 & 14 & 0271500262 & SSGO & \\
\hline & 76 & 46 & 0138201200 & & \\
\hline & 88 & 14 & 02715 OM544 & EDIT & \\
\hline & 1100 & 46 & 0120001200 & & \\
\hline & 12 & 14 & 02715 CM371 & C.COGO 3 ¢ &  \\
\hline & 24 & 46 & 0145001200 & & \\
\hline & 36 & 14 & 02715 OM356 & COGO 14.25 & \\
\hline & 48 & 46 & 0152201200 & 4.25 .5 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FIG. IA



\begin{abstract}








 88888881888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888888
\end{abstract}

 … \(23+518\) sos!

Fig. 2. SYSTEMS CARDS












 .... e soan

FIg. 3. USER ID CARD
```

4. % Data Card (when required)
5. End Job Card
```

The FORMAT for the User ID card is as shown in Fig. 3. \(\underline{\text { LOG }}\)

The 1620 does not have a clock so a system to keep track of the time was devised. To do this a Clock card contraining the time and date are read in and entered into the log in the same manner as the ID card. The Format is identical to that of an ID card except the user's name is replaced by PowerOn, Clock, or Power-Off and the time and date replace the use. See Fig. 4. One clock card for each hour of the day is pre-punched and entered into the log, on the hour, while the machine is in use. This is accomplished by stacking them in the read hopper along with the jobs being processed. When the \(\log\) is punched and listed it contains the time power was turned on for the particular day and the names and application of all users to the next hour. The same information with hourly time is repeated until the power is turned off. As was stated previously, the log table is automatically punched out when full. A Log card provides the capability to punch out the log whenever it is desired.

The results of this log were amazing; on two differont days 344 starts were logged. One such \(\log\) is shown in Fig. 5.

EDIT
Edit is a modified version of IBM's FORTRAN with FORMAT PRECOMPILER. It will detect many of the common errors, particularly language, key punching and specification mistakes but it is not exhaustive.

Its suggested use is in conjunction with the SSGO system which does not require Format. But it may be used


Fig. 4. CLOCK CARDS

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING}

COMPUTER LABORATORY

\section*{RUN LOG}

HOURLY ENTRIES FOR THU.MAR.26,1964

\section*{TIME}


1.15
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(12.01 ~ A M-1.00 ~ A M\) & 0 & 15 \\
1.00 AM - 2.00 AM & 0 & 14 \\
\(2.00 ~ A M-3.00 ~ A M\) & 0 & 15
\end{tabular}
3.00 AM - 4.00 AM \(0 \quad 17\)
4.00 AM - 5.30 AM 0
8.30 AM - 10.00 AM \(2 \quad 16\)
\(10.00 \mathrm{AM}-1.00 \mathrm{PM} \quad 0 \quad 12\)
1.00 PM - 2.00 PM 6
8.30 AM - 10.00 AM \(2 \quad 18\)
2.00 PM - 3.00 PM 20
3.00 PM - 4.00 PM 33
4.00 PM - 5.00 PM 28

34
32
5.00 PM - 6.00 PM

32
35
6.00 PM - 7.00 PM 12

15
7.00 PM - 8.00 PM 30

30
8.00 PM - 9.00 PM 14

16
9.00 PM - 10.00 PM 22

25
10.00 PM - 12.45 AM

TOTAL NUMBER OF ENTRIES
203
385

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERIN:
COMPUTER LABORATORY
RUN LOG

POWER-ON
THU.MAR•26,1964 12.01 AM
SUHRBIER, JOHN
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.

CLOCK

GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
SUHRBIER, JOHN
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER, D.
GARDNER:D.
SUHRBIER, JOHN
JESSIMAN
JESSIMAN
GARDNER,D.
SUHRBIER, JOHN
GARDNER,D.
SUHRBIER, JOHN
CLOCK

SUHRBIER, JOHN
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER,D.
GARDNER:D.
MURRAY,W.E.

1
S \(\quad 9407\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
1673 F
1673F
1673 F
1673 F
1673F
\(1673 F\)
1673 F
1673 F
1673 F
1673 F
THU.MAR.26.1964 1.00 AM
S 1673 F
S 1673F
1 S 9407
S 1673 F
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
\(1 \quad S \quad 9407\)
\(1 \quad G \quad 9430\)
\(1 \quad G \quad 9430\)
S \(\quad 1673 \mathrm{~F}\)
9407
1673F
9407
THU.MAR.26,1964 2.00 AM

1
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(S\) & 9407 \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
\(S\) & \(1673 F\) \\
1 & \(S\)
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{SUHRBIER, JOHN} & \multirow[t]{17}{*}{1} & S & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9407} & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNFR, \({ }^{\text {G }}\) & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNFR, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline MURRAY,W.E. & & & 1 & S & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline MURRAY*W.E. & & & 1 & 5 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline MURRAY,W.E. & & & 1 & S & & & \\
\hline GARDNER,D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER,D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline CLOCK & & & & MAR & 26,1964 & 4.00 & AM \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER•D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 167 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline MURRAY, W.E. & & & 1 & S & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER,D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARONER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNER, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline GARDNFR, D. & & & S & 1673 & & & \\
\hline POWER-OFF & & & & MAR & 26,1964 & 5.30 & AM \\
\hline POWER-ON & & & & MAR & 26,1964 & \(8 \cdot 30\) & AM \\
\hline KIM,JIN HWAN & & 1 & G & DSR & 8790 & & \\
\hline KIM,JIN HWAN & & 1 & G & DSR & 8790 & & \\
\hline KIM,JIN HWAN & & 1 & G & DSR & 8790 & & \\
\hline NEWMAN, EDWARD E & E & & S & & & & \\
\hline JOHNSON, WILLI AM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO 9107 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline JOHNSON, WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON, WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline HODNICK, J. M. & & I & G & 1.15 & & & & \\
\hline LEARY D.F. & & & 1 & 1.15 & & & & \\
\hline CLOCK & & & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{THU.MAR.26,1964 10.00 AM} \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON, WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & \(F\) & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline JOHNSON,WILLIAM & F & 1 & S & DSR & NO & 9107 & & \\
\hline FOSTER A. T. & & 1 & GRA & SYST & EMS & S DEV & VLOP. & \\
\hline ROESSET J.M. & & 1 & GTA & SPEC & - P & PROJE & CT & \\
\hline ROESSET J.M. & & 1 & GTA & SPEC & - \(P\) & PROJE & CT & \\
\hline CLOCK & & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{THU.MAR•26,1964} & 1.00 & PM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NEWMAN, EDWARD E. & & S & & \\
\hline NEWMAN E EWARD E. & & S & & \\
\hline NEWMAN, EDWARD E. & & S & & \\
\hline BAYNE A R & 10 & 3 & 1. 15 & \\
\hline SEXAUER,W•L. & & 1 & 1.15 & \\
\hline GOLDMAN,F.W. & & 1 & 1. 15 & \\
\hline LAZAR, JAY L. & 1 & 2 & 1.15 & \\
\hline TAYLOR, WILLIAM A. & I & 1 & 1. 15 & \\
\hline SHWIMER, JOEL & & 1 & 1.15 & \\
\hline CLOCK & & & MAR•26,1964 & 2.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

GIBSON, C T
TELSON,MICHAEL
TELSON,MICHAEL
TELSON,MICHAEL
TELSON, MICHAEL
TELSON,MICHAEL
TELSON,MICHAEL
SEXAUER,W.L.
SEXAUER,W•L.
SEXAUER,W.L.
HUIE,J•L。
ALAM
KINGSNORTH, R C
LEARY D F
LFARY,D,F
LEARY D F
BATOR RICHARD
TAYLOR, WILLIAM A
MOORER, JAMES
SEXAUER,W•L.
LAZAR, JAY L.

THU.MAR.26,1964 2.00 PM
IBM SYSTEMS ENGINEER
1. 15
1.15
1. 15
1.15
1. 15
1.15
1.15
1.15
1.15
1. 15
1.15
1.15
1.15
1.15
1. 15
1.15
1. 15
1.15
1.15
1.15
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline CHAPMAN, D G & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline BERNHARDT,L.J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING H J & 1 & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline CLOCK & & THU & MAR.26.1964 & 9.00 & PM \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline CHAMPY, J.A. & 1 & GTA & 1.09 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING H J & I & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GOLKA, J. J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING H J & I & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline CHAPMAN, D G & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GOLKA, J. J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING H J & I & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline CHAPMAN, D G & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline BATOR RICHARD & 18 & 3 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline BATOR RICHARD & 18 & 3 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GOLKA, J. J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING H J & 1 & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline BATOR RICHARD & 18 & 3 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GOLKA, J. J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GOLKA, J. J. & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline HAUSSLING HJ & I & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline CLOCK & & THU & MAR.26,1964 & 10.00 & PM \\
\hline ENGER,TOM & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline GARDNER,D. & & S & 1673F & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline SKODON, JOHN G. & 1 & S & 5011 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & 1 & 5 & 9407 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & 1 & S & 9407 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & 1 & S & 9407 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & 1 & S & 9407 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & 1 & S & 9407 & & \\
\hline HARMAN, JACK & I & S & 9407 & & \\
\hline LEARY D F & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline LEARY D F & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline LEARY D F & & 1 & 1.15 & & \\
\hline POWER-OFF & & FRI & MAR.27.1964 & 12.45 & AM \\
\hline NUMBER OF ENTRIE & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
to check source programs in FORTRAN II-D. Since SSGO does not require Format statements, EDIT was modified to by-pass this type of statement.

Each statement in which an error is detected is punched out together with a diagnostic report. It is a useful debugging technique as well as an aid in program development. It is most useful to novice programmers. If no errors are detected the message "NO ERRORS DETECTED" is typed out. Shown in Fig. 6 is a list of the error messages.

SSGO
SSGO is a modified version of a LOAD and GO FORTRAN which was based upon IBM's first 1620 CARD FORTRAN WITHOUT FORMAT. This is a one-pass operation with 3 options. Any, all or none of these options may be exercised by inserting, immediately preceding the Data card, the following:
1. \(\#\) Trace \(M\) to \(N\)
\(M\) is the number of the source statement where tracing is to begin and \(N\) is the number of the source statement where tracing is to cease.
2. \% Map.

Causes a storage map of the symbol table together with the unused memory to be punched out.
3. * Cards

The original version of this FORTRAN was modified so that the compiler was located in the 2ndModule while the compiled object program was stored in the first 20K of core, thus conserving both time and cards. The Cards card was, therefore, used to obtain a punched object deck. This of course finds little use today since it. is more expedient to load on disk any program that might be needed later.
```

UNDFFINED STATFMENT NUMBERS
UNREFERENCED .STATEMFNT NUMRERS
UNACCFPTARLE FORM TO LEFT.OF = SIGN
MULTIPLE = SIGNS
SUCCFSIVE OPERATION SYMBOLS OR OPERATORS
MISSING OPERATION SYMBOL OR OPERAND
RIGHT PARENTHESIS ENCOUNTERED BEFORE LEFT PARENTHESIS
MISSING RIGHT PARENTHESIS
MIXED MODF FXPRFSSION
NO VARIABLE TO LEFT OF = SIGN
EXPONENTIATION OF A FIXED POINT VARIABLE OR CONSTANT
VARIAGLE USED HAS NOT BEEN DEFINED
SUBSCRIPTED VARIAELE HAS NOT APPEARED IN A DIMENSION STATEMENT
FRROR IN SUBSCRIPT
NOT ALL OF THE INDICES ARE LEGAL
SECOND INDFX IS LFSS THAN FIRST
THIRD INDEX IS ILLEGAL
STATEMENT NUMBER NOT ACCEPTABLE OR IS MISSING
DO STATEMENT IS INCOMPLETE
DO LOOPS INCORRECTLY NESTED
DC LOOP TERMINATES ON TRANSFER STATEMENT
DECIMAL POINT.OMITTED FROM FLOATING POINT CONSTANT
DECIMAL EXPONENT FOLLOWING AN E IS INCORRECTLY EXPRESSED
THE EXPONENT FOLLOWING AN E HAS BEEN OMITTED
FLOATING POINT NIJMAER FOLLOWED BY CHARACTER NOT AN E
STATEMENT NUMBER GRFATER THAN 9999
STATEMENT NUMBFR PREVIOUSLY DEFINED
UNNUMBERED CONTINUE
STATFMENT CANNOT RE REACHED BY PROGRAM
ERROR IN STATEMFNT NUMBFR(S)
MISSING COMMA AFTER RIGHT PARENTHESIS
INDEX IS ILLEGAL OR MISSING
NON-NUMERIC CHARACTER FOLLOWS RIGHT PARENTHESIS
LEFT PARENTHESIS DOES NOT FOLLOW WORD IF
NO EXPRESSION WITHIN PARENTHESIS
MISSPELLED OR UNACCEPTABLE NONARITHMETIC STATEMENT
STATEMENT CONTAINS UNACCEPTABLIE CHARACTER
STATEMENT CONTAINS DFCIMAL POINT NOT IN A CONSTANT
DIMENSION STATEMENT IS IN INCORRECT FORM
UNNUMBFREN FORMAT STATFMENT
INCOMPLETE FORMAT STATEMENT
VARIABLE IN DIMENSION STATEMENT PREVIOUSLY DEFINED
FORMAT STATEMENT NUMBER MISSING OR INCORRECTLY STATED
FIRST OR LAST CHARACTER IN LIST IS NOT ACCEPTABLE
THREE DIMENSIONS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED, OR IN INCORRECT FORM
COMMA MISSING, OR LIST IS MISSING OR INVALID
SYMBOL TABLE FULL
FIXED POINT CONSTANT GREATER THAN 9999
FLOATING POINT CONSTANT OUT. OF RANGE
VARIABLE NAME LONGER THAN 5 CHARACTERS

```

\subsection*{3.5 SSGO DEBUGGING AIDS}

\section*{Trace}

SSGO includes a built-in trace which is a very powerful debugging aid. Tracing is specified by the insertion of a control card after the END statement of the following form:
* TRACE FROM n TO m where \(n\) is the statement number where tracing is to begin and \(m\) is the statement number where tracing is to cease.

The portion of the program which lies logically between the two limiting statement numbers will be traced each time it is executed. If the trace is selected, object program execution proceeds in normal mode at full speed until control reaches the statement with statement number " \(n\) ". From this point until control reaches the statement with statement number " \(m\) ", in addition to the normal programmed output the following output is punched:
1. For each arithmetic statement, the variable name to the left of the F sign followed by the current numeric value of the right hand side. Examples:
\(\mathrm{A}=-.13570000\)
STA \(=.10600000 \mathrm{E} 03\)
JOB \(=8431\)
2. For each transfer statement, the type of transfer and the statement number branched to. Example:
COMPUTED GO TO
TRANSFER TO STATEMENT NO. 0006
3. Reports of progress through DO loops are punched at the end of each loop. Example:
0012TH TIME THROUGH DO
The DO message assumes the index has an initial value of one and is

For compatibility a Call Exit command was added to this processor. Format statements may be included but they are ignored except the = character in an \(H\) field which will cause erroneous compilation. All Print statements have been modified to Punch to speed up the operation.

\section*{SSGO/EDIT}

SSGO/EDIT is a further effort to conserve time and to simplify the operation procedure. When this system is specified the source statements, as they are encountered, are temporarily stored on disk and processed with the EDIT program. If no errors are detected control is returned to SSGO which reads the source statements from disk instead of from cards and proceeds to compile and execute. If at the completion of the EDIT phase the program was found to contain errors, control is returned to the supervisor rather than SSGO.

SSGO/EDIT/GO
SSGO/EDIT/GO is a special version of SSGO/EDIT.
At the end of the EDIT phase if no errors are detected the message "NO ERRORS DETECTED" is typed. Processing and execution are attempted just as with SSGO/EDIT. If at the completion of the EDIT phase errors were found the message "Turn SW-I on to compile with errors" is typed. If \(S W-1\) is left off and the start button on the console is depressed control is returned to the supervisor. If the option to continue is selected, an attempt is made to compile and execute.

SUBSET
SUBSET provides a direct call to FORTRAN II-D for a Load and Go operation and essentially replaces 2 MONITOR I CONTROL CARDS:

As source statements are read and translated by the processor, the object program is built up in lower core starting at location 07500. After processing of the END statement and detection of the DATA card, control is sent to 07500 and the object program is executed. The object program occupies the first 20 K module. The processor occupies the second 20 K and the third 20 K is then reserved for special subroutines. Programs of the order of 75 to 125 statements can be handled assuming no large arrays. For SSGO debugging of programs involving large arrays, the arrays should be temporarily dimensioned for small ranges to conserve space in the symbol table.

\section*{Error Indicators - Translation Phase}

During translation, if certain kinds of errors are detected in the source statements, error message of the following form is typed:

KG \(\quad A=B * C \# 5\)
ERROR NO. 5

The statement containing the error followed by the error number is typed. The error numbers and their meaning are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ERROR NO. 1 & Incorrectly formed statement \\
ERROR NO. 2 & Subscripted variable not dimensioned \\
ERROR NO. 3 & Constant out of range \\
ERROR NO. 4 & Symbol table full \\
ERROR NO. 5 & Mixed mode expression
\end{tabular}

After an error is detected, processing continues so that additional erross may be -checked for. However, the resulting object program should not be executed as it will be incorrect.

\section*{Run Deck}

The makeup of a typical SSGO run deck is indicated in the following diagram.


The * DATA control card is necessary for execution even if no input data is required by the program since it is the signal to execute the program.
\[
\begin{aligned}
* & \text { MONITOR } \\
\neq \neq & \text { FORX } 5
\end{aligned}
\]

SUBSET is provided to increase the compatibility between the 1620 and 7094. Students enrolled in the previously mentioned course, "Computer Approaches to Engineering Problems," offered by the Civil Engineering Department use not only the 1620 but the 7094's. The 7094's are accessible either by direct submission of card decks or through the 1050's or teletype units as time shared remote consoles. 1620 SUBSET programs may be processed and executed on the 7094 by merely changing the ID and systems card to conform to that required at the 7094 Computation Center.

To speed up compilation time with SUBSET or FORTRAN II-D all the Print statements in FORTRAN II-D have been changed to Punch. The Type statements were left unchanged.

Error messages are no longer typed out but instead are punched on cards; the incorrect statement on one card and the coded error message on the following card. During execution the floating point subroutines punch errors but the carriage returns associated with the original typewriter output still occur to provide a warning. The Data card initially required by FORTRAN II-D is still required but the word DATA starts in cc 7 the same as for SSGO and 7094 FORTRAN.

MAKE UP SUBSET RUN DECK


Fig. 8

\section*{Error Reports - Translation Phase}

As source statements are compiled, checks are made for source program errors. If an error is detected, a message of the following form is punched:
SSSS + CCCC ERROR n
where SSSS is the last statement number encountered by the program prior to the error, \(\operatorname{CCCC}\) is the number of statements following the last numbered statement, SSSS + CCCC is the statement containing the error, and \(n\) is the error code number. If an error is encountered before a statement number is encountered, SSSS will be 0000. Comment cards, blank cards, and continuation cards are not included in the statement count. Only one error per statement is detected. The following is a table of error code numbers and their meaning:


\section*{MAKEUP OF 7094 FORTRAN RUN DECK}
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Fig. 9
b) Execute Card (Control Card)
- XE

This must be the second card in your deck. It informs the processor that your program is to be executed immediately after it is compiled.
c) List Card (Control Card)
* LIST

This should be the third card in your deck. It informs the processor that a listing of the equivalent machine language object program resulting from the compilation is to be included in your printed output. This listing is helpful in offline debugging.
d) Source Program Deck

Source statements according to specifications. Last card must be END statement.
e) Data Card (Control Card)
*
DATA
f) Data Deck

Data cards for testing your program at execution, prepared in accordance with Format statement specifications.

A typical run deck is shown in the diagram which follows. The above control cards are the only ones which may be included.

Submission of 7094 Runs
Student decks, assembled as described above, should be delivered to Room l-15l before 4:30 PM and deposited in the IN box for the appropriate subject (such as l.15). The runs will be batched and delivered to the Computation Center for processing overnight. Results are returned the following

MONITOR 1
MONITOR 1 calls in the Monitor System provided by IBM with the 1311 disks. This card merely transfers control from the supervisor to the Monitor System. Modifications mentioned in connection with SUBSET still hold. The monitor program was, however, modified so that when an end of job card is encountered, control is returned to the supervisor.

LOAD
LOAD is not really a system but simply a means to simulate the load button on the 1622. It provides the capability for stacking machine language programs along with any of the other system jobs. The user must provide his own loader and must terminate his program with a specified branch to return control to the supervisor. The add and multiply tables are brought into core with the supervisor and remain there when LOAD is called. COGS

The COGO associated with this call is a version of COGO prepared specially for a 60 K machine with a special application in mind. It was designed to provide maximum efficiency with this hardware configuration. The system is stored on 600 sectors of disk and is transferred to core when called as a unit so that all commands are always in core and available. A new command FINISH was provided so that COGO jobs could be stacked with any of the other systems jobs.

\section*{CI/COGO}
\(C I / C O G O\) is a disk version of COGO designed for a 20 K machine. It operates much like the card plug deck. system except that the plug is read from disk rather than
from cards. It like the plug deck system is limited, at the present time, to typewriter output and is used primarily for demonstration only.

PLOT
PLOT like COGO is a problem-oriented language and at this time is used to graphically display the results of COGO. This is the very first attempt at the adaptation of graphical displays to problem-oriented languages. At present it contains only a minimum command set but programming is under way to greatly expand the system for a wide variety of applications.

All the systems above mentioned are stored on a single disk pack and are file protected. The Equivalence Table, the Dim Table and the Sequential Program Table all contain appropriate entries and are cognizant of the existence of the various systems thus providing additional protection. All the systems in use have been modified so that as each job is terminated control is returned to the supervisor. Thus the supervisor provides a simplified procedure of operation with adequate flexibility and compatibility for an efficient system requiring a minimum of machine time for a maximum number of users.

\section*{TYPICAL ARRANGEMENT OF 1620 RUN DECKS.}
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\section*{1. 2 AVAILABLE SYSTEMS}

At the present time, the following systems can be called from disc by the CESL MONITOR via System ID cards as follows:
* EDIT

A program for editing FORTRAN source programs for common programming mistakes.
*
SSGO
A simplified load-and-go FORTRAN System especially designed for instructional use.

\section*{SSGO/EDIT}

A combination of the first two which will check the program with EDIT and process it with SSGO if no mistakes are detected.

\section*{SUBSET}

A subset of FORTRAN II for the 1620 and 7094 designed for debugging 7094 programs on the 1620.
* MONITOR I

Turns control of the machine over to the IBM 1620 Monitor I System. This system in turn can call SPS Symbolic Programming System, FORTRAN II-D, Disc Utility Program, etc., through additional control cards. Use limited to authorized users.

LOAD
For loading 1620 machine language programs included in stacked input.
*
TOGO
A problem-oriented language and processor for geometric problems.
*
PLOT
A problem-oriented language for preparing plots and graphical displays with the online plotter.

Additional systems are under development and will be added from time to time.
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USE OF COMPUTERS IN DESIGN OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT
(Presented at the Eastern Regional 1620 Users Group meeting in Washington D.C., on May 7, 1964.)
by: Arnold Spitalny Chief, Computer Branch Norden Division United Aircraft Corporation Norwalk, Connecticut

The theme of this meeting is "Consolidation Thru Systems Integration." The word "Systems" means many things to many people. We are concerned now with a type of system considerably more complex than most of those you are accustomed to dealing with. This is a man-machine system for design and development of electronic equipment. At Norden, we are concerned primarily with military electronic, electro-optical and electro-mechanical equipment, such as radar, displays, navigation systems and vehicle control systems. However, the same methods and techniques are applicable in many other areas.

The first problem in system design is always to define the problem. What are the problems in the military electronics business? Some of the basic trends are indicated in Figure.l.

The rapid advance in electronic technology over the past few years has resulted in a very rapid rate of obsolescence for all electronic equipment. The demand for increased capabilities and specialized customer requirements also results in a steadily increasing complexity of equipment. Competition both in cost and technology is applying greater pressure all the time. Rellability requirements of the Military are getting steadjly more severe and those of NASA are practically out of this world. The combination of increasing complexity and stricter reliability requirements results In a demand for a wide variety of automatic check-out equipment, manufactured in much smaller volume than the equipment they check out. Most development programs are subjected to frequent changes due both to changes in customer requirements and to engineers thinking of better ways to do things they have already designed.

Once the changes stop and production begins, there is usually only a very short production run before rapid obsolescence takes over and the entire cycle repeats. The end result is a steady increase in the average amount of engineering work required to support a given level of production. This is substantiated by the steady industry-wide increase in the ratio of engineering personnel to manufacturing personnel. The basic problem then, is how to get more engineering work done faster and better.

Figure 2 indicates the two basic approaches to this problem. The obvious way is to hire more engineers. We do not consider that an adequate solution over the long run. It is much better to see if we can find a way to use the engineers we have more efficiently by using computers to assist engineers. Computers have been used many times to assist engineers in a wide variety of individual tasks. We are expanding these tasks and developing new ones to provide an over-all man machine system in which engineers use computers effect-


Figure 1
\(410 \quad C\)


\section*{Norden}
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\(\square\)
ively for all tasks computers can do better than man. Under these conditions, engineers have the opportunlty to concentrate their efforts on those intellectual functions man can do far better than computers.

Figure 3 indicates some of the basic steps in developing such a system. The first problem is to identify and select the design tasks for which we should consider the possibility of using computers to assist the engineers. For each of these tasks we must determine a set of design rules, which would be in sufficient detail to consider programming on a computer. Preliminary planning of methods and procedures for each task must proceed far enough to provide a realistic basis for estimates of the cost of fully implementing the task, and of the benefits that would result from it. Evaluation of these costs and benefits indicates that there are some tasks on which a substantial early payoff can be achieved from a reasonable degree of effort. Work is started on these tasks as soon as they are discovered. In this way the program is put on a pay-as-you-go basis while planning and development of the rest of the system continues.

Now that you understand the philosophy behind this program, let's take a look at what has actually been done. Figure 4 is a simplified block diagram of the entire series of engineering activities from initial planning of a new project to release of final documentation to other divisions of the company and to the customers. The engineering tasks that presently involve the greatest proportion of computer usage are marked \(A\). Some of those for which we are presently developing computer applications are identified bv a \(B\). and those labeled \(C\) require the highest proportion of human decisions. Even in this area, computer techniques have been extensively used to provide engineers with the information they need for their decisions. For example, the first step in developing a test console for fallure analysis of radar modules was to code the input-output requirements of every module and analyze the requirements on the \(1620 \mathrm{com}-\) puter. This provided information needed by the engineers to specify signal generators and control and processing circuits.

Computer simulation analysis has also been used extensively to check the theoretical performance of proposed systems prior to commitment of detalled designs. For example, in the case of an automatic radar terrain-following system for aircraft, the terrain characteristics, radar performance, processing of radar informantion, airborne computer, action of the autopilot, and the response of the airplane were all simulated on the computer. Many flights were simulated by using the computer. Conditions in the equipment that might cause airplane crashes were eliminated before any equipment was actually designed, We are now working on development of simulation analysis techniques which could be applied to any electronic system at the block diagram level. This would be based on adaptation of the IBM general-purpose system simulator for the 7090 computer.

\section*{STEPS IN DESIGN AUTOMATION}
3. PLAN MLTMODS e procepuras -
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Once the simulation analysis has provided assurance that the planned system will do the job satisfactorily, it is time to specify the detailed equipment and proceed with the design. Whenever possible, standard modules and circuits which have been previously developed and built should be utilized, rather than develof completely new equipment. The computer files data from previous designs which can help to select such equipment. However, there will be many cases in which completely new designs are necessary to meet the new requirements.

The critical bottleneck in electronic system development is usually design, development, and test of new electronic circuita. The traditional method requires building a laboratory breadboard of the circuit and conducting extensive tests and modifications in the laboratory to determine how the circuit would perform under varying conditions. This is a very expensive and time-consuming procedure and never gives optimum design, since the tendancy is to stop at the first thing that appears to work.

Norden and IBM have jointly developed a set of computer programs for analysis of electronic circuits which provides the basis for a real break-through in this critical problem area. With these programs it is possible to predict the performance of many alternative circuits and select the best one before starting to build anything in the laboratory. In addition to predicting the voltage outputs which could be measured in the laboratory, the computer programs also provide sensitivity of all outputs to all circuit parameters, thereby providing much greater insight into the design problem and into the accuracy requirements of the components.

A key feature of the circuit analysis program is the use of a very simple user-oriented input language. This permits preparation of input data directly from schematic circuit diagrams or from equivalent circuit diagrams. There is no need to derive any equations or prepare any new computer program instructions when a completely new and different circuit is analyzed for the first time. Once the new circuit has been described to the computer for purposes of circuit analysis, many other things can be done with the same basic data.

We have extended the basic circuit analysis to include a wide variety of reliability analysis techniques. Tools now available to the reliability engineers for evaluation of new proposed circuit designs include stress analysis, derating analysis, margin analysis, mean time-between-failure calculation, and failure effect analysis. These can all be performed before the circuit is actually built and reliability improvement recommendations can be incorporated in the original system design.

At this point the basic design has been completed but a lot of detailed work is required before the system can be built. A schematic diagram of the final computer-checked design is needed to record the design and to provide information for engineers. We are working on development of techniques for computer printout of schematic diagrams. This will eliminate some routine drafting work. More important, it will provide absolute assurance that the circuit in the diagram corresponds exactly to the circuit analyzed.

The next problem is mechanical design or layout of the circuit. The problems here vary depending on the type of manufacturing technology to be used. In conventional transistor circuitry, this is usually the problem of laying out a printed circuit board.

At Norden we are now concerned increasingly with developing integral circuits, such as that in Figure 5. The layout design problem of these circuits is far more complicated. It involves development of a set of masks for the various etching, diffusion, and deposition steps required for manufacture of a complex semi-conductor integral circuit within a single silicon chip. Norden is presently working on an Air Force contract, developing techniques for automatic design and manufacture of such circuits. This involves use of the same basic circuit input definition which was used for circuit analysis, extended to include geometric as well as electronic information.

Norden has used computer techniques to assist in optical and mechanical design as well in electronic design. The 1620 optical design package has been particularly helpful in developing optical designs for new digplay techniques. However, we have had to develop some of our own optical design programs to eliminate excessive use of the typewriter and provide data in the coordinates and format most useful to the optical designer.

The mechanical design work at Norden includes such things as design of servo gear trains and critical inertial gimbal platforms as well as structures and housings for electronic equipment. Computer techniques have been developed to assist in mechanical layout and design, and in vibration analysis. However, a lot more remains to be done in this area. Once the electronic modules and the structure for housing them have been designed, the next problem is to route all of the interconnection cabling. This is sometimes referred to as the problem of "Where do all the wires go?" In the Norden design system the basic interconnection wiring data is key punched and fed into the computer. The computer assigns terminal numbers, selects cables, determines wiring length, sorts data, and prints out final wiring lists, which are the shop instructions for wiring the equipment.

The basic manufacturing definition of electronic equipment is in the form of a list of materials. Detailed lists of material must be prepared for every assembly and sub-assembly in the system. These lists of materials contain considerable detailed reference information about each part, as well as its basic part number designation.


This detailed printed information is maintained on disk files in the 1620 computer for all standard Norden parts. The engineer then has only to designate the part numbers and quantities which are keypunched for computer input. The computer extracts other reference data from the disk and prints out the detailed lists of materials. It also records and stones the list of material information on disk file for later use in generating a wide variety of other design data documents, such as the unique parts lists, lists of electronic components, tube complement lists, nonstandard parts lists, and many others. By generating all this detailed information from the same basic system definition, we have greater assurance than ever before that the information is correct and consistent, as well as having it available much earlier, in more complete form, and at lower costs. This design data is the basic output product of engineering which is used by accounting, purchasing, manufacturing, and our customers.

Now that you have seen the over-all system, you may want to know what does all this really mean? How much difference does it make in a complicated program?

Figure 6 indicates a complete equipment currently under developement at Norden, the Module Analyzer Test Console (MATC) for ground support of airborne radar equipment. It is something like a fancy tube tester, except that instead of testing tubes it tests complete modules of radar equipment. Several hundred different modules can be accommodated, including all its own internal modules. The control card for the module under test selects the appropriate input signals which are applied to the module, and the appropriate processing circuits for examining the module output voltages, so that the source of failure or malfunction can be isolated to a particular component within the module. This equipment was developed concurrently with the computer-aided design system. Portions of this system were used on 1 i as they became available.

The frt step in design of this equipment was tabulation and complicer analysis of the input and output signal requirements of all modules to be tested. This computer analysis assisted the engineers in determining the signal. source specifications and the specifications for various signal processing circuits in the MATC equipment. The same module data cards later were used for automatic design of the control cards which select the appropriate signal sources and processing circuits to be connected with each module when it is tested. The complexity of this equipment is indicated by the fact that there are approximately 35,000 parts, of which 4,500 are unique items including about 2,000 electrical components. Two separate units or teat benches have been designed, each requiring about 10,000 interconnection wires. All of the interconnection wiring, lists of materials, and other design data for this program were prepared on the 1620 computer, as well as analysis of most of its circuits.


Design and development of a system of this complexity by traditional methods would normally take at least two or three years. This equipment was designed and developed in \(1-1 / 2\) years with partial use of experimental computer-alded design techniques. A new system of comparable complexity could be designed starting now in less than one year, with no increase in the average manning level. When all parts of the computer-aided design system are completed and data is flowing smoothly from one computer operation to another, this time will be considerably shortened.

The over-all results of the program are summarized in Figure 7. The time required to get from an engineering idea to its incorporation in a finished product is substantially reduced. The time required to process changes to an existing design is cut to an extent that makes a substantial difference in whether or not it is practical to incorporate many last-minute changes. The total cost of an engineering effort is substantially reduced by shortening the engineering time cycle, without increasing the number of engineers. The resulting products delivered to our customers are greatly improved as a result of providing more thorough preliminary analysis and reliability checking of designs. Finally, the engineering records resulting from this design process are far more complete and accurate than they ever were before.
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\begin{abstract}
A computer program for analyzing electronic circuits on a 40 K 1620 with 1311 disc has been developed under a cooperative agreement between the Norden Division of United Aircraft Corporation and IBM.
\end{abstract}

The Program is divided into four sections: language interpreter, DC analysis, AC analysis, and transient analysis. The analysis sections are limited to linear circuits, although the transient analysis section can approximate some non-linearities. The language interpreter section interprets input data on the circuit to be analyzed. The data is written in a user-oriented language so that the Program can be used by individuals not familiar with programming, and also so that man-machine communication is more efficient. The primary input is an equivalent circuit schematic. Input data cards, prepared from the schematic, describe the circuit topology and parameter values.

From the above data, each analysis section sets up the proper matrix equations describing the network and performs the desired analysis.

The DC analysis section obtains the DC steady-state solution for all node voltages and currents. In addition, there are several optional routines such as worst-case analysis of node voltages, computation of standard deviations of node voltages, determination of sensitivities of node voltages to network parameters and the like.

The AC analysis section obtains the frequency response of the network 1.e., magnitude and phase of node voltages and of currents versus frequency.

The transient analysis section obtains the time response of node voltages and currents. It is capable of accepting time varying input sources and also has the capability of switching parameter values from one state to another. This allows for simulation of change of state of transistors, diodes, and other switching elements.

The Program has had a significant impact on circuit design procedures at Norden. Englneers are relieved of routine calculations and are left with more time to apply to creative tasks. A high degree of confidence that a circuit will meet specifications is obtained before manufacturing. This is especially important in the case of intesrated circuits. More reliable circuits are obtained since statistical analyses which used to be too time-consuming to perform at all now are done on the computer. In addition, overdesign \(1 s\) reduced since the designer can readily see the effect of reduced tolerances on perfommance.

Norden has already extended the capability of the Program beyond that of the version tio be released by IBM, and is working on further extensions such as adding a non-iinear capability.
1. INTHODUCTION:

This report will discuss the main features of the Network Analysis Program developed jointly by the Norden Division of the United Aircraft Corporation, and I.B.M. Details of operating procedures and the mathematical theory will not be dealt with at length. The objective here is to describe the usefulness and significance of the program.

A specific sample circuit will be discussed from preparation of input data to obtaining the output from the computer.

The Network Analysis Program is used as a tool by the designer of electronic circuits. It can also be used to review the design of existing circuits for reliablity or optimization.

The Program is written in FORTRAN II for a \(40 \mathrm{~K}, 1620\) with 1311 Disk Storage Drive, 1622 Card Read Punch, Automatic Divide and Indirect Addressing. The Program also requires the Monitor I System.
2. Advantages of Network Analysis Program.

Figure l lists some of the advantages of the Program over conventional design techniques of hand calculations, breadboarding and testing. Points 1 and 2 (figure 1) are obvious advantages. Point 3 emphasizes that conventional techniques cannot give accurate statistical information on the effects of component variations on circuit performance. Because the designer does not have a high degree of confidence that the circuit will perform satisfactorily under all extremes of component variation and environment, he is forced to over-design.

The Network Analysis Program can perform complicated statistical analyses on the circuit, analyses much too time consuming to perform by hand calculation. With this tool the designer can optimize the circuit since the program readily shows the effects of tolerances on performance.

Alternative circuit configurations (point 4) can easily be studied on the computer and the best configuration chosen. In fact, designs incorporating new or expensive components can be studied even if the actual components are not available for breadboarding.

It is often possible to obtain insight into the operation of a circuit by use of the Program (point 5). Also, the effects of parameters, such as transistor current gain, can be determined. On a breadboard one cannot vary the gain of a transistor directly.

\section*{ADVANTAGES OF NETWORK ANALYSIS PROGRAM}

1．ROUTINE CALCULATIONS ELIMINATED
2．MORE TIME FOR CREATIVE DESIGN
3．EFFECTS OF COMPONENT VARIATIONS
4．ALTERNATIVE DESIGN STUDIED
5．INSIGHT INTO COMPLEX INTERACTIONS
6．BREADBOARDING \＆TESTING REDUCED
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The factors mentioned contribute to reducing the need for breadboarding (point 6), and even when breadboarding is still required the amount of testing is reduced.

\section*{3. Brief Description of Analysis Procedure}

Before discussing the details of the Program, it is appropriate to obtain an overall picture of the procedure and flow of information involved in analyzing a circuit by use of the Program. Figure 2 is a flow-chart describing the procedure.

From the specifications and requirements on the circuit, the designer develops a circuit schematic describing the configuration he plans to use. An equivalent circuit schematic is then prepared from the original circuit schematic. The equivalent circuit schematic contains equivalent circuit representations for transistors and diodes. The equivalent circuit of a transistor or diode can be thought of as the model used by the Program to simulate them. The Program will accept any equivalent circuit chosen by the designer.

The input data cards are prepared by referring to the equivalent circuit schematic, using a user-oriented language to describe the circuit.

The Network Analysis Program is divided into four main sections: language interpreter, \(D C\) analysis, \(A C\) analysis, and transient analysis. The language interpreter translates the input data cards, setting up lists of information for the analysis sections. It then calls on the proper analysis section as specified by the user, and the results of the analysis are computed.

The user-oriented language used to prepare the input Data cards is probably one of the most important features of the program. It allows the Program to be used by engineers not familiar with the machine or with programming. Also, it allows easy and fast communication between the circuit designer and the computer. Very little of the full potential of the Program would be realized without the capabilities provided by the user-oriented language.
4. Basic Network Branch Defination

The basic entity in the matrix approach used to set up and solve the matrix equations of the network is the network branch. Figure 3 shows the basic branch with a definition of the important variables. As shown, the branch is composed of three network elements: a passive element (resistor, capacitor or inductor); voltage source; and current source. The termination points of the branch are defined as nodes. We may then imagine a network as composed of many branches.
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Then the variables defined in flgure 3 may be thought of as vectors or column matrices with elements corresponding to each branch of the network. The primary output of each of the analysis sections is the node voltage vector, \(e^{\prime}\), and the branch current vector, 1.

The arrows on the diagram (ifgure 3) define the positive convention for current flow and the positive convention for the voltage source with polarity as shown. This convention should be kept in mind until later when preparation of input data cards for a specific example is described.

Also, shown in Plgure 3 is a typical banch data card prepared in the user-oriented language. It contains all necessary information on a branch. The BI indicates the data is for branch number 1 . The \(N=(0,1)\) indicates that the branch is connected to nodes 0 and 1 and that the assumed djrection of current is from node 0 to node 1. Then the values for the three branch elements are given.
5. Nodal Equations of Network*

Figure 4 shows the nodal equations which are set up automatically by the program. These same equations would be set up by using the conventional manual technique of summing the currents at each node using Kirchhoff's current law.

The only item which has not been defined is matrix A. It is sufficient for our purposes to say that it defines the topology of the network, that is, which nodes each branch is connected to and the assumed current direction for the branch. It is developed from the nodal data supplied for each branch.

The e' vector of the node voltages is solved for. This is done by inverting the triple product ( \(A\) Y \(Y\) ) and multiplying it times the right hand side of the equations, where the superscript t indicates the transpose of A. This gives a nominal solution for the node voltages. New solutions corresponding to a change in value of one or more parameters are obtained by a very fast update technique. This is a method of modifying the inverse of ( \(A\) YA) rather than starting the solution over from the beginning. An example of the use of this bechnique will be shown later.
6. Preparation of Input Frox Sample Problem.

A spectftc example will help to clarify the preceding discussion and should show the relative ease with which a problem can be set up for analysis by use of the user-oriented language.
* Technical Report TR 00.355, March 30, 1962. Machine Analysis of Networks and Its Applications. Franklin H. Branin, Jr. IBM Data Systems Division Poughkeepsie, New York.
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Figure 5 is the schematic of a one transistor amplifier, From the circuit schematic the equivalent circuit schematic (figure 6) is prepared. The equivalent circuit of the transistor is enclosed within the dotted lines. Numbers enclosed in squares are branch numbers and the circled labels are node designations. The arrows indicate assumed current dipections. The term \(G(4,3)\) indicates that there is a transconductance from branch 4 to branch 3. The transconductance is used to model the gain of the transistor and is related to the current gain.

The language interpreter has a dual function of interpreting specific data on the circuit and also interpreting certain control funtions such as which analysis is to be performed, which optional outputs are to be obtained and which input-output devices are to be used. Thus there are two types of input: control statements and data statements.

The input cards required to perform a DC analysis of the sample circuit are shown in figure 6. The first card, DCNODE, is an example of a control statement and indicates that a DC analysis is to be performed. The next card is an example of a data statement. The Bl indicates that the data is for branch number one of the circuit. The \(N=(0,1)\) is nodal data indicating that the branch is connected between nodes 0 and 1 and since node 0 is mentioned first, the assumed direction of current flow is from node 0 to node 1 . The \(R=1\). (.01) indicates that the nominal value of resistance is 1 ohm and that the tolerance is 1 percent. The tolerance is specified as a decimal percent tolerance enclosed in parenthesis. The \(E=-30\). Indicates that there is a 30 volt voltage source in the branch and it is negative according to the basic branch definition of section 4. Data on the other branches is prepared in a similar manner. Note that the resistance of branch 3 is expressed as \(R=5 . E+06\) which is equivalent to \(5 . \times 106\) ohms.

Skipping to the card labeled Tl we have another type of data statement for presentation of data on transistor number one. The \(B=(4,3)\) means that the transconductance is from branch 4 to branch 3. Next, the value of the transconductance is given. It has a nominal value of .0364 . The tolerances are specified in an optional form in which the minimum is .0361 and the maximum is .0367.

Figure 7 shows additional control statements and is a continuation of figure 6. The SENSITIVITY statement indicates that an optional output consisting of the sensitivities of each node in the circuit with respect to each parameter is to be computed. These outputs are discussed further in section 7. The POWER control statement asks for the power dissipation in each branch to be computed. The STATISTICAL ANALYSIS statement calls on a worst-case analysis and computation of the standard deviation of each node voltage. The MODIFY control statement and the statement immed1ately following it indicate that the resistance in branch 7 is to be modified from 35 K to 40 K in 5 equal steps.
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It is implied that the node voltages at each step are to be computed. These new solutions are obtained at great speed by making use of the update technique dissussed in Section 5. The EXECUTE statement causes all previous control statements to be executed in the order in which they appeared. The END statement returns control to the monitor system in the 1620.

From the above discussion it should be evident that preparation of input is relatively simple using the user-oriented language. In fact the rules for preparing the input cards from the equivalent circuit schematic can easily be taught to an aid or keypunch operator.

\section*{7. Discussion of Output of Sample Problem.}

Figure 8 shows the output of the node voltages and the sensitivities for the sample problem. There are four nodes in the problem, not counting the ground or datum node. The values of each node voltage are outputed from left to right.

The sensitivities are the percent change in each node voltage with respect to a positive one percent change in each parameter of the network. The first set of sensitivities are with respect to the resistance in branch 1. They read from left to right for nodes 1 through 4 respectively. The sensitivities with respect to the other parameters such as transconductances, voltage and current sources are outputed in a similar form. The sensitivities are used to detect critical parameters in the network. For example, scanning the first column of sensitivities one can see that node 1 is most sensitive to the voltage source, El. The sensitivities are also used in the worst-case and standard deviation computation.

The method used in obtaining the partial derivatives, from which the sensitivities are computed, is a relatively efficient method. They are obtained from explicit matrix formulas obtained by taking the partial derivatives of the nodal equations described in Section 5. This is a much faster techique than some others which require obtaining new solutions for the node voltages with the parameters increased by small amounts.

Figure 9 shows the results of a stress analysis which was called for by the POWER control statement. Here the current, voltage and dissipated power for each branch are outputed. Also, shown are the results of the worst-case and standard deviation(1-sigma) computations for each node voltage. Thus, the nominal, worst-case maximum and minimum and standard deviation for each node voltage is listed. These are based on the tolerances of the parameters and the computed sensitivities. From this output is is evident that the designer can readily see the effects of component tolerances on the circuit and can optimize the circuit by selecting the proper tolerances.
```

NO. BRANCHES = 7
NO. NODES = 4

```
```

NOMINAL NODE TO DATUM VOLTAGES
2.999E+01 2.062E+01 5.493E-00 4.764E-00

```

SENSITIVITIES
PERCENT CHANGE IN NODE VOLTAGES FOR A ONE PERCENT CHANGE IN PARAMETERS
R 1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(1.770 E-04\) & -1.683E-04 & -1.747E-04 & -1.961E-04 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{R 2} \\
\hline & 4.690E-07 & -4.530E-01 & -2.385E-03 & -2.677E-03 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{R} \\
\hline & 1.172E-0. & 3.493E-00 & -5.962E-00 & -6.693E-00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & 3.623E-06 & 1.050E-O2 & 2.809E-03 & -2.313E-02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{R 5} \\
\hline & \(1.342 \mathrm{E}-04\) & 3.891E-01 & 1.040E-01 & 1.431E-01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{R 6} \\
\hline & 1.192E-04 & \(-3.564 E-31\) & 6.993E-01 & 7.850E-01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & 1.572E-04 & \(4.076 \mathrm{E}-01\) & -7.997E-01 & -8.978E-01 \\
\hline G & 4, 3 & & & \\
\hline & \(1.171 E-03\) & -3.491E-00 & \(5.958 \mathrm{E}-00\) & 6.689E-00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{E 1} \\
\hline & 9.999E-01 & 9.509E-01 & \(9.868 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(1.107 \mathrm{E}-00\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{E 4} \\
\hline & 1.690E-05 & 4.9008 .02 & \(1.310 E-Q 2\) & -1.079E-0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{I 3 2.502 E}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{STRESS ANALYSIS}
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
BRANCH & CURRENT & VOLTAGE & POWER \\
1 & \(.5312 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(-.2999 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.1593 \mathrm{E}-00\) \\
2 & \(.4685 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(.9371 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.4391 \mathrm{E}-01\) \\
3 & \(.4685 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(.1513 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.7089 \mathrm{E}-01\) \\
4 & \(.4764 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(.7286 \mathrm{E}-00\) & \(.3471 \mathrm{E}-02\) \\
5 & \(.4764 \mathrm{E}-02\) & \(.4764 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.2270 \mathrm{E}-01\) \\
6 & \(.5493 \mathrm{E}-03\) & \(.5493 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.3017 \mathrm{E}-02\) \\
7 & \(.6282 \mathrm{E}-03\) & \(.2450 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.1539 \mathrm{E}-01\)
\end{tabular}

WORST CASE AY!ALYSIS AND STANDARD DEVIATION
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
NODE & NOMINAL & MAX & MIN & SIGMA \\
1 & \(.2999 E+02\) & \(.3299 E+02\) & \(.2699 E+02\) & \(.9998 \mathrm{E}-00\) \\
2 & \(.2062 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.2649 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.1475 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(.8795 \mathrm{E}-00\) \\
3 & \(.5493 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.7187 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.3799 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.2809 \mathrm{E}-00\) \\
4 & \(.4764 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.6426 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.3102 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(.2739 \mathrm{E}-00\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Figure 9
}
NODE VOLTAGES FOR MODIFIED INPUT DATA
\(R 7=3.500 E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
    \(2.999 E+01 \quad 1.968 \mathrm{E}+01\) 5.985E-00 5.234E-00
\(R 7=3.600 E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
    \(2.999 E+01\)
    1. \(993 \mathrm{E}+01\)
                                    \(5.853 \mathrm{E}-00\)
                                    5.105E-00
\(R 7=3.700 E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
    2.999E+01 2.017E+01
                                    \(5 \cdot 728 \mathrm{E}-00\)
                                    \(4.982 E-00\)
\(R 7=3.800 E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
    \(2.999 E+01 \quad 2.040 E+01\)
                                    \(5.608 \mathrm{E}-00\)
                                    \(4.864 \mathrm{E}-00\)
\(R 7=3.900 E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
    \(2.999 E+01 \quad 2.062 E+01 \quad 5.493 E-00 \quad 4.752 E-00\)
\(R 7=4 \cdot \quad E+04\)
NODE VOLTAGES
        \(2.999 E+01 \quad 2.083 E+01\)
                                \(5.382 \mathrm{E}-00\)
                                    \(4.644 E-00\)

Figure 10 shows the output obtained as a result of the MODIFY control statement. Sets of node voltages for each value of R7 are obtained. The five solutions are obtained in about the time it takes to get one nominal solution, since the high speed update technique is used to modify the original nominal solution.
8. Discussion of \(A C\) and Transient Analyses

The AC and transient analyses will not be discussed in as much detail as the sample DC problem. The outputs obtained from these analyses are shown graphically in figure 11. In the AC analysis the primary output is the frequency response of a network". This consists of the magnitude and phase of each of the node voltages as a function of frequency. The input is prepared in a similar manner to the DC analysis, except that additional input such as values of capacitors, inductors and mutual inductances must be given. Also, the range of frequencies over which the output is to be obtained is specified in a manner similar to the method used in the sample problem for modifying a resistance.

The primary output of the transient analysis is the time response of the node voltages of the network. The transient analysis is capable of handling time varying sources which may be inputs to a circuit. Thus, input waveforems such as square waves, sawtooths, sine waves, etc., can be handled. A certain amount of non-linear capability exists in that switching of parameters from one value to another is possible. Therefore, change of state of transistors and diodes can be simulated. The switching is accomplished automatically and the times at which switching occurs can be made dependedt on computed quantities such as a certain node voltage. For example, switching of certain parameter values from one value to another can be made to occur when a specified node reaches, say, 10 volts.

\section*{9. Program Extentions}

Norden has extended the Network Analysis Program beyond the vv version to be released by IBM. Some of these extensions are shown in figure 12.

The Failure Effect Analysis searches for failures of components which may be over-stressed when certain other components fail. For example, each resistor in a network is alternately shorted and opened and the corresponding stress levels in the other components are checked to see that they do not exceed recommended values beyond which a fallure may occur.

The Derating Analysis compares the stress levels computed in the Stress Analysis (described in the sample problem) with recommended rated values which are stored for each standard part on the 1311 disk file. Percent operating to recommended and percent operating to rated stress art then computed. Also, the fallure nate of each component and for the entire circuit is computed.
C
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EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT STORAGE


The Degradation Analysis accounts for the effects of aging or some other criterion on a circuit. For example, the nominal tolerances on the parameters are adjusted to account for degradation with aging and the worst-case and standard deviations are computed with the adjusted tolerances.

One of the disadvantages of the program as it presently exists is the necessity of preparing an equivalent circuit schematic including the equivalent circuit models of the transistors and diodes. Norden is extending the Program to include storage of the equivalent circuit so that the user need only specify the type transistor or diode he is using. The necessary data would then be pulled automatically from storage. This will greatly facilitate the setting up of a problem for the computer.

One of the most important limitations of the Program is the lack of non-linear capability. This problem makes itself evident in cases where it is necessary to account for the non-linear characteristics of transistors and diodes. At present, the user must select an operating point for a transistor from which the parameter values for the equivalent circuit model are selected. If after analysis, the operating point does not burn out to be the same as that which was expected, the model will not be accurate. This problem also occurs in the transient case when transistors change state and it may be necessary to account for the non-linear transition, say, from the off state through the active to the saturated state. Norden is working on extending the program to account for the above non-linearities.
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\section*{Debugging in the FOR II System}

This paper briefly describes the 1620 FOR II programming system. Machine language features pertinent to FOR II, difference between FORTRAN with FORMAT and FOR II, indexing in FOR II, subroutine linkage and construction of the object deck are covered. Stress is placed on debugging techniques such as use of the Symbol Table, Instruction Register No. 2, and general debugging aids. Knowledge of FORTRAN with FORMAT is assumed.
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Report of Meeting of Programs Teams Chairmen
1620 Users Group - Eastern Region
Arlington, Virginia - May 7, 1964

At a meeting of eight of the eleven Programs Teams Chairmen who ran sessions at this meeting of the 1620 Users Group - Eastern Region, the following items were discussed as indicated:
1. It was suggested that the attendants at these sessions be asked to introduce themselves so that a spirit of comradery could be fostered particularly among those who wanted to have themselves considered as "permanent" members of the Teams. The question of the continuity of the Teams' efforts between meetings was discussed in this context.
2. It was requested that rosters of the attendants at these sessions be compiled with indications of those who wanted themselves to be considered as "permanent" members of the Teams.
3. It was requested that a meeting secretary be appointed for each Programs Team to take notes on discussion topics and papers whose authors did not want them published in toto. It was pointed out that the authors of papers presented at these meetings are not requested to have them published in the Proceedings.
4. A survey was asked for from each Programs Team Chairman of the interests which the "permanent" members of his Team have in the sessions of other Programs Teams. It was pointed out that this information would be useful to the Program Chairman of the meeting in scheduling the Programs Teams sessions. In this context, it was suggested that the Programs Teams, in general, could be organized as far as their interests are concerned along lines suggested by the program groupings in the latest quick index.
5. The structure of the meeting, namely programming and operating systems during the first day and a half followed by applications systems and programs during the remaining day and a half, was reviewed with the Programs Teams Chairmen with the suggestion that only those topics in programming and operating systems of exclusive interest to a particular Programs Team be included in that Team's session agenda.
6. The matter of continuity in Team activities and leadership between meetings was discussed. All of the Programs Teams Chairmen at this meeting agreed to continue their leadership at least until the next meeting of the Users Group.

These items were subsequently reviewed with the three Programs Teams Chairmen not present at this meeting.

\section*{SURVEY OF TYPE 1620 PROGRAMMING SYSTEMS}

> \begin{tabular}{l} 1620 Users Group - Eastern Region Meeting \\ Washington, D.C. \\ May 6, 7 and 8, 1964 \\ \hline \end{tabular}


IBM Corporation
Statement Languages
1. FORTRAN with Format (Card) - FO-004, Var II 20K 1
2. FORTRAN with Format (Tape) - FO-004, Der II 20K
3. FORTRAN II (Card) - FO-019 LOK
4. GOTRAN (Card) - PR-011

Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide

20K
5. GOTRAN (Tape) - PR-010

20K
5.4 .1
System \(\quad\) Author \begin{tabular}{c} 
Machine \\
Requirements
\end{tabular}

\section*{Statement Languages}
1. AFIT Improved FORTRAN (Card) - 01.1.010
2. Complex FORTRAN (Tape) 06.0.008
3. FORTRAN 90 Compiler and Subroutines (Card) 02.0.014
4. FORTRAN \(1 / 2\) (Tape) 01.6 .037
5. FORTRAN I for Magnetic Tape System (Card) 02.0.018
6. FORTRAN I for Magnetic Tape System with Autom matic Floating Point (Card) - 02.0.025
7. FORGO (Load \& Go FORTRAN)
(Card) - 02.0.008
8. FARGO (Card) - 02.0.027
9. FOR-TO-GO (2 Pass FORCO)
(Card) -02.0 .009
10. Load \& Go FORTRAN
(Card) - 01.1.009
11. Load \& GO FORTRAN
(Tape) - 01.1.008
12. NOTATRAN I (Tape) 02.0.012
13. UTO FORTRAN (Card) 02.0.024

Pratt
Air Force Institute of Technology

Maskiell
\(20 K\)
McGraw-Edison Company
Borst
Bausch \& Lomb Optical
Company
Anderson
Allen-Bradley Company
Wenrick
ACF Industries, Inc.

Wenrick
ACF Industries, Inc.

McClure
University of Wisconsin
Heyworth
University of Alberta

McClure
University of Wisconsin
Mailloux
Oniversity of Alberta
Mailloux
University of Alberta
Northrop
201
Fairchild Camera \& Instr. Lee
University of Toronto
20K

20K
Automatic Divide Indirect Addressing Move Flag

20K

20K
Antomatic Divide Indirect Addressing

2 Tape Drives Move Flag

60K
Automatic Divide Indirect Addressing

2 Tape Drives Move Flag

40K Indirect lddressing Automatic Divide

60K Indirect Addressing Autcmatic Divide 1 Magnetic Tape Drive

Move Flag
40K
Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide

40K Indirect Addressing

LOK

201
5.4 .2


System

\section*{Symbolic Languages}
1. Fast Assembler (Card) -
02.0 .028
2. OSAP Assembly System
(Card) - 01.1.012
3. SPS I for Magnetic Tape System (Card) - 02.0.021
4. SPS II for Magnetic Tape System (Card) - 01.6.077
5. LAMP (Card) - 1.1.001
6. Relocatable Assembly System (Card) - 1.1 .020
7. APIT SPS (Card) - 1.1 .023
8. FAST Assembler (Card) 2.0.028

Heyworth
University of Alberta

Numerical Computation Laboratory
Ohio State University
Wenrick
ACF Industries, Inc.
Wenrick
ACF Industries, Inc.
Matthys IEM Corporation

\section*{Richardson}

Australian AFC
Pratt
Air Force Institute of Technology

Mailloux \& Davis
University of Alberta

Machine
Requirements

60K
Automatic Divide Indirect Addressing 2 Magnetic Tape Drives Move Flag

20K
Indirect Addressing
60x

60K
3 Magnetic Tapes Automatic Floating Point

20K

60K
Indirect Addressing
LOX
Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide Move Flag

60K
2 Magnetic Tapes Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide Move Flag

FORTRAN with Format (Card)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline & Card Fortran Compressor 02.3.007. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Brush \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline & Dynamic Irace - 01.4.011 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Lesson \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing Move Flag \\
\hline & FORCOM Subroutine - 01.6.051 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pope \\
Utah State University
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 4. & Format FORTRAN Object Deck Compressor - 01.2.009 & Gimer Brooklyn College & \\
\hline 5. & ```
FORTRAN Fommat Checker -
    01.1.017
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
Font \\
Dept. of Public Works (Puerto Rico)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 6. & Gamna Punction Subroutine Positive Arguments 07.0.048 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pachon \\
Automatic Electric Labs, Inc.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
20x \\
Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & Plot Subroutine - 06.0.119 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Vanschaik \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & No Antomatic Divide \\
\hline & Plot Subroutine - 01.6.056 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Reynolds \\
Sprague Electric Company
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 9. & Random Number Subroutine 07.0.021 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fink \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 10. & Subroutine for Plotting with a CAL-COMP Plotter 01.6.068 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fassino \\
Todd Shipyard Corp.
\end{tabular} & Automatic Divide Special Instructions \\
\hline 11. & Link Subroutine - 13,0,002 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Poore \\
Louisiana PI
\end{tabular} & 20K \\
\hline 12. & Plot Subroutine - 13.0.001 & Poore University of Kentucky & \[
\stackrel{\text { 20X }}{\text { Indirect Addressing }}
\] \\
\hline 13. & FORTRAN Compressor - Loader 1.2.007 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sabath \\
Rastman Kodak
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 14. & FORTRAN Memory Dump - 1.3 .004 & Dinland Dow Chemical Company & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 60K } \\
& \text { Indirect lddressing }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 15. & FCRTRAN Compressor and 75 Colum Drimp - 1.3 .008 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Moore \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5.4 .5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 16. FORTRAN Compressor and MultiProgrammer - 1.6.045 & Gardner General Foods & 20K \\
\hline 17. FORCOM - 1.6.051 & Pope Otah State University & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 18. FORSTAD -1.6 .054 & Anderson General Electric & \\
\hline 19. Modified CDS FORCOM Subroutine - 1.6.096 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Webster \\
Victoria Electricity Cosmission
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 20. FORTRAN Pre-Compiler -
FO-006 & IBM Coxporation & \\
\hline 21. Plot Subroutine - MP-Ily & IBM Corporation & Autamatic Divide CAL-CCNP Plotter \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
C
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Progren & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 1. Bessel Punction Subroutine 07.0 .037 & Sundvall General Huclear Eng. Corp. & \[
\begin{gathered}
20 \mathrm{~K} \\
\text { Automatic Divide }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 2. CAL-COMP 565 Plotter Subroutine Pen - 13.0.005 & Michel Argonne National Lab. & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 3. Dynamic Trace - 01.4.012 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Lesson \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing Move Flag \\
\hline 4. Random Number Subroutine 07.0.022 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fink \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 5. Random Number Subroutine 07.0.009 & Sanders Abbott Laboratories & \\
\hline 6. FORTRAN Lister - 1.1.007 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 7. FORTRAN Pre-Compiler -FO-005 & IBM Corporation & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 1. & Dump Digit-X: Onload Memory Program - Self Load, FORTRAN II and Format Subroutine - 01.6.092 & Southern Orth Services, Inc. & 60K \\
\hline 2. & FORCOM Subroutine - 01.6.074 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Webster \\
State Electricity Commission of Victoria (Australia)
\end{tabular} & . \\
\hline 3. & FORTRAN II Symbol Table Punch - 01.6.067 & McIlvain Catalytic Construction Co. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 60K } \\
\text { Move Flag }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 4. & FORTRAN II Diagnostician 01.6.019 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Burgeson \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 5. & ```
Format Statement Preselector -
    01.6.086
``` & Morgan Washington State Highway Departuent & \\
\hline 6. & Relocatable Cos, Sin, and Exp. Subroutines 07.0.038 & \begin{tabular}{l}
White \\
Mayo Clinic Computer \\
Facility
\end{tabular} & Sutomatic Floating Point \\
\hline 7. & ```
Relocatable Plot Subroutine -
    13.0.004
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
White \\
Mayo Clinic Computer
Facility
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 8. & Random Exponential Number Generator Subprogram 06.0 .111 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Bray \\
Boeing Scientipic Research Labs.
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 9. & Random Normal Number Generator Subprogram 06.0 .108 & Bray Boeing Scientilic Research Labs. & Move Flag \\
\hline 10. & Subroutine for Plotting with CAL-COMP Plotter - 01.6.065 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fassino \\
Todd Shipyard, Inc.
\end{tabular} & Move Flag \\
\hline 11. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1620 \text { SORT-Library Function - } \\
& 01.6 .069
\end{aligned}
\] & Anderson Sandia Corporation & Floating Point Hardware \\
\hline 12. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Symbol Table on Cards - } \\
& 01.3 .011
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Christensen \\
Sylvania Blectric \\
System - West
\end{tabular} & TNF Instruction \\
\hline 13. & Automatic Floating Point Subroutines - IM-024 & IEM Coxporation & Automatic Floating Point \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5.4 .8
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Symbolic Programming System (Card)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 1. Additional Instructions Macro Subroutine - 01.1.002 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Petersen \\
Washington State Highway Department
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 2. Input-Output Subroutines 01.6 .028 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Dykstra \\
General Foods Research Center
\end{tabular} & 20K \\
\hline 3. Multiple Preciaion Floating Point Arithmetic Subroutine - 01.6.041 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Hoffman \\
Boeing Company
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
60K \\
Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide "Move Flag" Instruction
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4. Relocator Program - 01.2.005 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Glanz \\
Purdue University
\end{tabular} & ```
    20K
    Indirect lddressing
"Move Flag" Instruction
``` \\
\hline 5. SPS Modifier for Magnetie Tape Operation - 01.6.039 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Holline \\
Bell Telephone Labs.
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 6. Multi-Purpose SPS Compressor 1.1.006 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Bate \\
Wolf Research and Development
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 7. F1oat - 1.6 .083 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ginsburg } \\
& \text { U.S. P.H.S. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Indirect Addressing Automatic Divide Move Flag \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 1. Assembly Program for SPS Subroutines - 01.1.003 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & 20x \\
\hline 2. Floating Point Input/Output Subroutines - 01.6.023 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & 208 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Program & Author & Machine Requirements \\
\hline 1. Floating Point Conversion Subroutines - 1.6.053 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 2. Label Reference Indexer 1.1 .014 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 3. Label Reference Indexer Without Indirect Addressing - 1.1.013 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Pratt \\
Air Force Institute of Technology
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline 4. Ll05 Floating to Fixed 1.6.048 & Engelhardt IBM Corporation & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 5. Llo6 Floating to Fixed 1.6.049 & Engelhardt IBM Corporation & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 6. Object Deck Analyzer 1.6.060 & Bezreh John Hancock & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 7. Symbol Table Punch 1.3 .010 & Bradshaw Christian Brothers College & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline 8. Storage and Label Analyzer -
\[
1.6 .093
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Bowman \\
IBM Corporation
\end{tabular} & Indirect Addressing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{1620/2710 SPS (Tape)}

\section*{1. Label Reference Indexer - \\ 1.1 .022}

Pratt
Air Force Institute of Technology```


[^0]:    Number Attending - 14

[^1]:    CROSSINK POINTS - STEPS OF $/ \mathrm{KW}$ EXHIBIT TVIL

[^2]:    Step - Number of Consumption Steps
    D - Deviation from Assumed Mean
    DS - Deviation Squared
    F - Frequency (Number of Customers)
    FD - Frequency X Deviation
    FDS

    - Frequency X Deviation Squared

    TS

    - Total Steps

    TD - Total Deviation

    TDS - Total Deviation Squared
    TF - Total Frequency
    TFD - Total Frequency X Deviation
    TFDS - Total Frequency X Deviation Squared
    AV - Arithmetic Mean

    SD - Standard Deviation

    SEM - St.andard Error of Mean
    VAR - Coefficient of Variation

[^3]:    * "Gross" refers to the total counts per minute in a nuclide energy region (with the background subtracted out). "True" refers to that portion of of the gross counts per minute in the nuclide energy region which are due only to the particular nuclide.

[^4]:    $$
    \text { FROM. 1299... } 3615 \ldots 2373 \ldots . . .0 . . . .
    $$

[^5]:    J. C. Hubbard

    Baltimore Gas and Electric Company
    May 5, 1964

[^6]:    * A few statements are not accepted by the SEX processor: SAY and OUT

[^7]:    * cf. Forbisher-Laroche

